

## Exercises in ordinary differential equations

Horia Cornean, d. 12/03/2015.

**Exercise 1 (Grönwall inequality).** Consider a non-negative continuous function  $f : (a, b) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  and fix  $t_0 \in (a, b)$ . Assume that the following inequality holds for every  $t \in (a, b)$ , where  $C$  and  $\alpha$  are positive constants:

$$f(t) \leq \alpha + C \left| \int_{t_0}^t f(s) ds \right|.$$

Show that  $0 \leq f(t) \leq \alpha e^{C|t-t_0|}$ .

**Hint.** Assume that  $t > t_0$ . In this case we have:

$$f(t) \leq \alpha + C \int_{t_0}^t f(s) ds.$$

We may rewrite this inequality in the following way:

$$f(s) \leq \alpha + C \int_{t_0}^s f(t_1) dt_1.$$

Introducing the second inequality into the first one we get:

$$f(t) \leq \alpha + C(t - t_0)\alpha + C^2 \int_{t_0}^t \left( \int_{t_0}^s f(t_1) dt_1 \right) ds.$$

Using

$$f(t_1) \leq \alpha + C \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t_2) dt_2$$

in the previous inequality we obtain:

$$f(t) \leq \alpha + C(t - t_0)\alpha + \frac{C^2(t - t_0)^2}{2}\alpha + C^3 \int_{t_0}^t \left( \int_{t_0}^s \left( \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t_2) dt_2 \right) dt_1 \right) ds$$

where we used the identity:

$$\int_{t_0}^t \left( \int_{t_0}^s \alpha dt_1 \right) ds = \frac{(t - t_0)^2}{2} \alpha.$$

In general,

$$\int_{t_0}^t \left( \int_{t_0}^s \left( \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dots \left( \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} \alpha dt_n \right) \dots \right) dt_1 \right) ds = \frac{(t - t_0)^{n+1}}{(n + 1)!} \alpha, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

By repeating the iteration, we get for every  $n \geq 2$  that:

$$f(t) \leq \alpha \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{C^j (t - t_0)^j}{j!} + C^{n+1} \int_{t_0}^t \left( \int_{t_0}^s \left( \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dots \left( \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} f(t_n) dt_n \right) \dots \right) dt_1 \right) ds. \quad (0.1)$$

The function  $f$  is continuous on the compact interval  $[t_0, t]$ , thus it has a maximum  $M < \infty$ . Since  $0 \leq f(x) \leq M$  for all  $t_0 \leq x \leq t$ , by replacing  $f(t_n)$  with  $M$  in (0.1) and integrating we obtain:

$$f(t) \leq \alpha \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{C^j (t-t_0)^j}{j!} + M \frac{C^{n+1} (t-t_0)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}, \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

We observe that  $\frac{C^{n+1}(t-t_0)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$  converges to 0 when  $n$  goes to infinity (why?), while  $\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{C^j (t-t_0)^j}{j!}$  converges to  $e^{C(t-t_0)}$  and we are done.

If  $t < t_0$  the argument is similar, based on the inequality

$$f(t) \leq \alpha + C \int_t^{t_0} f(s) ds.$$

**Exercise 2.** Consider a function  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$  where  $\mathbf{f} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ , which obeys the estimate

$$\|\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x})\| \leq C \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \forall [t, \mathbf{x}] \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

Consider the equation  $\mathbf{y}'(t) = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t))$ , where  $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$ . Show that there exists a **unique** solution  $\mathbf{y} : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$  which solves the equation **for all**  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Hint.** Lemma 7.3 in my Analysis notes implies that  $\mathbf{f}$  obeys a local Lipschitz condition on the set  $[-1, 1] \times \overline{B_1(\mathbf{y}_0)}$ . Then Theorem 6.3 in my notes (local existence) states that there exists a positive  $\delta_1 > 0$  and a differentiable function  $\mathbf{y} : (-\delta_1, \delta_1) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$  which is a **local** solution to our ODE which also obeys:

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{y}(0) + \int_0^t \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}(s)) ds, \quad |t| < \delta_1.$$

The hard part of this exercise is to show that the above solution exists for all  $t$ . This is what we do now.

