

Compactifications of d -spaces and vector fields

Emmanuel Haucourt

Laboratoire d'Informatique de l'École Polytechnique



D-spaces

Directed Homotopy Theory I, Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. Cat., Marco Grandis (2003)



D-spaces

Directed Homotopy Theory I, Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. Cat., Marco Grandis (2003)

- A Hausdorff space X together with a collection dX of paths on it such that
 - any constant path belongs to dX ,
 - the collection dX is stable under concatenation, and
 - if $\gamma \in dX$, $\text{dom } \gamma = [0, r]$ and $\theta : [0, r'] \rightarrow [0, r]$ is continuous and increasing, then $\gamma \circ \theta \in dX$



D-spaces

Directed Homotopy Theory I, Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. Cat., Marco Grandis (2003)

- A Hausdorff space X together with a collection dX of paths on it such that
 - any constant path belongs to dX ,
 - the collection dX is stable under concatenation, and
 - if $\gamma \in dX$, $\text{dom } \gamma = [0, r]$ and $\theta : [0, r'] \rightarrow [0, r]$ is continuous and increasing, then $\gamma \circ \theta \in dX$
- The elements of dX are called the d-paths while the collection dX is called a direction on X . The collection of all directions over X is a **complete lattice**.



D-spaces

Directed Homotopy Theory I, Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. Cat., Marco Grandis (2003)

- A Hausdorff space X together with a collection dX of paths on it such that
 - any constant path belongs to dX ,
 - the collection dX is stable under concatenation, and
 - if $\gamma \in dX$, $\text{dom } \gamma = [0, r]$ and $\theta : [0, r'] \rightarrow [0, r]$ is continuous and increasing, then $\gamma \circ \theta \in dX$
- The elements of dX are called the d-paths while the collection dX is called a direction on X . The collection of all directions over X is a **complete lattice**.
- A d-map from (X, dX) to (Y, dY) is a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ s.t. $f \circ dX \subseteq dY$



D-spaces

Directed Homotopy Theory I, Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. Cat., Marco Grandis (2003)

- A Hausdorff space X together with a collection dX of paths on it such that
 - any constant path belongs to dX ,
 - the collection dX is stable under concatenation, and
 - if $\gamma \in dX$, $\text{dom } \gamma = [0, r]$ and $\theta : [0, r'] \rightarrow [0, r]$ is continuous and increasing, then $\gamma \circ \theta \in dX$
- The elements of dX are called the d-paths while the collection dX is called a direction on X . The collection of all directions over X is a **complete lattice**.
- A d-map from (X, dX) to (Y, dY) is a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ s.t. $f \circ dX \subseteq dY$
- The category of d-spaces is denoted by **dTop**



D-spaces

Examples



D-spaces

Examples

- Any subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with increasing paths.



D-spaces

Examples

- Any subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with increasing paths.
- The **d-complex plane** \mathbb{C} (i.e. the d-paths are $t \mapsto \rho(t)e^{i\theta(t)}$ with $\rho \geq 0$ and θ, ρ nondecreasing)



D-spaces

Examples

- Any subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with increasing paths.
- The **d-complex plane** \mathbb{C} (i.e. the d-paths are $t \mapsto \rho(t)e^{i\theta(t)}$ with $\rho \geq 0$ and θ, ρ nondecreasing)
- The **d-Riemann sphere** Σ (i.e. the d-paths are $t \mapsto \rho(t)e^{i\theta(t)}$ with $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ and θ, ρ nondecreasing)



D-spaces

Examples

- Any subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with increasing paths.
- The **d-complex plane** \mathbb{C} (i.e. the d-paths are $t \mapsto \rho(t)e^{i\theta(t)}$ with $\rho \geq 0$ and θ, ρ nondecreasing)
- The **d-Riemann sphere** Σ (i.e. the d-paths are $t \mapsto \rho(t)e^{i\theta(t)}$ with $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ and θ, ρ nondecreasing)
- The **d-circle** \mathbb{S}^1 as a d-subspace of \mathbb{C} (or Σ).



D-spaces

Examples

- Any subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with increasing paths.
- The **d-complex plane** \mathbb{C} (i.e. the d-paths are $t \mapsto \rho(t)e^{i\theta(t)}$ with $\rho \geq 0$ and θ, ρ nondecreasing)
- The **d-Riemann sphere** Σ (i.e. the d-paths are $t \mapsto \rho(t)e^{i\theta(t)}$ with $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ and θ, ρ nondecreasing)
- The **d-circle** \mathbb{S}^1 as a d-subspace of \mathbb{C} (or Σ).
- The direction of a product of d-spaces is given by paths whose projections are d-paths.



