### Path categories and algorithms

**Rick Jardine** 

**GETCO 2015** 

April 8, 2015

Rick Jardine Path categories and algorithms

< ∃ →

A D

 The *n*-**cell**  $\square^n$  is the poset

$$\Box^n = \mathcal{P}(\underline{n}),$$

the set of subsets of the totally ordered set  $\underline{n} = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . There is a unique poset isomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}(\underline{n}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{1}^{\times n},$$

where  ${\bf 1}$  is the 2-element poset 0  $\leq$  1. Here,

$$A\mapsto (\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n)$$

where  $\epsilon_i = 1$  if and only if  $i \in A$ . We use the ordering of  $\underline{n}$ .

### The box category

Suppose that  $A \subset B \subset \underline{n}$ . The interval  $[A, B] \subset \mathcal{P}(\underline{n})$  is defined by

$$[A,B] = \{C \mid A \subset C \subset B\}.$$

There are canonical poset maps

$$\mathcal{P}(\underline{m}) \cong \mathcal{P}(B-A) \xrightarrow{\cong} [A,B] \subset \mathcal{P}(\underline{n}).$$

where m = |B - A|. These compositions are the coface maps  $d : \Box^m \subset \Box^n$ .

There are also co-degeneracy map  $s : \Box^n \to \Box^r$ , which are again determined by subsets  $A \subset \underline{n}$ , where |A| = r, and such that  $s(B) = B \cap A$ .

The cofaces and codegeneracies are the generators for the **box** category  $\Box$  consisting of the posets  $\Box^n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , subject to the standard cosimplicial identities.

A **cubical set** is a functor  $X : \Box^{op} \to Sets$ .

Typically  $\Box^n \mapsto X_n$ , and  $X_n$  is the set of *n*-cells of *X*.

The collection of all such functors and natural transformations between them is the category *c***Set** of cubical sets.

1) The **standard** *n*-cell  $\Box^n$  is the functor hom $(, \Box^n)$  represented by  $\Box^n = \mathcal{P}(\underline{n})$ .

2) A finite cubical complex is a subcomplex  $K \subset \Box^n$ . It is completely determined by cells

 $\Box^r \subset K \subset \Box^n$ 

where the composites are cofaces. A cell is **maximal** if r is maximal wrt these constraints.

Finite cubical complexes are higher dimensional automata.

There is a triangulation functor

 $| \cdot | : c\mathbf{Set} \to s\mathbf{Set}$  $|\Box^n| := B(\mathbf{1}^{\times n}) \cong (\Delta^1)^{\times n}.$ B(C) is the **nerve** of a category  $C: B(C)_n$  is the set

 $a_0 \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_n$ 

of strings of arrows of length n in C.

Example: 
$$|\Box^2|$$
:  $(0,1) \longrightarrow (1,1)$   
 $\uparrow \qquad \uparrow$   
 $(0,0) \longrightarrow (1,0)$ 

The triangulation functor has a right adjoint,

$$S: s\mathbf{Set} \to c\mathbf{Set}$$

called the singular functor.

(4) E > (4) E >

The nerve functor  $B : \mathbf{cat} \to s\mathbf{Set}$  has a left adjoint

P: s**Set**  $\rightarrow$  **cat**,

called the path category functor.

The path category P(X) for X is the category generated by the 1-skeleton  $sk_1(X)$  (a graph), subject to some relations:

1)  $s_0(x)$  is the identity morphism for all vertices  $x \in X_0$ ,

2) the triangle



commutes for all 2-simplices  $\sigma: \Delta^2 \to X$  of X.

# Suppose that $K \subset \Box^n$ is an HDA, with states (vertices) x, y. Then P(|K|)(x, y)

is the set of execution paths from x to y. We want to compute these.

P(K) := P(|K|) is the path category of the complex K.

It can be defined directly for K: it is generated by the graph  $sk_1(K)$ , subject to the relations given by  $s_0(x) = 1_x$  for vertices x, and by forcing the commutativity of



for each 2-cell  $\sigma : \Box^2 \subset K$  of K.

#### Lemma 1.

1)  $\operatorname{sk}_2(X) \subset X$  induces  $P(\operatorname{sk}_2(X)) \cong P(X)$ .

2)  $\epsilon: P(BC) \rightarrow C$  is an isomorphism for all small categories C.

### The path 2-category

L = finite simplicial complex. "P(L) is the path component category of a 2-category  $P_2(L)$ ."

 $P_2(L)$  consists of categories  $P_2(L)(x, y)$ , one for each pair of vertices  $x, y \in L$ .

The objects (1-cells) are paths of non-deg. 1-simplices

$$x = x_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_n = y$$

of L. The morphisms of  $P_2(L)(x, y)$  are composites of the pictures



where the displayed triangle bounds a non-deg. 2-simplex. Compositions are functors

$$P_2(L)(x,y) \times P_2(L)(y,z) \rightarrow P_2(L)(x,z)$$

defined by concatenation of paths.