Using the estimate  $\|\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}(s))\| \leq C \|\mathbf{y}(s)\|$  we can write (take  $t > 0$ ):

$$\|\mathbf{y}(t)\| \leq \|\mathbf{y}(0)\| + C \int_0^t \|\mathbf{y}(s)\| ds.$$

We know that the function  $\|\mathbf{y}(t)\|$  is continuous, hence Exercise 1 (Grönwall inequality) gives:

$$\|\mathbf{y}(t)\| \leq \|\mathbf{y}(0)\| e^{C|t|}.$$

Now assume that we cannot find a global in time solution, i.e. it only exists for a time interval of the form  $(-T_1, T_2)$  where  $T := \min\{T_1, T_2\} < \infty$  and  $0 < \delta_1 < T$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $T = T_2 < \infty$ . We then have:

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{y}(0) + \int_0^t \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}(s)) ds, \quad \|\mathbf{y}(t)\| \leq \|\mathbf{y}(0)\| e^{CT}, \quad |t| < T.$$

Consider an arbitrary sequence  $\{t_m\}_{m \geq 1} \subset (0, T)$  which converges to  $T$ . Being convergent, the sequence  $\{t_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  is Cauchy. Let us show that the sequence of values  $\{\mathbf{y}(t_m)\}_{m \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is also Cauchy. If  $p > q$  we can write (assume without loss that  $t_p > t_q$ ):

$$\|\mathbf{y}(t_p) - \mathbf{y}(t_q)\| = \left\| \int_{t_q}^{t_p} \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}(s)) ds \right\| \leq \int_{t_q}^{t_p} \|\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}(s))\| ds \leq C \|\mathbf{y}(0)\| e^{CT} |t_p - t_q|$$

which can be made arbitrarily small since  $\{t_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  is Cauchy. Hence  $\{\mathbf{y}(t_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to some vector  $\mathbf{y}_T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $\{s_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is some other sequence in  $(0, T)$  which converges to  $T$ , we have:

$$\|\mathbf{y}(s_n) - \mathbf{y}(t_n)\| \leq C \|\mathbf{y}(0)\| e^{CT} |s_n - t_n| \rightarrow 0$$

which shows that the limit  $\mathbf{y}_T$  is independent of the sequence we choose. Thus we have:

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \mathbf{y}(t) =: \mathbf{y}(T-0) = \mathbf{y}_T, \quad \lim_{t \nearrow T} \mathbf{y}'(t) =: \mathbf{y}'(T-0) = \mathbf{f}(T, \mathbf{y}_T).$$

Now consider the initial value problem  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}'(t) = \mathbf{f}(t, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}(t))$ , where  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}(T) = \mathbf{y}_T$ . The same local existence Theorem 6.3 (where we put  $t_0 = T$  and  $\mathbf{y}_0 = \mathbf{y}_T$ ) allows us to construct a solution on an interval  $(T - \delta_2, T + \delta_2)$  and we have:

$$\lim_{t \searrow T} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}'(t) =: \tilde{\mathbf{y}}'(T+0) = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}'(T) = \mathbf{f}(T, \mathbf{y}_T).$$

Now we can define a function  $\mathbf{z} : (-T_1, T_2 + \delta_2)$  where  $\mathbf{z}(t) = \mathbf{y}(t)$  on  $(-T_1, T_2)$  and  $\mathbf{z}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}(t)$  on  $[T_2, T_2 + \delta_2)$ . We observe that  $\mathbf{z}$  is continuously differentiable and solves the ODE. Thus  $T_2$  can be made larger, which provides a contradiction.

Concerning uniqueness: assume that there exist two solutions  $\mathbf{y}_1$  and  $\mathbf{y}_2$  which both solve the differential equation and  $\mathbf{y}_1(0) = \mathbf{y}_2(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$ . We already know that they exist for all  $t$ . Both of them obey the bound  $\|\mathbf{y}_j(t)\| \leq \|\mathbf{y}_0\|e^{C|t|}$ . If  $\mathbf{y}_0 = 0$  then both of them are identically zero (thus equal). Hence we may assume that  $\mathbf{y}_0 \neq 0$ .