The fundamental category

of a d-space (X, dX)



The fundamental category

of a d-space (X, dX)

A **d-homotopy** (resp. **anti-d-homotopy**) from a dipath γ to a dipath δ is a d-map h of some rectangle $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ (resp. $[a, b] \times [c, d]^{op}$) such that Uh is a homotopy from $U\gamma$ to $U\delta$.



The fundamental category

of a d-space (X, dX)

A **d-homotopy** (resp. **anti-d-homotopy**) from a dipath γ to a dipath δ is a d-map h of some rectangle $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ (resp. $[a, b] \times [c, d]^{op}$) such that Uh is a homotopy from $U\gamma$ to $U\delta$.

An **elementary** homotopy is a finite concatenation of d-homotopies and anti-d-homotopies.



The fundamental category

of a d-space (X, dX)

A **d-homotopy** (resp. **anti-d-homotopy**) from a dipath γ to a dipath δ is a d-map h of some rectangle $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ (resp. $[a, b] \times [c, d]^{op}$) such that Uh is a homotopy from $U\gamma$ to $U\delta$.

An **elementary** homotopy is a finite concatenation of d-homotopies and anti-d-homotopies.

Then γ and δ are **d-homotopic** when there exists an elementary homotopy between $\gamma \circ \theta$ and $\delta \circ \theta'$ for some reparametrizations $\theta : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{dom}(\gamma)$ and $\theta' : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{dom}(\delta)$. We write $\gamma \sim \delta$.



The fundamental category

of a d-space (X, dX)

A **d-homotopy** (resp. **anti-d-homotopy**) from a dipath γ to a dipath δ is a d-map h of some rectangle $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ (resp. $[a, b] \times [c, d]^{op}$) such that Uh is a homotopy from $U\gamma$ to $U\delta$.

An **elementary** homotopy is a finite concatenation of d-homotopies and anti-d-homotopies.

Then γ and δ are **d-homotopic** when there exists an elementary homotopy between $\gamma \circ \theta$ and $\delta \circ \theta'$ for some reparametrizations $\theta : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{dom}(\gamma)$ and $\theta' : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{dom}(\delta)$. We write $\gamma \sim \delta$.

The relation \sim defines a congruence over PX , the path category of X , and the **fundamental category** of X , denoted by $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1 X$, is the quotient PX / \sim . This construction extends to a functor

$$\overrightarrow{\pi}_1 : \mathbf{dTop} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$$



Compactification



Compactification

- A **compactification** of a topological space X is an **embedding** $k : X \hookrightarrow K$ such that K is **Hausdorff compact** and $k(X)$ is **dense** in K .



Compactification

- A **compactification** of a topological space X is an **embedding** $k : X \hookrightarrow K$ such that K is **Hausdorff compact** and $k(X)$ is **dense** in K .
- Some examples:



Compactification

- A **compactification** of a topological space X is an **embedding** $k : X \hookrightarrow K$ such that K is **Hausdorff compact** and $k(X)$ is **dense** in K .
- Some examples:
 - $]0, 1[^n \hookrightarrow [0, 1]^n$ and $]0, 1[^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$



Compactification

- A **compactification** of a topological space X is an **embedding** $k : X \hookrightarrow K$ such that K is **Hausdorff compact** and $k(X)$ is **dense** in K .
- Some examples:
 - $]0, 1[^n \hookrightarrow [0, 1]^n$ and $]0, 1[^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$
 - The **Stone-Čech** compactification for Tychonoff spaces given by β , the left adjoint to $\mathbf{CHaus} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ (e.g. $\beta\mathbb{R}$ has $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ elements).



Compactification

- A **compactification** of a topological space X is an **embedding** $k : X \hookrightarrow K$ such that K is **Hausdorff compact** and $k(X)$ is **dense** in K .
- Some examples:
 - $]0, 1[^n \hookrightarrow [0, 1]^n$ and $]0, 1[^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$
 - The **Stone-Čech** compactification for Tychonoff spaces given by β , the left adjoint to $\mathbf{CHaus} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ (e.g. $\beta\mathbb{R}$ has $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ elements).
 - The **Alexandroff** compactification for locally compact Hausdorff spaces adds one point ∞ and its neighborhoods are the complement of the compact subspaces (e.g. $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \cong \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$).



