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## The course

is about generalizing

- the Reed-Solomon Code construction
by use of
- Gröbner basis theory
- Simple linear and abstract algebra

We study

- The parameters $[n, k, d]$
- Generator and parity-check matrices
- Decoding

Gröbner basis theory is explained along the way.

## Preliminaries

$$
\mathbf{F}_{q}^{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \mid a_{i} \in \mathbf{F}_{q}\right\} \text { a vector space over } \mathbf{F}_{q} .
$$

We consider linear codes. That is, subspaces $C \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{q}^{n}$.
Encoding of message $\vec{m} \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{k}$ : $\vec{c}=\vec{m} G$ where

$$
G=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\vec{g}_{1} \\
\vec{g}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\vec{g}_{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $\left\{\vec{g}_{1}, \vec{g}_{2}, \ldots, \vec{g}_{k}\right\}$ is a basis for $C$.
Minimum distance equals minimum weight

$$
d=\min \left\{w_{H}(\vec{c}) \mid \vec{c} \in C \backslash\{\overrightarrow{0}\}\right\}
$$

## Finite Fields

Type 1: $p$ a prime $\mathbf{F}_{p}=\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$

$$
a+b \bmod p, \quad a \cdot b \bmod p
$$

Example: $\mathbf{F}_{7}=\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}$

$$
4+4=1, \quad 4 \cdot 4=2
$$

## Finite Fields

Type 2: $q=p^{m}, m \geq 2, p$ a prime.
$f(\alpha)$ an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbf{F}_{p}$ of degree $m$.

$$
\mathbf{F}_{q}=\left\{a_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} \alpha a_{0} \mid a_{i} \in \mathbf{F}_{p}\right\}
$$

$\boldsymbol{a}(\alpha) \cdot \boldsymbol{b}(\alpha) \bmod f(\alpha) \quad$ (calculations taking place over $\mathbf{F}_{p}$ )
(Alternatively: $\mathbf{F}_{q}=\mathbf{F}_{p}[X] /\langle f(X)\rangle$ )

## Example

$$
p=2, f(\alpha)=\alpha^{2}+\alpha+1 \text { is irreducible over } \mathbf{F}_{2}
$$

$$
\mathbf{F}_{4}=\{0,1, \alpha, \alpha+1\}
$$

| + | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $\alpha+1$ | $\alpha$ |
| $\alpha$ | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ | 0 | 1 |
| $\alpha+1$ | $\alpha+1$ | $\alpha$ | 1 | 0 |


| $\cdot$ | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ |
| $\alpha$ | 0 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ | 1 |
| $\alpha+1$ | 0 | $\alpha+1$ | 1 | $\alpha$ |

## Finite fields

$$
\mathbf{F}_{q}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{q}\right\}
$$

$$
X^{q}-X=\prod_{i=1}^{q}\left(X-P_{i}\right)
$$

Hence, in $\mathbf{F}_{7}$

$$
X^{q}-x=(x-0)(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)(x-4)(x-5)(X-6)
$$ and in $F_{4}$

$$
X^{q}-X=(X-0)(X-1)(X-\alpha)(X-\alpha+1)
$$

## Reed-Solomon Codes

$$
\mathbb{F}_{q}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{q}\right\} .
$$

Consider $F(X)=F_{0}+F_{1} X+\cdots+F_{k-1} X^{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$.

$$
\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), F\left(P_{2}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{q}\right)\right)
$$

is a vector of length $n=q$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
$\mathrm{RS}_{q}(k)=\left\{\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), F\left(P_{2}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{q}\right)\right) \mid F(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X], \operatorname{deg}(F)<k\right\}$

$$
G=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
P_{1} & P_{2} & \cdots & P_{q} \\
P_{1}^{2} & P_{2}^{2} & \cdots & P_{q}^{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dddot{ } \\
P_{1}^{k-1} & P_{2}^{k-1} & \cdots & P_{q}^{k-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Message $\vec{m}=\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k-1}\right)$ is encoded to

$$
\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), F\left(P_{2}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{q}\right)\right)=\vec{m} G .
$$