#### Theorem 2.

 $P_2(L)$  is a "resolution" of the path category P(L) in the sense that there is an isomorphism

 $\pi_0 P_2(L) \cong P(L).$ 

 $\pi_0 P_2(L)$  is the **path component category** of  $P_2(L)$ . Its objects are the vertices of *L*, and

$$\pi_0 P_2(L)(x, y) = \pi_0(BP_2(L)(x, y)).$$

## The algorithm

Here's an algorithm for computing P(L) for  $L \subset \Delta^N$ , in outline:

- Find the 2-skeleton sk<sub>2</sub>(L) of L (vertices, 1-simplices, 2-simplices).
- 2) Find all paths (strings of 1-simplices)

$$\omega: v_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} v_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} \ldots \xrightarrow{\sigma_k} v_k$$

in *L*.

- 3) Find all morphisms in the category  $P_2(L)(v, w)$  for all vertices v < w in L (ordering in  $\Delta^N$ ).
- Find the path components of all P<sub>2</sub>(L)(v, w), by approximating path components by full connected subcategories, starting with a fixed path ω.

Let  $L \subset \Delta^{40}$  be the subcomplex



This is 20 copies of the complex  $\partial \Delta^2$  glued together. There there are  $2^{20}$  morphisms in P(L)(0, 40).

**Moral**: The size of the path category P(L) can grow exponentially with L.

The code for this example runs on a desktop with at least 5 GB of memory. The listing of paths consumes 2 GB of disk.

Suppose that  $L \subset K \subset \Delta^N$  defines L as a subcomplex of K.

*L* is a **full subcomplex** of *K* if the following hold:

1) L is path-closed in K, in the sense that, if there is a path

$$v = v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_n = v'$$

in K between vertices v, v' of L, then all  $v_i \in L$ ,

2) if all the vertices of a simplex  $\sigma \in K$  are in L then the simplex  $\sigma$  is in L.

#### Lemma 3.

Suppose that L is a full subcomplex of K. Then the functor  $P(L) \rightarrow P(K)$  is fully faithful.

### Examples

- $\partial \Delta^2 \stackrel{d^0}{\subset} \Lambda^3_0$  and  $\partial \Delta^2 \stackrel{d^3}{\subset} \Lambda^3_3$  are full subcomplexes.
- Suppose that i ≤ j in N. K[i, j] is the subcomplex of K such that σ ∈ K[i, j] if and only if all vertices of σ are in the interval [i, j] of vertices v such that i ≤ v ≤ j. K[i, j] is a full subcomplex of K.
- Suppose that v ≤ w are vertices of K. Let K(v, w) be the subcomplex of K consisting of simplices whose vertices appear on a path from v to w. K(v, w) is a full subcomplex of K.

One can construct K(v, w) from K[v, w] by deleting sources and sinks.

Say that a vertex v is a **source** of K if there are no 1-simplices  $u \rightarrow v$  in K. The vertex v is a **sink** if there are no 1-simplices  $v \rightarrow w$  in K.

#### Corners

Suppose that  $K \subset \Box^n$  is a cubical complex. Say that a vertex x is a **corner** of K if it belongs to only one maximal cell.

#### Lemma 4 (Misamore).

Suppose that x is a corner of K, and let  $K_x$  be the subcomplex of cells which do not have x as a vertex. Then the induced functor

$$P(K_x) \rightarrow P(K)$$

is fully faithful.

There are two steps in the proof [3]:

Suppose that x is a vertex of the cell □<sup>r</sup> and let □<sup>r</sup><sub>x</sub> ⊂ □<sup>r</sup> be the subcomplex of cells which do not have x as a vertex. Then P(□<sup>r</sup><sub>x</sub>) → P(□<sup>n</sup>) is fully faithful.

Suppose that x is a corner of K, and that x is a vertex of a maximal cell □<sup>r</sup> ⊂ K. Let K<sub>x</sub> ⊂ K be the subcomplex whose cells do not have x as a vertex. Then the diagram

is a pushout, so that  $P(K_x) \rightarrow P(K)$  is fully faithful.

This uses an assertion of Fritsch and Latch [1] that fully faithful functors are closed under pushout.



2) The Swiss flag



has 6 corners, 1 sink, 1 source.

The algorithms that we have depend on having an entire HDA in storage, in a computer system that is powerful enough to analyze it.

We want local to global methods to study large (aka. "infinite") models with patching techniques.