Compactification

- A **compactification** of a topological space X is an **embedding** $k : X \hookrightarrow K$ such that K is **Hausdorff compact** and $k(X)$ is **dense** in K .
- Some examples:
 - $]0, 1[^n \hookrightarrow [0, 1]^n$ and $]0, 1[^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$
 - The **Stone-Ćech** compactification for Tychonoff spaces given by β , the left adjoint to $\mathbf{CHaus} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ (e.g. $\beta\mathbb{R}$ has $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ elements).
 - The **Alexandroff** compactification for locally compact Hausdorff spaces adds one point ∞ and its neighborhoods are the complement of the compact subspaces (e.g. $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \cong \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$).
 - The **Freudenthal** compactification for σ -locally compact, locally connected, Hausdorff spaces with finitely many connected components, which adds a new point for each **end** of the space (e.g. $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\text{ends}\} \cong \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\} \cong [0, 1]$ and $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\text{ends}\} \cong \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$).



Compactifying d -spaces

A problem



Compactifying d-spaces

A problem

Suppose X and K are d-spaces such that

- $k : UX \hookrightarrow UK$ is a compactification
- The direction dK of K is the least one that makes the preceding inclusion a d-map (i.e. that contains $k \circ dX$)



Compactifying d-spaces

A problem

Suppose X and K are d-spaces such that

- $k : UX \hookrightarrow UK$ is a compactification
- The direction dK of K is the least one that makes the preceding inclusion a d-map (i.e. that contains $k \circ dX$)

Problem: No path starting or ending at a point of $K \setminus X$ is a d-path (e.g. $]0, 1[\hookrightarrow [0, 1]$).



Compactifying d-spaces

A problem

Suppose X and K are d-spaces such that

- $k : UX \hookrightarrow UK$ is a compactification
- The direction dK of K is the least one that makes the preceding inclusion a d-map (i.e. that contains $k \circ dX$)

Problem: No path starting or ending at a point of $K \setminus X$ is a d-path (e.g. $]0, 1[\hookrightarrow [0, 1]$).

Consequence: $\vec{\pi}_1 K \cong \vec{\pi}_1 X \sqcup \vec{\pi}_1(K \setminus X)$ the second one being discrete.



Compactifying d-spaces

A problem

Suppose X and K are d-spaces such that

- $k : UX \hookrightarrow UK$ is a compactification
- The direction dK of K is the least one that makes the preceding inclusion a d-map (i.e. that contains $k \circ dX$)

Problem: No path starting or ending at a point of $K \setminus X$ is a d-path (e.g. $]0, 1[\hookrightarrow [0, 1]$).

Consequence: $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1 K \cong \overrightarrow{\pi}_1 X \sqcup \overrightarrow{\pi}_1(K \setminus X)$ the second one being discrete.

A solution: A d-space is said to be **complete** when

- for all d-maps $\delta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$, if both following limits exist then δ extends to a d-map $\overline{\delta} : \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\} \rightarrow X$.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \delta(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \delta(t)$$

$\mathbf{dTop}_e \subseteq \mathbf{dTop}$ the full subcategory whose objects are complete.



Compactifying d-spaces

A problem

Suppose X and K are d-spaces such that

- $k : UX \hookrightarrow UK$ is a compactification
- The direction dK of K is the least one that makes the preceding inclusion a d-map (i.e. that contains $k \circ dX$)

Problem: No path starting or ending at a point of $K \setminus X$ is a d-path (e.g. $]0, 1[\hookrightarrow [0, 1]$).

Consequence: $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1 K \cong \overrightarrow{\pi}_1 X \sqcup \overrightarrow{\pi}_1 (K \setminus X)$ the second one being discrete.

A solution: A d-space is said to be **complete** when

- for all d-maps $\delta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$, if both following limits exist then δ extends to a d-map $\overline{\delta} : \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\} \rightarrow X$.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \delta(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \delta(t)$$

$\mathbf{dTop}_c \subseteq \mathbf{dTop}$ the full subcategory whose objects are complete.

A **compactification** of a complete d-space X is a d-space K s.t. UK is compactification of UX and dK is the least complete direction on UK that contains dX .



Examples

of d-compactifications



Examples

of d-compactifications

- $(\mathbb{R} \times S^1) \cup \{\text{ends}\} \cong \text{the d-Riemann sphere} \cong \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$



Examples

of d-compactifications

- $(\mathbb{R} \times S^1) \cup \{\text{ends}\} \cong$ the d-Riemann sphere $\cong \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$
- $(\mathbb{R} \times S^1) \cup \{\infty\}$ is the d-Riemann sphere with north and south poles identified ... make a picture !



