

## Generalized linear hypothesis

The usual model  $Y = XB + U$ ,  $X$   $n \times p$ ,  $\text{rank } X = p$   
 $u_i \sim N_d(0, \Sigma)$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$ , independent

$$H_0: AB D = 0, \quad A \quad q \times p \quad \text{rank } A = q, \quad q \leq p \\ \Leftrightarrow A \Lambda = 0, \quad D \quad d \times q \quad \text{rank } D = q, \quad q \leq d$$

$$Y = XB + U \Rightarrow YD = XBD + UD \Leftrightarrow Y_D = X\Lambda + U_D$$

$$\underline{u}_{Di}^T = \underline{u}_i^T D \Rightarrow \underline{u}_{Di} = D^T \underline{u}_i \sim N_q(0, D^T \Sigma D)$$

$$H = (A \hat{\Lambda})^T (A (X^T X)^{-1} A^T)^{-1} A \hat{\Lambda} \quad \text{cf. "corollary" 1 to theorem 8.5} \\ = (A (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y_D)^T (A (X^T X)^{-1} A^T)^{-1} A (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y_D \\ = (A (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y_D)^T (A (X^T X)^{-1} A^T)^{-1} A (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y_D \\ = (A \hat{B} D)^T (A (X^T X)^{-1} A^T)^{-1} A \hat{B} D \\ \sim W_q(q, D^T \Sigma D) \quad \text{when } H_0 \text{ is true cf. theorem 8.5}$$

$$E = Y_D^T (I_n - X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T) Y_D \quad \text{cf. formula (8.12)} \\ = D^T Y^T (I_n - X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T) Y D \\ \sim W_q(n-p, D^T \Sigma D) \quad \text{cf. theorem 8.5}$$

$E$  and  $H$  independent

For  $\text{rank } X = r < p$ :

$$H = (A \hat{B} D)^T (A (X^T X)^{-1} A^T)^{-1} A \hat{B} D \sim W_q(q, D^T \Sigma D) \quad \text{when } H_0 \\ \text{is true} \\ E = D^T Y (I_n - X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T) Y D \sim W_q(n-r, D^T \Sigma D) \\ (\hat{B} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y)$$

Profile analysis / K populations

(K=2 is earlier dealt with, cf. lecture note MA 11)

Consider samples from K d-dimensional normal distributed populations with equal variance matrix:

$$\underline{x}_i^k \sim N_d(\underline{\mu}_k, \Sigma), \quad i=1, \dots, m_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^K m_k = n$$

$$k=1, \dots, K$$

$$\Leftrightarrow X = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{1}_{m_1} & \underline{0} & \dots & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{1}_{m_2} & \dots & \underline{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \underline{0} & \underline{0} & \dots & \underline{1}_{m_K} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mu}_1^T \\ \underline{\mu}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\mu}_K^T \end{bmatrix} + U, \quad \underline{u}_i \sim N_d(\underline{0}, \Sigma),$$

$i=1, \dots, n,$   
independent

$H_{01}$ : parallel profiles

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{\mu}_{k-1, j-1} - \underline{\mu}_{k-1, j} = \underline{\mu}_{k, j-1} - \underline{\mu}_{k, j}, \quad j=2, \dots, d$$

$k=2, \dots, K$

$$\Leftrightarrow C_1 \underline{\mu}_{k-1} = C_1 \underline{\mu}_k, \quad k=2, \dots, K$$

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d-1) x d

$$\Leftrightarrow (\underline{\mu}_{k-1}^T - \underline{\mu}_k^T) C_1^T = \underline{0}^T, \quad k=2, \dots, K$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A_1 \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mu}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\mu}_K^T \end{bmatrix} C_1^T = \underline{0}, \quad A_1, (K-1) \times K \text{ of same structure as } C_1$$

$H_{02}$ : The profiles have same level

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{d} (\mu_{k-1,1} + \dots + \mu_{k-1,d}) = \frac{1}{d} (\mu_{k,1} + \dots + \mu_{k,d}) \quad k=2, \dots, K$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{1}_d^T \underline{\mu}_{k-1} = \underline{1}_d^T \underline{\mu}_k, \quad k=2, \dots, K$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\underline{\mu}_{k-1}^T - \underline{\mu}_k^T) \underline{1}_d = \underline{0}, \quad k=2, \dots, K$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A_1 \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mu}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\mu}_K^T \end{bmatrix} \underline{1}_d = \underline{0}$$

$H_{03}$ : no "main effect" from the  $d$  variables

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{K} (\mu_{1,j-1} + \dots + \mu_{K,j-1}) = \frac{1}{K} (\mu_{1,j} + \dots + \mu_{K,j}) \quad j=2, \dots, d$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\mu_{1,j-1} + \dots + \mu_{K,j-1}) - (\mu_{1,j} + \dots + \mu_{K,j}) = 0 \quad j=2, \dots, d$$

$$\Leftrightarrow C_1 (\underline{\mu}_1 + \dots + \underline{\mu}_K) = \underline{0}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow C_1 [\underline{\mu}_1 \dots \underline{\mu}_K] \underline{1}_K = \underline{0}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{1}_K^T \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mu}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\mu}_K^T \end{bmatrix} C_1^T = \underline{0}^T$$

Both  $H_{01}$ ,  $H_{02}$  and  $H_{03}$  are on the form

$$ABD = 0$$

$H_{01} \cap H_{02}$ : coinciding profiles

$H_{01} \cap H_{03}$ : parallel and horizontal profiles

$H_{01} \cap H_{02} \cap H_{03}$ : coinciding and horizontal profiles

Test statistic for  $H_0 \cap H_03$  :

$$T^2 = n (C, \bar{x})^T (C, S_n C^T)^{-1} C, \bar{x} \sim T^2(d-1, n-K)$$

cf. ex. 8.11

Note that  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^K m_k \bar{x}^k$  ,  $\bar{x}^k = \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} x_{i^k}$

$$S_n = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K (m_k - 1) S_k}{\sum_{k=1}^K (m_k - 1)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K (m_k - 1) S_k}{n - K}$$

$$E S_k = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K (m_k - 1) E S_k}{n - K} = \frac{(n - K) \Sigma}{n - K} = \Sigma$$

$$(n - K) S_n \sim W_d(n - K, \Sigma) , \text{ as}$$

$$(m_k - 1) S_k \sim W_d(m_k - 1, \Sigma) ,$$

$k = 1, \dots, K$  , independent

Test for mean

(earlier developed, cf. lecture note MA7)

$$y_i \sim N_d(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma), \quad i=1, \dots, n, \quad \text{independent}$$

$$H_0: D^T \underline{\mu} = \underline{0}, \quad D^T \text{ } q \times d, \quad \text{rank } D = q$$

$$Y = \mathbf{1}_n \underline{\mu}^T + U = XB + U, \quad u_i \sim N_d(\underline{0}, \Sigma), \quad i=1, \dots, n \\ \text{independent}$$

$$H_0: D^T \underline{\mu} = \underline{0} \Leftrightarrow \underline{\mu}^T D = \underline{0}^T \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}_n^T D = \underline{0}^T \Leftrightarrow ABD = \underline{0}^T$$

$$E = D^T Y^T (I_n - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_n^T Y D \quad \text{cf. formula (8.72)} \\ = D^T Y^T (I_n - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T) Y D \\ = D^T Q D, \quad \text{cf. formula (1.13)}$$

$$H = D^T \mathbf{1}_n^T \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_n (\mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_n (\mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_n^T Y D \\ \text{cf. formula (8.71)} \\ = n D^T \bar{y} \bar{y}^T D, \quad \text{rank } H = 1$$

$$T_q^2 = (n-1) \text{tr} (n D^T \bar{y} \bar{y}^T D (D^T Q D)^{-1}) \quad \text{cf. formula (2.56)} \\ = n \bar{y}^T D (D^T S D)^{-1} D^T \bar{y} \sim T^2(q, n-1)$$

hence the same test statistic as earlier found  
cf. formula (3.17) with  $A = D^T$  and  $b = \underline{0}$

Step down procedures

$$Y = XB + U, \quad \underline{u}_i \sim N_d(\underline{0}, \Sigma), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{independent}$$

$$H_0: AB = 0$$

$$Y_k = [y^{(1)} \dots y^{(k)}], \quad B_k = [\underline{\beta}^{(1)} \dots \underline{\beta}^{(k)}]$$

$\Sigma_k$ :  $k$ 'th leading minor matrix in  $\Sigma$

$$\Sigma_k = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{k-1} & \underline{c}_{k-1,k} \\ \underline{c}_{k-1,k}^T & c_{kk} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{y}_i^* = \begin{bmatrix} y_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{i,k-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad k=2, \dots, d$$

$$EY = XB \Leftrightarrow E y_{ij} = \underline{x}_i^T \underline{\beta}^{(j)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, \dots, d$$

$$c_{kk}^2 = \frac{1}{(\Sigma^{-1})_{kk}} = \frac{\det \Sigma_n}{\det \Sigma_{k-1}} \quad \text{cf. lemma 2.5}$$

$$y_{ik} | \underline{y}_i^* \sim N(\underline{x}_i^T \underline{\beta}^{(k)} + \underline{c}_{k-1,k}^T \Sigma_{k-1}^{-1} (\underline{y}_i^* - B_{k-1}^T \underline{x}_i), c_{kk}^2)$$

cf. theorem 2.1 (viii) and lemma 2.5

$$y_1, \dots, y_n \text{ indep.} \Rightarrow y_{1k} | \underline{y}_1^*, \dots, y_{nk} | \underline{y}_n^* \text{ indep.}$$

Let  $X^{(k-1)} = \Sigma_{k-1}^{-1} \underline{c}_{k-1,k}$  and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{c}_{k-1,k}^T \Sigma_{k-1}^{-1} (\underline{y}_i^* - B_{k-1}^T \underline{x}_i) &= (\underline{y}_i^{*T} - \underline{x}_i^T B_{k-1}) \Sigma_{k-1}^{-1} \underline{c}_{k-1,k} \\ &= (\underline{y}_i^{*T} - \underline{x}_i^T B_{k-1}) X^{(k-1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$y^{(k)} | Y_{k-1} \sim N_n(X \underline{\beta}^{(k)} + (Y_{k-1} - X B_{k-1}) X^{(k-1)}, c_{kk}^2 I_n), \quad k=2, \dots, d$$

$$\text{Let } \underline{\eta}^{(k)} = \underline{\beta}^{(k)} - B_{k-1} \underline{\eta}^{(k-1)}, \quad k=2, \dots, d, \quad \underline{\eta}^{(1)} = \underline{\beta}^{(1)}$$

$$y^{(k)} | Y_{k-1} \sim N_n (X \underline{\eta}^{(k)} + Y_{k-1} \underline{\eta}^{(k-1)}, \sigma_k^2 I_n), \quad k=2, \dots, d$$

$$\text{Also we have } y^{(1)} \sim N_n (X \underline{\beta}^{(1)}, \sigma_{11} I_n)$$

Assume  $(\underline{a}_i^T)_{\underline{\beta}^{(j)}}$ ,  $i=1, \dots, q$ ,  $j=1, \dots, d$ , are estimable

$$AB=0 \Leftrightarrow A \underline{\beta}^{(k)} = \underline{0}, \quad k=1, \dots, d$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} A \underline{\beta}^{(1)} = \underline{0} \\ A (\underline{\beta}^{(k)} - [\underline{\beta}^{(1)} \dots \underline{\beta}^{(k-1)}] \underline{\eta}^{(k-1)}) = \underline{0}, \quad k=2, \dots, d \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A \underline{\eta}^{(k)} = \underline{0}, \quad k=1, \dots, d$$

$$H_{0k}: A \underline{\eta}^{(k)} = \underline{0}, \quad \bigcap_{k=1}^d H_{0k} \text{ equivalent to } H_0$$

When testing  $H_{0k}$  in the model

$$y^{(k)} | Y_{k-1} = X \underline{\eta}^{(k)} + Y_{k-1} \underline{\eta}^{(k-1)} + \underline{u}_0^{(k)}, \quad \underline{u}_0^{(k)} \sim N_n (\underline{0}, \sigma_k^2 I_n)$$

we can use a test statistic  $F_k$  developed in the context of analysis of covariance, cf. p. 464 bottom (section 9.5.1)

$$F_k | Y_{k-1} \sim F(q, n-r-k+1) \text{ when } H_0 \text{ is true, cf. p. 465 top}$$

$\Rightarrow F_k \sim F(q, n-r-k+1)$  when  $H_0$  is true, as the distribution does not depend on  $Y_{k-1}$

$\Rightarrow F_k$  independent of  $Y_{k-1}$  when  $H_0$  is true

$\Rightarrow F_k$  independent of  $F_{k-1}, \dots, F_1$  when  $H_0$  is true

$\Rightarrow F_1, \dots, F_k$  independent when  $H_0$  is true

$$1 - \alpha = \prod_{k=1}^d (1 - \alpha_k), \text{ where}$$

$\alpha$  is the significance level when testing  $H_0$   
 $\alpha_k$  - - - - -  $H_{0k}$

Multiple design model

Assume  $y^{(j)} = \underline{\theta}^{(j)} + \underline{u}^{(j)}, j = 1, \dots, d$   
 $= X_j \underline{\beta}^{(j)} + \underline{u}^{(j)}, X_j \text{ } n \times p_j$

and  $H_{0j}: A_j \underline{\beta}^{(j)} = \underline{c}^{(j)}, A_j \text{ } q_j \times p_j$   
 $\underline{c}^{(j)} \text{ } q_j\text{-dim}$

$$H_0 = \bigcap_{j=1}^d H_{0j}$$

Ex.