## Reed-Solomon Codes - cont.

## Theorem

Nonzero polynomial $F(X) \in \mathbf{F}_{q}[X]$ has at most $\operatorname{deg}(F)$ zeros.
Let $s \leq q$ then

$$
F(X)=\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(X-P_{i}\right)
$$

has $s=\operatorname{deg}(F)$ zeros.
Consequence 1:
A nonzero codeword in $\mathrm{RS}_{q}(k)$ has at most $k-1$ zeros and a code word exists with exactly $k-1$ zeros.

$$
d=n-(k-1)=n-k+1
$$

## Consequence 2:

Non-trivial linear combination of rows in $G$ corresponds to non-zero polynomial of degree at most $k-1<q$. Hence, $G$ is of full rank.

## Polynomials in two variables

## Definition:

Total degree lexicographic ordering on monomials in $X$ and $Y$ is given by $X^{i_{1}} Y^{j_{1}} \prec_{\text {tot }} X^{i_{2}} Y^{j_{2}}$ if (1) or (2) holds
(1) $i_{1}+j_{1}<i_{2}+j_{2}$
(2) $i_{1}+j_{1}=i_{2}+j_{2}$ and $i_{1}<i_{2}$
$\operatorname{lm}\left(X^{3} Y+Y^{3} X+X Y^{2}+2 X^{2}+2 X+1\right)=X^{3} Y$.

## Theorem:

If $\operatorname{Im}(F)=X^{i} Y^{j}$ with $i, j<q$ then at most $q^{2}-(q-i)(q-j)$
zeros over $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{2}$. Let $\mathbf{F}_{q}=\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{q}\right\}$. The polynomial

$$
\left(\prod_{s=1}^{i}\left(X-Q_{S}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{t=1}^{j}\left(Y-Q_{t}\right)\right)
$$

has $q^{2}-(q-i)(q-j)$ zeros.

## Polynomials in two variables

$$
\mathbf{F}_{q}^{2}=\mathbf{F}_{q} \times \mathbf{F}_{q}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{q^{2}}\right\} .
$$

Corollary:
If $\operatorname{Im}(F(X, Y))=X^{i} Y^{j}$ with $i, j<q$ then
$\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), F\left(P_{2}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{q^{2}}\right)\right)$ is of weight at least $(q-i)(q-j)$.
Consider $F(X, Y) \in \mathbf{F}_{4}[X, Y]$. Let $\operatorname{lm}(F)=X^{2} Y$.

| $Y^{3}$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y^{2}$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| $Y$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\square$ | $*$ |
| 1 | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ |

At most 10 zeros. $\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), F\left(P_{2}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{16}\right)\right)$ at least weight 6 .

## Generalized Reed-Muller Codes

| $Y^{3}$ | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y^{2}$ | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 |
| $Y$ | 4 | 7 | 10 | 13 |
| 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ |

Consider polynomials $F(X, Y) \in \mathbf{F}_{4}[X, Y]$. If $\operatorname{Im}(F)=X Y^{3}$ then at most 13 zeros and so on.

$$
\mathrm{RM}_{4}(s, 2)=\left\{\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{16}\right)\right) \mid\right.
$$ the total degree of $F$ is at most $s\}$

## The evaluation map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{F}_{q}^{2}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{q^{2}}\right\} \text { and } P_{j}=\left(P_{j}^{(X)}, P_{j}^{(Y)}\right) \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{c}
\left.\prod_{j=1, \ldots, q^{2}}\left(X-P_{j}^{(X)}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{j=1, \ldots, q^{2}}^{\substack{(X)} P_{i}^{(X)}} \sum_{\substack{(Y) \\
P_{j}^{(Y)}}}\left(Y-P_{j}^{(Y)}\right)\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

has exactly one nonzero, namely $P_{i}$.
The map ev: $\mathbf{F}_{q}[X, Y] \rightarrow F_{q^{2}}$ given by $\mathrm{ev}(F)=\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), F\left(P_{2}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{q^{2}}\right)\right)$ is a surjective vector space homomorphism.