Direction

from a single vector field



Direction

from a single vector field

Given a vector field f over a manifold \mathcal{M} and a point $x \in \mathcal{M}$, there is a unique **maximal integral curve** γ that goes through x at time 0 i.e.

$$\gamma(0) = x \quad \text{and} \quad \forall t \in \text{dom}(\gamma), \quad \frac{d\gamma}{dt}(t) = f(\gamma(t))$$



Direction

from a single vector field

Given a vector field f over a manifold \mathcal{M} and a point $x \in \mathcal{M}$, there is a unique **maximal integral curve** γ that goes through x at time 0 i.e.

$$\gamma(0) = x \quad \text{and} \quad \forall t \in \text{dom}(\gamma), \quad \frac{d\gamma}{dt}(t) = f(\gamma(t))$$

In particular the traces of the maximal integral curves form a **partition** of \mathcal{M} .



Direction

from a single vector field

Given a vector field f over a manifold \mathcal{M} and a point $x \in \mathcal{M}$, there is a unique **maximal integral curve** γ that goes through x at time 0 i.e.

$$\gamma(0) = x \quad \text{and} \quad \forall t \in \text{dom}(\gamma), \quad \frac{d\gamma}{dt}(t) = f(\gamma(t))$$

In particular the traces of the maximal integral curves form a **partition** of \mathcal{M} .

Then consider the direction $d\mathcal{M}$ on \mathcal{M} generated by the **proper** integral curves

$$\{\delta \mid \delta = \gamma|_{[a,b]} \text{ for some maximal integral curve } \gamma \text{ and some compact interval } [a, b] \subseteq \text{dom}(\gamma)\}$$



Direction

from a single vector field

Given a vector field f over a manifold \mathcal{M} and a point $x \in \mathcal{M}$, there is a unique **maximal integral curve** γ that goes through x at time 0 i.e.

$$\gamma(0) = x \quad \text{and} \quad \forall t \in \text{dom}(\gamma), \quad \frac{d\gamma}{dt}(t) = f(\gamma(t))$$

In particular the traces of the maximal integral curves form a **partition** of \mathcal{M} .

Then consider the direction $d\mathcal{M}$ on \mathcal{M} generated by the **proper** integral curves

$$\{\delta \mid \delta = \gamma|_{[a,b]} \text{ for some maximal integral curve } \gamma \text{ and some compact interval } [a, b] \subseteq \text{dom}(\gamma)\}$$

Then $\overline{\pi}_1(\mathcal{M}, d\mathcal{M})$ is isomorphic with a disjoint union of copies of $\{0\}$, (\mathbb{R}, \leq) and $\overline{\pi}_1 S^1$.



Direction

from several vector fields



Direction

from several vector fields

Given an n -uple of vector fields f_1, \dots, f_k over a manifold \mathcal{M} , consider for all points $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the set

$$F_x := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \cdot f_i(x) \mid \lambda_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \right\}$$

as the **forward cone** of \mathcal{M} at x .



Direction

from several vector fields

Given an n -uple of vector fields f_1, \dots, f_k over a manifold \mathcal{M} , consider for all points $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the set

$$F_x := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \cdot f_i(x) \mid \lambda_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \right\}$$

as the **forward cone** of \mathcal{M} at x .

A curve γ is said to be **forward** (with respect to f_1, \dots, f_k) when its derivative at time t belongs to $F_{\gamma(t)}$ for all $t \in \text{dom } \gamma$:

$$\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t}(t) \in F_{\gamma(t)}$$



Direction

from several vector fields

Given an n -uple of vector fields f_1, \dots, f_k over a manifold \mathcal{M} , consider for all points $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the set

$$F_x := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \cdot f_i(x) \mid \lambda_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \right\}$$

as the **forward cone** of \mathcal{M} at x .

A curve γ is said to be **forward** (with respect to f_1, \dots, f_k) when its derivative at time t belongs to $F_{\gamma(t)}$ for all $t \in \text{dom } \gamma$:

$$\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t}(t) \in F_{\gamma(t)}$$

The d-space generated by the vector fields f_1, \dots, f_k on the manifold \mathcal{M} is the least direction on \mathcal{M} that contains all the forward curves, it is denoted by $d\mathcal{M}_f$ with f being understood as the set $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$.