Fix some  $T > 0$ . If  $|t| \leq T$ , then both vectors  $\mathbf{y}_1(t)$  and  $\mathbf{y}_2(t)$  will be contained in the closed ball  $\overline{B_R(\mathbf{y}_0)}$  with  $R := \|\mathbf{y}_0\|e^{CT}$ .

We know from Lemma 7.3 that there exists some  $L < \infty$  such that:

$$\|\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{z})\| \leq L\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|, \quad \forall |s| \leq T, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \in \overline{B_R(\mathbf{y}_0)}.$$

We have the identity:

$$\mathbf{y}_2(t) - \mathbf{y}_1(t) = \int_0^t [\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_2(s)) - \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_1(s))] ds, \quad \forall |t| \leq T.$$

Let  $h(t) := \|\mathbf{y}_2(t) - \mathbf{y}_1(t)\|$ , with  $h(0) = 0$ . Assume that  $t > 0$ . Reasoning as before, we can write:

$$0 \leq h(t) \leq \int_0^t \|\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_2(s)) - \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_1(s))\| ds \leq L \int_0^t h(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

or

$$0 \leq h(t) \leq L \left| \int_0^t h(s) ds \right|, \quad |t| \leq T.$$

Since  $h(0) = 0$ , Grönwall's inequality implies that  $h(t) = 0$  for all  $|t| \leq T$ . Hence  $\mathbf{y}_1$  and  $\mathbf{y}_2$  coincide on that interval. Since  $T$  was arbitrary, the two solutions are equal everywhere.

**Exercise 3.** Let  $\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}) = A(t)\mathbf{x}$  where  $A(t)$  is a  $d \times d$  real matrix where all its components are continuous functions in  $t$  and globally bounded in  $t$ . Show that  $\mathbf{f}$  verifies the conditions of Exercise 2, hence its corresponding ODE has a unique, global solution.

**Exercise 4.** Consider the equation

$$y'(t) = \frac{y^2(t)}{1 - y^2(t)}, \quad y(0) = 1/2.$$

1. Define  $g : (-1, 1) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g(x) = \frac{x^2}{1-x^2}$ . Let  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R} \times (-1, 1) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{f}(t, x) := g(x)$ . Show that  $y'(t) = \mathbf{f}(t, y(t))$  and identify  $d$ ,  $t_0$ ,  $I$  and  $U$ .

2. Show that  $\mathbf{f} \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times U)$  and it obeys a local Lipschitz condition.

3. Show that for  $t$  near 0 we can rewrite the equation as:

$$[y(t) + 1/y(t) + t]' = 0.$$

4. Show that  $y(t) + 1/y(t) = 5/2 - t$  for  $t$  near 0. Find  $y(t)$  out of this algebraic equation, using that our unique solution obeys  $y(0) = 1/2$ .

5. Is it true that the solution can be extended to  $t \in (-\infty, 1/2)$ ?

**Exercise 5.** Let  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$  be a continuous function. Assume that  $\mathbf{f}$  obeys a **global Lipschitz condition**, i.e. there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y})\| \leq C\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Consider the equation  $\mathbf{y}'(t) = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t))$ , where  $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$ . Show that there exists a unique **global** solution  $\mathbf{y} : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$  which solves the equation for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Hint.** The original differential equation is equivalent with the integral equation:

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{y}_0 + \int_0^t \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}(s)) ds.$$

As in Exercise 2, we assume that a solution only exists on an interval of the form  $(-T_1, T_2)$  with  $T := T_2 = \min\{T_1, T_2\} < \infty$ . We will show that this leads to a contradiction. Define  $h(t) := \|\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{y}_0\|$ . We have:

$$\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{y}_0 = \int_0^t \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_0) ds + \int_0^t [\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}(s)) - \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_0)] ds.$$

After taking the norms and using the Lipschitz constant (take  $t > 0$ ):

$$h(t) \leq \int_0^t \|\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_0)\| ds + C \int_0^t h(s) ds \leq \int_0^T \|\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_0)\| ds + C \int_0^t h(s) ds.$$