## The evaluation map

$$
\operatorname{ev}(F(X, Y))=\operatorname{ev}(\tilde{F}(X, Y))
$$

where $\tilde{F}$ is made from $F$ by replacing one or more of the occurrences of $X^{q}$ with $X$ and by replacing one or more of the occurrences of $Y^{q}$ with $Y$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\#\left\{X^{i} Y^{j} \mid 0 \leq i<q, 0 \leq j<q\right\}=\# \mathbf{F}_{q^{2}} \\
\left\{\operatorname{ev}\left(X^{i} Y^{j}\right) \mid 0 \leq i<q, 0 \leq j<q\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

is therefore a basis for $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$ as a vector space over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$.

| $Y^{3}$ | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y^{2}$ | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 |
| $Y$ | 4 | 7 | 10 | 13 |
| 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ |


| Codes | $n$ | $k$ | $d$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{RM}_{4}(0,2)$ | 16 | 1 | 16 |
| $\mathrm{RM}_{4}(1,2)$ | 16 | 3 | 12 |
| $\mathrm{RM}_{4}(2,2)$ | 16 | 6 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{RM}_{4}(3,2)$ | 16 | 10 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{RM}_{4}(4,2)$ | 16 | 13 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{RM}_{4}(5,2)$ | 16 | 15 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{RM}_{4}(6,2)$ | 16 | 16 | 1 |
| Hyp | 16 | 11 | 4 |

Generator matrices straight forward.

## Codes over $\mathbb{F}_{8}$

| $Y^{7}$ | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $Y^{6}$ | 48 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 56 | 58 | 60 | 62 |
| $Y^{5}$ | 40 | 43 | 46 | 49 | 52 | 55 | 58 | 61 |
| $Y^{4}$ | 32 | 36 | 40 | 44 | 48 | 52 | 56 | 60 |
| $Y^{3}$ | 24 | 29 | 34 | 39 | 44 | 49 | 54 | 59 |
| $Y^{2}$ | 16 | 22 | 28 | 34 | 40 | 46 | 52 | 58 |
| $Y$ | 8 | 15 | 22 | 29 | 36 | 43 | 50 | 57 |
| 1 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 24 | 32 | 40 | 48 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ | $X^{4}$ | $X^{5}$ | $X^{6}$ | $X^{7}$ |

$\mathrm{RM}_{8}(7,2)$ is $[64,36,8]$
Hyperbolic codes with [64, 48, $8=64-56$ ] and
[64,37, $14=64-50]$

## Monomial orderings

$\mathcal{M}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right)$ set of monomials in $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$.
$\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}}=X_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots X_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}$, where $\vec{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)$.

## Definition:

A monomial ordering $\prec$ is a total ordering on $\mathcal{M}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right)$ satisfying

- If $\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}} \prec \vec{X}^{\vec{\beta}}$ then $\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}} \vec{X}^{\vec{\gamma}} \prec \vec{X}^{\beta} \vec{X}^{\vec{\gamma}}$
- Every $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right), S \neq \emptyset$ has a unique smallest element.


## Example:

$\vec{X}^{\hat{\alpha}} \prec_{\text {lex }} \vec{X}^{\vec{\beta}}$ if $\vec{\beta}-\vec{\alpha}$ has a first non zero element $>0$.
Example:
$\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}} \prec_{\text {tot }} \vec{X}^{\vec{\beta}}$ if (1) or (2) holds:
(1) $\sum \alpha_{i}<\sum \beta_{i}$
(2) $\sum \alpha_{i}=\sum \beta_{i}$ and $\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}} \prec_{l e x} \vec{X}^{\vec{\beta}}$

## In an ideal world...

## Definition:

$J \subseteq k[\vec{X}]$ is an ideal if for all $F \in J, G \in J$ and $H \in k[\vec{X}]$