Direction

from several vector fields

Given an n -uple of vector fields f_1, \dots, f_k over a manifold \mathcal{M} , consider for all points $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the set

$$F_x := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \cdot f_i(x) \mid \lambda_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \right\}$$

as the **forward cone** of \mathcal{M} at x .

A curve γ is said to be **forward** (with respect to f_1, \dots, f_k) when its derivative at time t belongs to $F_{\gamma(t)}$ for all $t \in \text{dom } \gamma$:

$$\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t}(t) \in F_{\gamma(t)}$$

The d-space generated by the vector fields f_1, \dots, f_k on the manifold \mathcal{M} is the least direction on \mathcal{M} that contains all the forward curves, it is denoted by $d\mathcal{M}_f$ with f being understood as the set $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$.

Example: \mathbb{R}^n with the constant vector fields $f_k(x) = (\dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$



Singular points are disconnected



Singular points are disconnected

Problem: If $f_1(x) = \dots = f_n(x) = 0$ at some point x , then x is isolated in $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1(\mathcal{M}, d\mathcal{M})$.



Singular points are disconnected

Problem: If $f_1(x) = \dots = f_n(x) = 0$ at some point x , then x is isolated in $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1(\mathcal{M}, d\mathcal{M})$.

Examples:



Singular points are disconnected

Problem: If $f_1(x) = \dots = f_n(x) = 0$ at some point x , then x is isolated in $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1(\mathcal{M}, d\mathcal{M})$.

Examples:

- the vector fields $f(t) = 1$ and $g(t) = t$ induce the d-spaces $d\mathbb{R}_f$ and $d\mathbb{R}_g$ and $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1(d\mathbb{R}_f) \cong (\mathbb{R}, \leq)$ and $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1(d\mathbb{R}_g) \cong (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \leq) \sqcup \{0\} \sqcup (\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}, \leq)$



Singular points are disconnected

Problem: If $f_1(x) = \dots = f_n(x) = 0$ at some point x , then x is isolated in $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1(\mathcal{M}, d\mathcal{M})$.

Examples:

- the vector fields $f(t) = 1$ and $g(t) = t$ induce the d-spaces $d\mathbb{R}_f$ and $d\mathbb{R}_g$ and $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1(d\mathbb{R}_f) \cong (\mathbb{R}, \leq)$ and $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1(d\mathbb{R}_g) \cong (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \leq) \sqcup \{0\} \sqcup (\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}, \leq)$
- if Σ is equipped with the vector fields $f_1(z) = z$ and $f_2(z) = z \cdot e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}}$ then

$$\overrightarrow{\pi}_1\mathbb{C} \cong (\overrightarrow{\pi}_1\mathbb{S}^1 \times (\mathbb{R}, \leq)) \sqcup \{0\} \sqcup \{\infty\}$$



Singular points are disconnected

Problem: If $f_1(x) = \dots = f_n(x) = 0$ at some point x , then x is isolated in $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1(\mathcal{M}, d\mathcal{M})$.

Examples:

- the vector fields $f(t) = 1$ and $g(t) = t$ induce the d-spaces $d\mathbb{R}_f$ and $d\mathbb{R}_g$ and $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1(d\mathbb{R}_f) \cong (\mathbb{R}, \leq)$ and $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1(d\mathbb{R}_g) \cong (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \leq) \sqcup \{0\} \sqcup (\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}, \leq)$
- if Σ is equipped with the vector fields $f_1(z) = z$ and $f_2(z) = z \cdot e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}}$ then

$$\overrightarrow{\pi}_1\mathbb{C} \cong \left(\overrightarrow{\pi}_1\mathbb{S}^1 \times (\mathbb{R}, \leq)\right) \sqcup \{0\} \sqcup \{\infty\}$$

As before we consider the **complete** direction generated by the forward curves.



Direction from an n -uple of vector fields

vs n -join of the directions for each vector field



Direction from an n -uple of vector fields

vs n -join of the directions for each vector field

The collection of (complete) directions form a complete lattice and one easily sees that

$$d\mathcal{M}_{f_1} \vee \cdots \vee d\mathcal{M}_{f_n} \subseteq d\mathcal{M}_f$$



Direction from an n -uple of vector fields

vs n -join of the directions for each vector field

The collection of (complete) directions form a complete lattice and one easily sees that

$$d\mathcal{M}_{f_1} \vee \cdots \vee d\mathcal{M}_{f_n} \subseteq d\mathcal{M}_f$$

problem: The example of \mathbb{R}^n with the constant vector fields $f_k(x) = (\dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ proves that the converse inclusion does not hold.



