

Notes for the course "Functional Analysis". I.

Horia Cornean, d. 13/01/2006.

1 Compact (kompakt) and sequentially compact (følgekompakt) sets

Definition 1.1. Let A be a subset of a metric space (X, d) . Let \mathcal{F} be an arbitrary set of indices, and consider the family of sets $\{\mathcal{O}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}}$, where each $\mathcal{O}_\alpha \subseteq X$ is open. This family is called an open covering of A if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{O}_\alpha$.

Definition 1.2. Assume that $\{\mathcal{O}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}}$ is an open covering of A . If \mathcal{F}' is a subset of \mathcal{F} , we say that $\{\mathcal{O}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}'}$ is a subcovering if we still have the property $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}'} \mathcal{O}_\alpha$. A subcovering is called finite, if \mathcal{F}' contains finitely many elements.

Definition 1.3. Let A be a subset of a metric space (X, d) . Then we say that A is covered by a finite ϵ -net if there exists a natural number $N_\epsilon < \infty$ and the points $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N_\epsilon}\} \subseteq A$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_\epsilon} B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_j)$.

Definition 1.4. A subset $A \subset X$ is called compact, if from ANY open covering of A one can extract a FINITE subcovering.

Definition 1.5. $A \subset X$ is called sequentially compact if from any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq A$ one can extract a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ which converges to some point $x_\infty \in A$.

We will see that in metric spaces the two notions of compactness are equivalent.

1.1 Compact implies sequentially compact

Theorem 1.6. Assume that $A \subseteq X$ is compact. Then A is sequentially compact.

Proof. Assume that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ with no convergent subsequence in A . Such a sequence must have an infinite number of distinct points (exercise). To give a hint, assume that the range of this sequence is $\{a, b\}$. If there only exist a finite number of points in the sequence which are equal with a , then there must exist an infinite number of points which are equal with b . These points would thus define a convergent subsequence, contradicting our hypothesis.

Therefore we can assume that $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ has no accumulation points in A (otherwise such a point would be the limit of a subsequence). Now choose an arbitrary point $x \in A$. Because x is not an accumulation point for $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, there exists $\epsilon_x > 0$ such that the ball $B_{\epsilon_x}(x)$ contains at most one element of $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$.

Because $\{B_{\epsilon_x}(x)\}_{x \in A}$ is an open covering for A , and since A is compact, we can extract a finite subcovering from it:

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^N B_{\epsilon_{x_j}}(x_j), \quad N < \infty.$$

But since $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq A$, and because we know that there are at most N distinct points of this sequence in the union $\bigcup_{j=1}^N B_{\epsilon_{x_j}}(x_j)$, we conclude that $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ can only have a finite number of distinct points, thus it must admit a convergent subsequence. This contradicts our hypothesis. \square

1.2 Sequentially compact implies compact

The proof of this fact is slightly more complicated. We need a preparatory result:

Proposition 1.7. *Let A be a sequentially compact set. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$, A can be covered by a finite ϵ -net (see Definition 1.3).*

Proof. If A contains finitely many points, then the proof is obvious. Thus we assume $\#(A) = \infty$.

Now assume that there exists some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that A cannot be covered by a finite ϵ_0 -net. This means that for any N points of A , $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$, we have:

$$A \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^N B_{\epsilon_0}(x_j). \quad (1.1)$$

We will now construct a sequence with elements in A which cannot have a convergent subsequence. Choose an arbitrary point $x_1 \in A$. We know from (1.1), for $N = 1$, that we can find $x_2 \in A$ such that $x_2 \in A \setminus B(x_1, \epsilon_0)$. This means that $d(x_1, x_2) \geq \epsilon_0$. We use (1.1) again, for $N = 2$, in order to get a point $x_3 \in A \setminus [B(x_1, \epsilon_0) \cup B(x_2, \epsilon_0)]$. This means that $d(x_3, x_1) \geq \epsilon_0$ and $d(x_3, x_2) \geq \epsilon_0$. Thus we can continue with this procedure and construct a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq A$ which obeys

$$d(x_j, x_k) \geq \epsilon_0, \quad j \neq k.$$

In other words, we constructed a sequence in A which consists only from isolated points, and which cannot have a convergent subsequence. This contradicts Definition 1.5. \square

Let us now prove the theorem:

Theorem 1.8. *Assume that $A \subseteq X$ is sequentially compact. Then A is compact.*

Proof. Consider an arbitrary open covering of A :

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{O}_\alpha.$$

We will show that we can extract a finite subcovering from it.