In general:

$$h(t) \leq \int_0^T \|\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_0)\| ds + C \left| \int_0^t h(s) ds \right|, \quad |t| \leq T.$$

Up to a use of Grönwall's inequality this shows that:

$$h(t) = \|\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{y}_0\| \leq \left( \int_0^T \|\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_0)\| ds \right) e^{CT}, \quad |t| \leq T.$$

In other words, the solution always remains inside a closed ball with center at  $\mathbf{y}_0$  and radius  $R = \left( \int_0^T \|\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_0)\| ds \right) e^{CT}$ . Let

$$M := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \overline{B_R(\mathbf{y}_0)}} \|\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{x})\| < \infty.$$

Choose some sequence  $t_n \in (0, T)$  which converges to  $T$ . We have:

$$\mathbf{y}(t_p) - \mathbf{y}(t_q) = \int_{t_q}^{t_p} \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}(s)) ds, \quad \|\mathbf{y}(t_p) - \mathbf{y}(t_q)\| \leq M|t_p - t_q|.$$

This shows that the sequence  $\{\mathbf{y}(t_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is Cauchy and converges to some  $\mathbf{y}_T$ . If  $s_n \in (0, T)$  is another sequence which converges to  $T$ , we have:

$$\mathbf{y}(t_n) - \mathbf{y}(s_n) = \int_{s_n}^{t_n} \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}(s)) ds, \quad \|\mathbf{y}(t_n) - \mathbf{y}(s_n)\| \leq M|t_n - s_n|$$

which proves that  $\mathbf{y}_T$  is independent of the sequence we choose, hence  $\mathbf{y}(T-0)$  exists and equals  $\mathbf{y}_T$ , and:

$$\mathbf{y}'(T-0) = \mathbf{f}(T, \mathbf{y}_T).$$

Reasoning as in Exercise 2, we can locally extend  $\mathbf{y}$  to the interval  $[T, T + \delta)$ , thus contradicting the maximality of the interval  $(-T_1, T)$ .

Now let us prove uniqueness. Assume that both  $\mathbf{y}_1$  and  $\mathbf{y}_2$  solve the integral equation. Define  $h(t) := \|\mathbf{y}_1(t) - \mathbf{y}_2(t)\|$ . We have:

$$\mathbf{y}_1(t) - \mathbf{y}_2(t) = \int_0^t [\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_1(s)) - \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{y}_2(s))] ds, \quad 0 \leq h(t) \leq C \left| \int_0^t h(s) ds \right|.$$

From Exercise 1 we get  $h(t) = 0$  for all  $t$  and we are done.

**Exercise 6.** Let  $\mathbf{g} : \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^2$  be given by  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = [-x_2, x_1]$  and consider the equation

$$\mathbf{y}'(t) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}(t)), \quad \mathbf{y}(0) = [1, 0].$$

1. Write the equation on each component and show that  $y_1'(t) = -y_2(t)$  and  $y_2'(t) = y_1(t)$ , with  $y_1(0) = 1$  and  $y_2(0) = 0$ . Prove that  $\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and obeys a global Lipschitz condition on the  $\mathbf{x}$  variables. Use Exercise 2 to conclude that the solution is unique and global in time.

2. Show that  $y_1^2(t) + y_2^2(t) = 1$  for all  $t$ .

3. Use the uniqueness of the equation in order to show that  $y_1(t) = y_1^2(t/2) - y_2^2(t/2)$  and  $y_2(t) = 2y_1(t/2)y_2(t/2)$ .

4. Prove that there must exist a  $T > 0$  such that  $y_1(T) = 1$  and  $y_2(T) = 0$ .

5. Use the uniqueness of the equation in order to show that  $y_1(t) = y_1(t + T)$  and  $y_2(t) = y_2(t + T)$  for all  $t$ .