Direction from an n -uple of vector fields

vs n -join of the directions for each vector field

The collection of (complete) directions form a complete lattice and one easily sees that

$$d\mathcal{M}_{f_1} \vee \cdots \vee d\mathcal{M}_{f_n} \subseteq d\mathcal{M}_f$$

problem: The example of \mathbb{R}^n with the constant vector fields $f_k(x) = (\dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ proves that the converse inclusion does not hold.

One can fix it by considering the d-spaces X such that for all paths γ ,
if for all open subsets U , all $[a, b] \subseteq \gamma^{-1}(U)$ there exists a d-path δ from $\gamma(a)$ to $\gamma(b)$ such that $\text{img}(\delta) \subseteq U$,
then γ is a d-path.

Such a d-space is said to be **filled**.



Direction from an n -uple of vector fields

vs n -join of the directions for each vector field

The collection of (complete) directions form a complete lattice and one easily sees that

$$d\mathcal{M}_{f_1} \vee \cdots \vee d\mathcal{M}_{f_n} \subseteq d\mathcal{M}_f$$

problem: The example of \mathbb{R}^n with the constant vector fields $f_k(x) = (\dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ proves that the converse inclusion does not hold.

One can fix it by considering the d-spaces X such that for all paths γ ,
if for all open subsets U , all $[a, b] \subseteq \gamma^{-1}(U)$ there exists a d-path δ from $\gamma(a)$ to $\gamma(b)$ such that $\text{img}(\delta) \subseteq U$,
then γ is a d-path.

Such a d-space is said to be **filled**.

Conjecture: If $d\mathcal{M}_f$ is defined as the least complete filled d-space containing the forward curves, then

$$d\mathcal{M}_{f_1} \vee \cdots \vee d\mathcal{M}_{f_n} = d\mathcal{M}_f$$



Pospace atlases

Fajstrup, Goubault, and Raußen (1998)



Pospace atlases

Fajstrup, Goubault, and Raouen (1998)

A **pospace** is a topological space X together with a closed partial order on it (Nachbin (1948)).
The underlying space UX of a pospace X is Hausdorff.



Pospace atlases

Fajstrup, Goubault, and Raußen (1998)

A **pospace** is a topological space X together with a closed partial order on it (Nachbin (1948)).
The underlying space UX of a pospace X is Hausdorff.

A **pospace atlas** on a Hausdorff space X is a family \mathcal{U} of pospace such that:

- the collection $\{UW \mid W \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an open covering of UX , and
- for all $W_0, W_1 \in \mathcal{U}$ and all $x \in W_0 \cap W_1$, there exists $W_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in W_2 \subseteq W_0 \cap W_1$ and

$$\sqsubseteq_{W_0} \upharpoonright_{UW_2} = \sqsubseteq_{W_2} = \sqsubseteq_{W_1} \upharpoonright_{UW_2}$$



Pospace atlases

Fajstrup, Goubault, and Raußen (1998)

A **pospace** is a topological space X together with a closed partial order on it (Nachbin (1948)). The underlying space UX of a pospace X is Hausdorff.

A **pospace atlas** on a Hausdorff space X is a family \mathcal{U} of pospace such that:

- the collection $\{UW \mid W \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an open covering of UX , and
- for all $W_0, W_1 \in \mathcal{U}$ and all $x \in W_0 \cap W_1$, there exists $W_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in W_2 \subseteq W_0 \cap W_1$ and

$$\sqsubseteq_{W_0} \upharpoonright_{UW_2} = \sqsubseteq_{W_2} = \sqsubseteq_{W_1} \upharpoonright_{UW_2}$$

The pospace atlases \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' are **equivalent** when their union is still a pospace atlas.



Pospace atlases

Fajstrup, Goubault, and Raußen (1998)

A **pospace** is a topological space X together with a closed partial order on it (Nachbin (1948)). The underlying space UX of a pospace X is Hausdorff.

A **pospace atlas** on a Hausdorff space X is a family \mathcal{U} of pospace such that:

- the collection $\{UW \mid W \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an open covering of UX , and
- for all $W_0, W_1 \in \mathcal{U}$ and all $x \in W_0 \cap W_1$, there exists $W_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in W_2 \subseteq W_0 \cap W_1$ and

$$\sqsubseteq_{W_0} \upharpoonright_{UW_2} = \sqsubseteq_{W_2} = \sqsubseteq_{W_1} \upharpoonright_{UW_2}$$

The pospace atlases \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' are **equivalent** when their union is still a pospace atlas.