For every $x \in A$, there exists at least one open set $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha(x)}$ such that $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\alpha(x)}$. Because $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha(x)}$ is open, we can find $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\alpha(x)}$.

For a fixed x , we consider the supremum over all radii $\epsilon > 0$ which obey the condition that there exists at least one $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\alpha$. This supremum is larger than zero, since there exists at least one positive such ϵ . Now write this supremum as $2\epsilon_x > 0$. It means that if we take $\epsilon' > 2\epsilon_x$, then for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $B_{\epsilon'}(x) \not\subseteq \mathcal{O}_\alpha$.

Let us write an important relation:

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} B_{\epsilon_x}(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{O}_\alpha. \quad (1.2)$$

The first inclusion is obvious, while the second one follows from the above discussion.

We now need to prove a lemma:

Lemma 1.9. *If A is sequentially compact, then*

$$\inf_{x \in A} \epsilon_x =: 2\epsilon_0 > 0.$$

In other words, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon_0}(x) \subseteq B_{\epsilon_x}(x)$, for every $x \in A$.

Proof. Assume that $\inf_{x \in A} \epsilon_x = 0$. This implies that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq A$ such that $\epsilon_{x_n} \leq 1/n$ for every $n \geq 1$. Since A is sequentially compact, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ which converges to a point $x_0 \in A$, i.e.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x_0. \quad (1.3)$$

Because x_0 belongs to A , we can find an open set $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha(x_0)}$ which contains x_0 , thus we can find $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$B_{\epsilon_1}(x_0) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\alpha(x_0)}. \quad (1.4)$$

Now (1.3) implies that there exists $K > 0$ large enough such that:

$$d(x_{n_k}, x_0) \leq \epsilon_1/4, \quad \text{whenever } k > K. \quad (1.5)$$

If y belongs to $B_{\epsilon_1/4}(x_{n_k})$ (i.e. $d(y, x_{n_k}) < \epsilon_1/4$), then the triangle inequality implies (use also (1.5)):

$$d(y, x_0) \leq d(y, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x_0) < \epsilon_1/2 < \epsilon_1, \quad k > K.$$

But this shows that we must have $y \in B_{\epsilon_1}(x_0)$, or:

$$B_{\epsilon_1/4}(x_{n_k}) \subseteq B_{\epsilon_1}(x_0) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\alpha(x_0)}, \quad \forall k > K. \quad (1.6)$$

Thus we got the inclusion

$$B_{\epsilon_1/4}(x_{n_k}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\alpha(x_0)}, \quad \forall k > K,$$

which shows that $\epsilon_1/4$ must be less or equal than $2\epsilon_{x_{n_k}}$, or $\epsilon_1/8 \leq \epsilon_{x_{n_k}}$, for every $k > K$. But this is in contradiction with the fact that $\epsilon_{x_n} \leq 1/n$ for every $n \geq 1$. \square

Finishing the proof of Theorem 1.8. We now use Proposition 1.7, and find a finite ϵ_0 -net for A . Thus we can choose $\{y_1, \dots, y_N\} \subseteq A$ such that

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N B_{\epsilon_0}(y_n) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N B_{\epsilon_{y_n}}(y_n) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathcal{O}_n,$$

where \mathcal{O}_n is one of the possibly many other open sets which contain $B_{\epsilon_{y_n}}(y_n)$. We have thus extracted our finite subcovering of A and the proof of the theorem is over. \square