6. Denote by  $2P$  the smallest positive  $T$  for which (4) is true. Show that  $y_1(P) = -1$  and  $y_2(P) = 0$ .

7. Use the uniqueness of the equation and (6) to show that  $y_1(t) = -y_1(P - t)$  and  $y_2(t) = y_2(P - t)$ .

8. Use the uniqueness of the equation and (6) to show that  $y_1(t) = -y_1(P + t)$  and  $y_2(t) = -y_2(P + t)$ .

9. Use the uniqueness of the equation to show that  $y_1(t) = y_1(-t)$  and  $y_2(t) = -y_2(-t)$ .

10. Put  $t = P/2$  in (7) and show that  $y_1(P/2) = 0$ . Use this in (8) to show that  $y_1(3P/2) = 0$ . Use the construction in (4) to prove that  $y_2(P/2) = 1$  and  $y_2(3P/2) = -1$ .

11. Show that  $y_1'(0) = 0$ ,  $y_1''(0) = -1$ ,  $y_1'''(0) = 0$ ,  $y_1^{(4)}(0) = 1, \dots$ . Compute the Taylor series of  $y_1(t)$  around 0 and show that it has an infinite radius of convergence. Can you recognize the function? What about the number  $P$ ?

### Hints.

(2). Show that  $[y_1^2(t) + y_2^2(t)]' = 0$  for all  $t$ .

(3). Define  $\tilde{y}_1(t) := y_1^2(t/2) - y_2^2(t/2)$  and  $\tilde{y}_2(t) := 2y_1(t/2)y_2(t/2)$ . Prove that  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}'(t) = \mathbf{g}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}(t))$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}(0) = [1, 0]$ .

(4). Here it is very important to remember the identity from (2), i.e.  $y_1^2(t) + y_2^2(t) = 1$ . When  $t$  is slightly larger than zero,  $y_2'(t) = y_1(t) \sim 1 > 0$ , thus  $y_2(t)$  increases from the value  $y_2(0) = 0$  and becomes positive. At the same time,  $y_1'(t) = -y_2(t)$  must be negative and  $y_1$  decreases. This remains true up to some value  $t_1 > 0$  where  $y_1(t_1) = 0$  and  $y_2(t_1) = 1$ . If  $t$  is slightly larger than  $t_1$ ,  $y_1'(t) \sim -1$  hence  $y_1$  continues to decrease and becomes negative. Thus  $y_2'(t) = y_1(t) < 0$  and  $y_2$  starts also to decrease. Both  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  decrease until  $t$  reaches some value  $t_2 > t_1$  where  $y_2(t_2) = 0$  and  $y_1(t_2)$  must equal  $-1$ . When  $t$  is slightly larger than  $t_2$ ,  $y_2'(t) = y_1(t) \sim -1$  thus  $y_2$  continues to decrease and becomes negative. Hence  $y_1'(t) = -y_2(t) > 0$  which makes  $y_1$  to increase again. There must exist a point  $t_3 > t_2$  such that  $y_2(t_3) = -1$  and  $y_1(t) = 0$ . Finally, for  $t > t_3$ ,  $y_1$  will continue to increase as long as  $y_2$  is negative, up to some value  $t_4 > t_3$  where  $y_2(t_4) = 0$ , and necessarily,  $y_1(t_4) = 1$ . We see that we got again the values of the initial condition and actually  $t_4$  is the smallest positive value of  $t$  for which this happens.

(6) With the above notation, this will actually show that  $2t_2 = t_4$ . Replace  $t$  with  $2P$  in the two identities of (3). We have  $1 = y_1(2P) = y_1^2(P) - y_2^2(P) = 2y_1^2(P) - 1$  and  $0 = y_2(2P) = 2y_1(P)y_2(P)$ . The first identity implies that  $|y_1(P)| = 1$ ; this implies that  $y_2(P) = 0$ . Hence  $y_1(P)$  equals either  $+1$  or  $-1$ . But it cannot equal  $+1$ , because we assumed that the smallest positive value of  $t$  for which we come back to the original initial condition was  $2P$ . Thus  $y_1(P) = -1$  and  $y_2(P) = 0$ , which shows that  $P$  must be equal to  $t_2$ , the only point smaller than  $t_4$  where these values are taken.