A **local pospace** is an equivalence class of pospace atlases.



Local pospaces

Fajstrup, Goubault, and Raußen (1998)



Local pospaces

Fajstrup, Goubault, and Raußen (1998)

Every equivalence class has a greatest element (namely the greatest pospace atlas).



Local pospaces

Fajstrup, Goubault, and Raußen (1998)

Every equivalence class has a greatest element (namely the greatest pospace atlas).

A pospace atlas morphism from \mathcal{U} to \mathcal{U}' is a mapping f s.t. for all x and all $W' \in \mathcal{U}'$ containing $f(x)$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{U}$ containing x s.t. $f(W) \subseteq W'$.



Local pospaces

Fajstrup, Goubault, and Raoußen (1998)

Every equivalence class has a greatest element (namely the greatest pospace atlas).

A pospace atlas morphism from \mathcal{U} to \mathcal{U}' is a mapping f s.t. for all x and all $W' \in \mathcal{U}'$ containing $f(x)$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{U}$ containing x s.t. $f(W) \subseteq W'$.

If $\mathcal{U}_0 \sim \mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}'_0 \sim \mathcal{U}'_1$ and f is a pospace atlas morphism from \mathcal{U}_0 to \mathcal{U}'_0 , then it is also a pospace atlas morphism from \mathcal{U}_1 to \mathcal{U}'_1 .



Local pospaces

Fajstrup, Goubault, and Raußen (1998)

Every equivalence class has a greatest element (namely the greatest pospace atlas).

A pospace atlas morphism from \mathcal{U} to \mathcal{U}' is a mapping f s.t. for all x and all $W' \in \mathcal{U}'$ containing $f(x)$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{U}$ containing x s.t. $f(W) \subseteq W'$.

If $\mathcal{U}_0 \sim \mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}'_0 \sim \mathcal{U}'_1$ and f is a pospace atlas morphism from \mathcal{U}_0 to \mathcal{U}'_0 , then it is also a pospace atlas morphism from \mathcal{U}_1 to \mathcal{U}'_1 .

The category of local pospaces is denoted by **LpoTop**.



Local pospaces

Fajstrup, Goubault, and Raußen (1998)

Every equivalence class has a greatest element (namely the greatest pospace atlas).

A pospace atlas morphism from \mathcal{U} to \mathcal{U}' is a mapping f s.t. for all x and all $W' \in \mathcal{U}'$ containing $f(x)$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{U}$ containing x s.t. $f(W) \subseteq W'$.

If $\mathcal{U}_0 \sim \mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}'_0 \sim \mathcal{U}'_1$ and f is a pospace atlas morphism from \mathcal{U}_0 to \mathcal{U}'_0 , then it is also a pospace atlas morphism from \mathcal{U}_1 to \mathcal{U}'_1 .

The category of local pospaces is denoted by **LpoTop**.

There is an inclusion **LpoTop** \hookrightarrow **dTop_{cf}** in the category of complete filled d-spaces.



Fundamental category of local pospaces

Let X be a local pospace



Fundamental category of local pospaces

Let X be a local pospace

- A local pospace has no **vortex** (*i.e.* each point has a neighborhood without d-loop)



Fundamental category of local pospaces

Let X be a local pospace

- A local pospace has no **vortex** (*i.e.* each point has a neighborhood without d-loop)
- Given a d-loop α at x , α is d-homotopic with the constant path x **iff** α is the constant path x .



Fundamental category of local pospaces

Let X be a local pospace

- A local pospace has no **vortex** (*i.e.* each point has a neighborhood without d-loop)
- Given a d-loop α at x , α is d-homotopic with the constant path x **iff** α is the constant path x .
- **Conjecture:** Given a nonconstant d-loop $\alpha \in \overrightarrow{\pi}_1 X(x, x)$, one has $\{\alpha^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cong (\mathbb{N}, +, 0)$



Parallelizable manifolds



Parallelizable manifolds

A **parallelization** of a manifold \mathcal{M} of dimension n is an n -uple of vector fields (f_1, \dots, f_n) s.t. for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $(f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ is a vector basis of the tangent space of \mathcal{M} at x namely $T_x \mathcal{M}$.



Parallelizable manifolds

A **parallelization** of a manifold \mathcal{M} of dimension n is an n -uple of vector fields (f_1, \dots, f_n) s.t. for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $(f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ is a vector basis of the tangent space of \mathcal{M} at x namely $T_x \mathcal{M}$.