- $F+G \in J$
- $F H \in J$

$$
J=\left\langle F_{1}(\vec{X}), \ldots, F_{s}(\vec{X})\right\rangle=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{s} H_{i}(\vec{X}) F_{i}(\vec{X}) \mid H_{i}(\vec{X}) \in k[\vec{X}]\right\}
$$

Example:
$\mathbf{F}_{4}=\{0,1, \alpha, \alpha+1\}$. Rules: $2=0, \alpha^{2}+\alpha+1=0$
$J=\left\langle X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y, X Y^{2}+X Y+\alpha\right\rangle \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{4}[X, Y]$

## Varieties

## Definition:

The variety $\mathcal{V}_{k}(J)$ is the common zeros of the polynomials in (the generators of) $J$.

## Example:

$\mathcal{V}_{\bar{F}_{q}}\left(I_{q}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{F}_{q}}(I)$ where $I_{q}=I+\left\langle X_{1}^{q}-X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}^{q}-X_{m}\right\rangle$ as $X_{i}^{q}-X_{i}$ "defines" $\mathbf{F}_{q}$.

## Footprint

## Definition:

Fix $\prec$. The set of monomials that can not be found as leading monomial of any polynomial in $J$ is the footprint $\Delta_{\prec}(J)$.

Example:
$\Delta_{\prec}\left(\left\langle X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y\right\rangle\right)=\left\{X^{i} Y^{j} \mid 0 \leq i<4,0 \leq j<4\right\}$

## Footprint

## Example:

$\Delta_{\prec}\left(\left\langle X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y, X^{2} Y+a X Y+b X^{2}+c X+d Y+e\right\rangle\right)$ is contained in $\left\{1, X, Y, X^{2}, X Y, Y^{2}, X^{3}, X^{2} Y, Y^{3}, X^{3} Y\right\}$. May very well be smaller!!!


## Residue-class ring

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=k[\vec{X}] / J \\
& (F(\vec{X})+J)+(G(\vec{X})+J)=F(\vec{X})+G(\vec{X})+J \\
& (F(\vec{X})+J)(G(\vec{X})+J)=F(\vec{X}) G(\vec{X})+J
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem:
$\left\{M+J \mid M \in \Delta_{\prec}(I)\right\}$ is a basis for $R$ as a vector space over $k$.
Example:
$J=\left\langle X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y\right\rangle . R=F_{4}[X, Y] / J$.
$\Delta_{\prec}(J)=\left\{X^{i} Y^{j} \mid 0 \leq i<4,0 \leq j<4\right\}$. Dimension of $R$ is 16 .

## The evaluation map

Given $I \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{q}[\vec{X}]$ define $I_{q}:=I+\left\langle X_{1}^{q}-X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}^{q}-X_{m}\right\rangle$.
$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(I_{q}\right)=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}$.
$\mathrm{ev}: \mathbf{F}_{q}[\vec{X}] / I_{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{q}^{n}$ given by ev $\left(F+I_{q}\right)=\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{n}\right)\right)$.
Lagrange (like) interpolation possible. Hence, a surjective vectorspace homomorphism.

## The evaluation map

## Definition:

An ideal $J$ is radical if $F^{r} \in J, r>1$ implies $F \in J$.

## Proposition:

$I_{q}$ is radical.
Theorem: (Hilbert's Strong Nullstellensatz)
If $J$ is radical then the vanishing ideal of $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{k}}(J)$ is $J$.
Corollary:
ev : $\mathbf{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right] / I_{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{q}^{n}$ is an isomorphism.

## The footprint bound

## Corollary:

$\# \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(I_{q}\right)=\# \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$.
Corollary:
If $\operatorname{Im}(F)=X^{i_{1}} \ldots X_{m}^{i_{m}}$ with $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}<q$ then at most $q^{m}-\Pi\left(q-i_{s}\right)$ zeros over $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{m}$.

Example:
$\Delta_{\prec}\left(\left\langle X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y, X^{2} