

Mahalanobis' distance

Consider a population with $E \underline{x} = \underline{\mu}$ and $\text{Var } \underline{x} = \Sigma$

$$\Delta(\underline{x}, \underline{\mu}) = \sqrt{(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})}$$

Compare with:
(Euclidian dist.)

$$\begin{aligned} d(\underline{x}, \underline{\mu}) &= \sqrt{(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})^T (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})} \\ &= \|\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}\| \end{aligned}$$

Let $\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_m$ be a sample

$$D(\underline{x}, \bar{\underline{x}}) = \sqrt{(\underline{x} - \bar{\underline{x}})^T S^{-1} (\underline{x} - \bar{\underline{x}})}$$

$$D(\underline{x}_r, \underline{x}_s) = \sqrt{(\underline{x}_r - \underline{x}_s)^T S^{-1} (\underline{x}_r - \underline{x}_s)}$$

Angle between \underline{x}_r and \underline{x}_s

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\underline{x}_r^T S^{-1} \underline{x}_s}{D(\underline{x}_r, \underline{\mu}) D(\underline{x}_s, \underline{\mu})}$$

Compare with $\cos \theta = \frac{\underline{x}_r^T \underline{x}_s}{\|\underline{x}_r\| \|\underline{x}_s\|}$

Consider two populations with equal Σ

$$\Delta(\underline{\mu}_1, \underline{\mu}_2) = \sqrt{(\underline{\mu}_2 - \underline{\mu}_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\underline{\mu}_2 - \underline{\mu}_1)}$$

$$D(\bar{\underline{x}}_1, \bar{\underline{x}}_2) = \sqrt{(\bar{\underline{x}}_1 - \bar{\underline{x}}_2)^T S_p^{-1} (\bar{\underline{x}}_1 - \bar{\underline{x}}_2)}$$

where $S_p = \frac{(n_1 - 1) S_1 + (n_2 - 1) S_2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$

Simultaneous test

Define E_i : i 'th hypothesis is accepted
 $i = 1, \dots, r$

$$P(E_i) = 1 - \alpha_i$$

$$P(\bigcap_i E_i) = 1 - \alpha$$

How to choose α_i ?

Bonferroni's method (conservative)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note that } P(\bigcap_i E_i) &= 1 - P(\bigcup_i \bar{E}_i) \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_i P(\bar{E}_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Choose } \alpha_i = \frac{\alpha}{r}$$

$$P(\bigcap_i E_i) \geq 1 - \sum_i \frac{\alpha}{r} = 1 - r \frac{\alpha}{r} = 1 - \alpha$$

U- \cap -principle

Hypothesis H_0 , assume $H_0 = \bigcap_a H_{0a}$ }
 Alternative H_1 , assume $H_1 = \bigcup_a H_{1a}$ }
 $a \in A$

$$\text{Ex. } H_0: \underline{\theta} = \underline{0} \quad H_1: \underline{\theta} \neq \underline{0}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Choose for } H_{0a}: \underline{a}^T \underline{\theta} = 0 \\ H_{1a}: \underline{a}^T \underline{\theta} \neq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} H_{0a} \\ H_{1a} \end{aligned}} \right\} \underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\varphi_1 = \theta_1$$

$$\varphi_i = \theta_i - \theta_{i-1}$$

$$i = 2, \dots, d$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Alt. choice for } H_{0a}: \varphi_a = 0 \\ H_{1a}: \varphi_a \neq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} H_{0a} \\ H_{1a} \end{aligned}} \right\} a = 1, \dots, d$$

Likelihood ratio test

\underline{y} stochastic vector with joint density function

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_n; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k), \quad (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in \Omega$$

the parameters

short notation: $f(\underline{y}; \underline{\theta}), \quad \underline{\theta} \in \Omega$

The likelihood function

$$L(\underline{\theta}) = f(\underline{\theta}; \underline{y})$$

Hypothesis $H_0: \underline{\theta} \in \omega \subset \Omega$

The likelihood ratio

$$l = \frac{\sup_{\underline{\theta} \in \omega} L(\underline{\theta})}{\sup_{\underline{\theta} \in \Omega} L(\underline{\theta})}$$