Conjecture: There exists an open covering \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{M} such that

- for all $W \in \mathcal{U}$, the relation $x \sqsubseteq_W y$ defined by the existence of a forward curve δ from x to y with $\text{img}(\delta) \subseteq W$ defines a pospace on W



Parallelizable manifolds

A **parallelization** of a manifold \mathcal{M} of dimension n is an n -uple of vector fields (f_1, \dots, f_n) s.t. for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $(f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ is a vector basis of the tangent space of \mathcal{M} at x namely $T_x \mathcal{M}$.

Conjecture: There exists an open covering \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{M} such that

- for all $W \in \mathcal{U}$, the relation $x \sqsubseteq_W y$ defined by the existence of a forward curve δ from x to y with $\text{img}(\delta) \subseteq W$ defines a pospace on W
- These pospaces induce a local pospace



Parallelizable manifolds

A **parallelization** of a manifold \mathcal{M} of dimension n is an n -uple of vector fields (f_1, \dots, f_n) s.t. for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $(f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ is a vector basis of the tangent space of \mathcal{M} at x namely $T_x \mathcal{M}$.

Conjecture: There exists an open covering \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{M} such that

- for all $W \in \mathcal{U}$, the relation $x \sqsubseteq_W y$ defined by the existence of a forward curve δ from x to y with $\text{img}(\delta) \subseteq W$ defines a pospace on W
- These pospaces induce a local pospace

This local pospace induces $d\mathcal{M}_f$.



Parallelizable manifolds

A **parallelization** of a manifold \mathcal{M} of dimension n is an n -uple of vector fields (f_1, \dots, f_n) s.t. for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $(f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ is a vector basis of the tangent space of \mathcal{M} at x namely $T_x \mathcal{M}$.

Conjecture: There exists an open covering \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{M} such that

- for all $W \in \mathcal{U}$, the relation $x \sqsubseteq_W y$ defined by the existence of a forward curve δ from x to y with $\text{img}(\delta) \subseteq W$ defines a pospace on W
- These pospaces induce a local pospace

This local pospace induces $d\mathcal{M}_f$.

A manifold \mathcal{M} is said to be **parallelizable** when it admits a parallelization.



Parallelizable manifolds



Parallelizable manifolds

All the linear groups of the tangent spaces $T_x \mathcal{M}$, for $x \in \mathcal{M}$, are gathered in a single manifold called the **frame manifold** $GL\mathcal{M}$.



Parallelizable manifolds

All the linear groups of the tangent spaces $T_x \mathcal{M}$, for $x \in \mathcal{M}$, are gathered in a single manifold called the **frame manifold** $GL\mathcal{M}$.

Then $GL\mathcal{M}$ "transitively acts" on the parallelizations of \mathcal{M} in the following sense: if g is a section of $GL\mathcal{M}$ then $g \cdot (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is another parallelization of \mathcal{M} and all of them can be obtained that way.



Parallelizable manifolds

All the linear groups of the tangent spaces $T_x \mathcal{M}$, for $x \in \mathcal{M}$, are gathered in a single manifold called the **frame manifold** $GL\mathcal{M}$.

Then $GL\mathcal{M}$ "transitively acts" on the parallelizations of \mathcal{M} in the following sense: if g is a section of $GL\mathcal{M}$ then $g \cdot (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is another parallelization of \mathcal{M} and all of them can be obtained that way.

Conjecture: Up to isomorphism, the local pospace structure induced by a parallelization of a manifold \mathcal{M} (and therefore $\overrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathcal{M}_f$), does not depend on the specific parallelization.
In that case we can define "the" fundamental category of a parallelizable manifold.



Parallelizable manifolds

All the linear groups of the tangent spaces $T_x \mathcal{M}$, for $x \in \mathcal{M}$, are gathered in a single manifold called the **frame manifold** $GL\mathcal{M}$.

Then $GL\mathcal{M}$ "transitively acts" on the parallelizations of \mathcal{M} in the following sense: if g is a section of $GL\mathcal{M}$ then $g \cdot (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is another parallelization of \mathcal{M} and all of them can be obtained that way.

Conjecture: Up to isomorphism, the local pospace structure induced by a parallelization of a manifold \mathcal{M} (and therefore $\overrightarrow{\pi}_1 \mathcal{M}_f$), does not depend on the specific parallelization.
In that case we can define "the" fundamental category of a parallelizable manifold.

Example: Every Lie group is parallelizable.