### The time variable

Suppose that  $K \subset \Box^N$ . There is a poset map

$$\mathcal{P}(\underline{N}) \xrightarrow{t} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{Z},$$

with  $F \mapsto |F|$ . There are induced simplicial set maps

$$|\mathcal{K}| \subset |\Box^{\mathcal{N}}| = B\mathcal{P}(\underline{N}) \xrightarrow{t} B\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \subset B\mathbb{Z}.$$

In a standard HDA, the state represented by F is reached only after |F| clock ticks. We thus have a fibring of the triangulated HDA over a time poset.

The pre-images of the intervals  $[i, j] \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  give a coarse sense of locality for |K|.

More generally, one might ask for a lattice homomorphism

$$\phi: \mathcal{P}(\underline{N}) \to Q$$

with  $\phi$  is determined by the maps  $\phi(\emptyset) \to \phi(\{i\})$  for all  $i \in \underline{N}$ .

### Smallest elements and intervals

Suppose that A, B are subsets of  $\underline{n}$ . Say that A consists of **smallest elements** outside B if

1) 
$$A \cap B = \emptyset$$
, and

2) if  $i \leq j$  for some  $j \in A$  and  $i \notin B$ , then  $i \in A$ .

**Example**:  $A = \text{totally ordered finite set, and } [C, D] \subset \mathcal{P}(A) \text{ an interval, with } \psi : \mathcal{P}(D - C) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A) \text{ st } E \mapsto C \sqcup E.$  $\psi$  is completely determined by a string of subsets

$$C = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \cdots \subset A_{r-1} \subset A_r = D,$$

$$A_{i+1}=A_i\sqcup\{x_{i+1}\},$$

and  $x_{i+1}$  is the smallest element of D which is outside  $A_i$ . Then

$$D \cong C \sqcup \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$$

via a bijection which is ordered on each summand (ie. a shuffle).

B = totally ordered finite set. A **refinement** R in B is a string

$$B_0 \subset B_1 \subset \cdots \subset B_r$$

of subsets of *B* such that  $B_{i+1} - B_i$  consists of smallest elements of *B* which are outside  $B_i$  for  $0 \le i \le r - 1$ .

Every refinement determines a poset morphism

$$\phi_R: \mathcal{P}(\underline{r}) \to \mathcal{P}(B)$$

such that  $\phi_R(\emptyset) = B_0$  and  $\phi_R(\{i\}) = B_0 \sqcup (B_{i+1} - B_i)$ , and more generally

$$\phi_R(F) = B_0 \sqcup (\sqcup_{j \in F} \phi(\{j\}))$$

for all subsets  $F \subset \underline{r}$ . In particular,  $\phi(\underline{r}) = B_r$ .

The map  $\phi_R$  is a refinement of  $\Box^r = \mathcal{P}(\underline{r})$  in a bigger box  $\mathcal{P}(B)$ .

1) Refinements are closed under composition (successive cofaces in a nerve).

2) Every refinement  $\mathcal{P}(\underline{r}) \to \mathcal{P}(B)$  is a refinement of a unique face (interval) of  $\mathcal{P}(B)$ .

A refinement is a generalized time variable.

3) Every refinement R in B and every cell  $d : \mathcal{P}(\underline{k}) \to \mathcal{P}(\underline{r})$  together determine a unique commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}(\underline{k}) \xrightarrow{\phi_R} \mathcal{P}(\underline{k}') \\ {}^{d} \downarrow & \downarrow^{d'} \\ \mathcal{P}(\underline{r}) \xrightarrow{\phi_R} \mathcal{P}(B) \end{array}$$

where d and d' are cells.

4) Every subcomplex  $K \subset \Box^r$  has a refinement  $K_R \subset \Box^{|B|}$ .

There is a canonical diagram of simplicial set maps



Starting knowledge of a system could be an initial HDA  $K_0 \subset \Box^{n_0}$ , but there could be successive refinements



#### Examples

1)  $\emptyset \subset \{1,2\}$  is a refinement of <u>1</u> in <u>2</u>. The corr. poset map  $1 \to 1^{\times 2}$  is the diagonal 1-simplex

$$(0,0) \to (1,1).$$

2) The string  $\emptyset \subset \{1,2\} \subset \{1,2,3,4\}$  is a refinement of  $\underline{2}$  in  $\underline{4}$ . The corresponding poset map  $\mathbf{1}^{\times 2} \to \mathbf{1}^{\times 4}$  is defined by the picture

This picture also defines the subdivision  $sd(\square^2)$  of  $\square^2$  in  $\square^4$ .

#### References

Rudolf Fritsch and Dana May Latch. Homotopy inverses for nerve. Math. Z., 177(2):147–179, 1981.

#### J. F. Jardine.

Path categories and resolutions.

Homology Homotopy Appl., 12(2):231-244, 2010.

#### Michael D. Misamore.

Computing path categories of finite directed cubical complexes.

Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing, pages 1–14, 2014.