Critical area: $l_{obs} < l_\alpha$ (α is the significance level)

Approximative distribution of l :

$$-2 \ln l \sim \chi^2(\nu), \quad \nu = \text{"dim } \Omega \text{"} - \text{"dim } \omega \text{"}$$

The multivariate normal distribution

See lecture note MA 2 (ii)

Definition ν : \underline{y} is multivariate normal

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \underline{\ell}: \underline{\ell}^T \underline{y} \sim N(\underline{\ell}^T \underline{\theta}, \underline{\ell}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{\ell})$$

singular when $\exists \underline{\ell} \neq \underline{0}: \underline{\ell}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{\ell} = 0$

The Multidimensional Normal Distribution

Definition

The stochastic vector $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)$ is said to be d -dimensional normal distributed, when y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d have the simultaneous density function

$$f_{\mathbf{y}}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\det \Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta})\right),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, \Sigma > 0$. A short notation is $\mathbf{y} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \Sigma)$.

By use of the linear transformation

$$\mathbf{y} = \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

with Jacobian $\frac{\partial(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)}{\partial(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)} = \det \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}$, the simultaneous density function for x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{x}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\det \Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}) \Sigma^{-1} (\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x})\right) |\det \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}| \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}\right) \quad (\text{that is } \mathbf{x} \sim N_d(\mathbf{0}, I_d)) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The product structure shows that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d are independent, and that $x_i \sim N(0, 1), i = 1, \dots, d$. Hence $E\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\text{Var } \mathbf{x} = I_d$.

Now it is easily seen that

$$E\mathbf{y} = \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} E\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\theta} = \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{0} + \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

and

$$\text{Var } \mathbf{y} = \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{Var } \mathbf{x} \left(\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\top} = \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} I_d \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Sigma.$$

□

After these preliminaries we will look into theorem 2.1 in the book starting by deducing the moment generating function (part (iii)). Next we will prove part (i), (ii), (iv), (v), (vi) and (viii). For the proof of part (vii) the reader is referred to exercise 2.1.

Proof of theorem 2.1 in the book

Ad (iii):

By definition $M_{\mathbf{y}}(t_1, \dots, t_d)$, also written as $M_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{t})$, becomes

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{t}) &= \mathbb{E} \left(\exp(\mathbf{t}^{\top} \mathbf{y}) \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(\mathbf{t}^{\top} \mathbf{y}) (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\det \Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta})^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) d\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We will here use the same change of variables as on page 1. The calculations are straight forward.

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{t}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp \left(\mathbf{t}^{\top} \left(\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \right) (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\det \Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x} \right) |\det \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}| d\Omega_1 \\ &= \exp(\mathbf{t}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\theta}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left(\mathbf{t}^{\top} \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x} \right) d\Omega_1 \\ &= \exp \left(\mathbf{t}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^{\top} \Sigma \mathbf{t} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{t}^{\top} \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{t}^{\top} \Sigma \mathbf{t} \right) \right) d\Omega_1 \\ &= \exp \left(\mathbf{t}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^{\top} \Sigma \mathbf{t} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{x} - \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t} \right)^{\top} \left(\mathbf{x} - \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t} \right) \right) d\Omega_1 \\ &= \exp \left(\mathbf{t}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^{\top} \Sigma \mathbf{t} \right), \end{aligned}$$

as the function under the last integral sign is the density of $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}, I_d \right)$.
□

Ad (i):

We begin by proving

Lemma 1

$$M_{Ay+b}(t) = \exp(t^\top b) M_y(A^\top t).$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} M_{Ay+b}(t) &= E(\exp(t^\top (Ay + b))) \\ &= \exp(t^\top b) E(\exp(t^\top Ay)) \\ &= \exp(t^\top b) E(\exp((A^\top t)^\top y)) \\ &= \exp(t^\top b) M_y(A^\top t). \end{aligned}$$

□

With $y \sim N_d(\theta, \Sigma)$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times d}$, $\text{rank } C = q$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} M_{Cy+d}(t) &= \exp(t^\top d) M_y(C^\top t), \text{ cf. lemma 1} \\ &= \exp(t^\top d) \exp\left((C^\top t)^\top \theta + \frac{1}{2} (C^\top t)^\top \Sigma (C^\top t)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(t^\top (C\theta + d) + \frac{1}{2} t^\top C \Sigma C^\top t\right), \end{aligned}$$

which shows – as $C \Sigma C^\top > 0$ cf. app. A5.7 – that

$$Cy + d \sim N_q(C\theta + d, C \Sigma C^\top),$$

in particular

$$Cy \sim N_q(C\theta, C \Sigma C^\top).$$

□

Ad (ii):

Choosing $C = (I_{d_1} \ O)$ and using (i) we get

$$(I_{d_1} \ O)y \sim N_{d_1}\left((I_{d_1} \ O)\theta, (I_{d_1} \ O)\Sigma \begin{pmatrix} I_{d_1} \\ O \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

which is

$$y^{(1)} \sim N_{d_1}(\theta^{(1)}, \Sigma_{11}).$$

Any choice of components from \mathbf{y} will form a normal distributed vector or variable. □

Ad (iv):

First we prove

Lemma 2

Let \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} have the same dimension. Then we have

$$\mathbf{x} \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \text{ are independent} \Rightarrow M_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{t}) = M_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t})M_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{t})$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{t}) &= \mathbb{E} [\exp (\mathbf{t}^\top (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}))] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\exp (\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{y})] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\exp (\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{x}) \exp (\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{y})] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\exp (\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{x})] \mathbb{E} [\exp (\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{y})] \\ &= M_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t})M_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{t}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{t} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}_1 \\ \mathbf{t}_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where both \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{t} are partitioned in a d_1 - and a d_2 -dimensional part.

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{t}) &= \exp \left(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^\top \Sigma \mathbf{t} \right) \\ &= \exp \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}_1^\top & \mathbf{t}_2^\top \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}_1^\top & \mathbf{t}_2^\top \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}_1 \\ \mathbf{t}_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \exp \left(\mathbf{t}_1^\top \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)} + \mathbf{t}_2^\top \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}_1^\top \Sigma_{11} \mathbf{t}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}_2^\top \Sigma_{21} \mathbf{t}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}_1^\top \Sigma_{12} \mathbf{t}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}_2^\top \Sigma_{22} \mathbf{t}_2 \right) \\ &= \exp \left(\mathbf{t}_1^\top \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}_1^\top \Sigma_{11} \mathbf{t}_1 \right) \exp (\mathbf{t}_1^\top \Sigma_{12} \mathbf{t}_2) \exp \left(\mathbf{t}_2^\top \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}_2^\top \Sigma_{22} \mathbf{t}_2 \right) \\ &= M_{\mathbf{y}^{(1)}}(\mathbf{t}_1) \exp (\mathbf{t}_1^\top \Sigma_{12} \mathbf{t}_2) M_{\mathbf{y}^{(2)}}(\mathbf{t}_2) \\ &= M_{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}}(\mathbf{t}) \exp (\mathbf{t}_1^\top \Sigma_{12} \mathbf{t}_2) M_{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}}(\mathbf{t}). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \text{ and } \mathbf{y}^{(2)} \text{ are independent} &\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \text{ are independent} \\
 &\Rightarrow \exp(\mathbf{t}_1^\top \Sigma_{12} \mathbf{t}_2) = 1 \text{ for all } \mathbf{t}, \text{ cf. lemma 2} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \Sigma_{12} = \mathbf{O} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \text{Cov}(\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \mathbf{y}^{(2)}) = \mathbf{O}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \mathbf{y}^{(2)}) = \mathbf{O} \dots$ to be continued on page 7 \square

Ad (v):

We will show that the linear transformations

$$\mathbf{u}_i = A_i \mathbf{y}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

are mutually independent if and only if

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j) = \mathbf{O}, \quad i \neq j.$$

Let

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_i \\ \mathbf{u}_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_i \mathbf{y} \\ A_j \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_i \\ A_j \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} = A \mathbf{y}.$$

Assume that A_i has full rank ($< d$), $i = 1, \dots, m$. Notice that $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j) = \mathbf{O} \Leftrightarrow \text{Cov}(A_i \mathbf{y}, A_j \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{O} \Leftrightarrow A_i \Sigma A_j^\top = \mathbf{O}$, which shows that the system of rows in A_i are orthogonal on the system of rows in A_j with respect to the inner product $\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top \Sigma \boldsymbol{\beta}$. Therefore $\text{rank } A = \text{rank } A_i + \text{rank } A_j (= q \leq d)$ and $\mathbf{u} \sim N_q(A\boldsymbol{\theta}, A\Sigma A^\top)$.

Now $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j) = \mathbf{O} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{u}_i$ and \mathbf{u}_j independent, cf. (iv). \square

Ad (vi):

Considering

$$(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

and once again using the transformation $\mathbf{y} = \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\theta}$ we find

$$(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 \sim \chi^2(d),$$

as the x_i 's are independent and $N(0, 1)$, cf. page 1. \square

Ad (vii):

See exercise 2.1.

Ad (viii):

Let \mathbf{y} be partitioned as before:

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \sim N_{d_1+d_2} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

We introduce $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y}^{(2)} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{(1)} \sim N_d(\cdot, \cdot)$ and consider the vector $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{pmatrix}$.

Notice that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{d_1} & 0 \\ -\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1} & I_{d_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix},$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{d_1} & 0 \\ -\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1} & I_{d_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{d_1} & -(\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1})^\top \\ 0 & I_{d_2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ 0 & -\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12} + \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{d_1} & -\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12} \\ 0 & I_{d_2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathbf{y}^{(1)}$ and \mathbf{z} are independent, cf. (iv).

Calculating

$$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{y}^{(2)} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{y}^{(1)} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(2)} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)},$$

and noticing

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var } \mathbf{z} &= \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12} \\ &= \Sigma_{22 \cdot 1}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\mathbf{z} \sim N_{d_2} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(2)} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)}, \Sigma_{22 \cdot 1} \right).$$

Now from the introduction of \mathbf{z} we express

$$\mathbf{y}^{(2)} = \mathbf{z} + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{(1)},$$

which conditionally on $\mathbf{y}^{(1)}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}^{(2)} | \mathbf{y}^{(1)} &= \mathbf{z} | \mathbf{y}^{(1)} + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ &= \mathbf{z} + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} E[\mathbf{y}^{(2)}|\mathbf{y}^{(1)}] &= E\mathbf{z} + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(2)} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)} + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(2)} + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{y}^{(2)}|\mathbf{y}^{(1)}) = \text{Var} \mathbf{z} = \Sigma_{22 \cdot 1}.$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{y}^{(2)}|\mathbf{y}^{(1)} \sim N_{d_2} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(2)} + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)}), \Sigma_{22 \cdot 1} \right).$$

□

2.4.2001/BR, *LaTeX version by Tina Madsen.*

... continued from page 5 :

Set $\mathbf{y} = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)})$ and note that $\Sigma_{12} = \mathbf{0}$. It follows

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^T & \Sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \Sigma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^T & \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) &= \begin{bmatrix} y^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)} \\ y^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^T & \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)} \\ y^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (y^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)})^T \Sigma_{11}^{-1} (y^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)}) + (y^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)})^T \Sigma_{22}^{-1} (y^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)}) \\ &= h_1(y^{(1)}) + h_2(y^{(2)}) \end{aligned}$$

The density function of \mathbf{y} becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d_1}, y_{21}, \dots, y_{2d_2}) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d_1+d_2}{2}} (\det \Sigma_{11} \det \Sigma_{22})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (h_1(y^{(1)}) + h_2(y^{(2)})) \right) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d_1}{2}} (\det \Sigma_{11})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} h_1(y^{(1)}) \right) (2\pi)^{-\frac{d_2}{2}} (\det \Sigma_{22})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} h_2(y^{(2)}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $y^{(1)}$ and $y^{(2)}$ are independent.