EXAMPLE 8.1 Suppose we have two correlated regression models

$$E[y_{i1}] = \theta_{i1} = \beta_{10} + \beta_{11}x_i$$

and

$$E[y_{i2}] = \theta_{i2} = \beta_{20} + \beta_{21}x_i + \beta_{22}x_i^2 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Here  $Y = [(y_{ij})] = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)})$ ,

$$\theta^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{11} \\ \theta_{21} \\ \vdots \\ \theta_{n1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{10} \\ \beta_{11} \end{pmatrix} = X_1 \beta^{(1)}$$

and

$$\theta^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{12} \\ \theta_{22} \\ \vdots \\ \theta_{n2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{20} \\ \beta_{21} \\ \beta_{22} \end{pmatrix} = X_2 \beta^{(2)}.$$

The hypothesis of no regression on  $x$  then takes the form

$$H_0: \beta_{11} = 0, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{21} \\ \beta_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that

$$\underline{A}_1 \uparrow \quad \underline{A}_2 \uparrow$$

$$A_1 = (0, 1) \text{ and } A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$



$$X = [X_1 \ X_2 \ \dots \ X_d] \quad n \times r, \quad r = \sum_{j=1}^d r_j, \quad \text{rank } X = r$$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \underline{\lambda} \neq \underline{0} : \underline{y} &= Y \underline{\lambda}, \quad \Theta \underline{\lambda} = [\underline{\theta}^{(1)} \ \dots \ \underline{\theta}^{(d)}] \underline{\lambda} \\ &= [X_1 \beta^{(1)} \ \dots \ X_d \beta^{(d)}] \underline{\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d X_j \beta^{(j)} \lambda_j \\ &= X \underline{\beta}, \quad \text{where } \underline{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \beta^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_d \beta^{(d)} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$H_{0j\ell} : \lambda_j A_j \beta^{(j)} = \lambda_j \underline{c}^{(j)}, \quad \bigcap_{\ell=1}^d H_{0j\ell} \text{ equivalent to } H_0$$

$$\text{Let } q = \max(r_1, \dots, r_d)$$

$$- \quad A_j^* = \begin{bmatrix} A_j & r_j \\ 0 & q - r_j \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = [A_1^* \ A_2^* \ \dots \ A_d^*] \quad q \times r$$

$$- \quad \underline{c}^{(j)*} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{c}^{(j)} & r_j \\ 0 & q - r_j \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [\underline{c}^{(1)*} \ \underline{c}^{(2)*} \ \dots \ \underline{c}^{(d)*}] \quad q \times d$$

$$- \quad \underline{c} = C \underline{\lambda}$$

$$H_{0\ell} : \sum_{j=1}^d A_j^* \lambda_j \beta^{(j)} = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j \underline{c}^{(j)*} \Leftrightarrow A \underline{\beta} = \underline{c}$$

$$\bigcap_{\ell} H_{0\ell} \text{ equivalent to } H_0$$

Assume  $A \underline{\beta}$  is estimable

Test statistic for  $H_{0\ell}$ :

$$F_{\ell} = \frac{n-r}{q} \frac{\underline{\lambda}^T H \underline{\lambda}}{\underline{\lambda}^T E \underline{\lambda}} \sim F(q, n-r) \text{ when } H_{0\ell} \text{ is true,}$$

As test statistic for  $H_0$  we can use  $\lambda_{\max}$ , the largest eigenvalue corresponding to  $HE^{-1}$ , cf. lecture note 14 p. 4

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E &\sim W_d(n-r, Z) \\ H &\sim W_d(q, Z) \text{ when } H_0 \text{ is true} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ independent}$$

cf. theorem 2.4 (ii)

Alternative test statistic for test of  $H_0$

$$\Lambda = \frac{\det E}{\det(E+H)} \sim U(d, q, n-r)$$

Note that  $\underline{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\beta}^{(1)} & \underline{0} & \dots & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{\beta}^{(2)} & \dots & \underline{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \underline{0} & \underline{0} & \dots & \underline{\beta}^{(d)} \end{bmatrix} \underline{\ell} = B_d \underline{\ell}$ , i.e.

$$H_0: AB_d \underline{\ell} = C \underline{\ell} \iff H_0: AB_d = C$$

Also  $\underline{y} = Y \underline{\ell} = \Theta \underline{\ell} + U \underline{\ell} = X B_d \underline{\ell} + U \underline{\ell}$ ,

$$\iff Y = X B_d + U$$

$$E = Y^T (I_m - X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T) Y$$

$$\begin{aligned} H &= (A \hat{B}_d - C)^T (A (X^T X)^{-1} A^T)^{-1} (A \hat{B}_d - C) \quad \text{cf. "corollary" 1} \\ &= (A (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y - C)^T (A (X^T X)^{-1} A^T)^{-1} (A (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y - C) \quad \text{to theorem 2.5} \end{aligned}$$

$\hat{B}_d$  is not efficient, as it may contain estimates of some elements of  $B_d$  known to be zero.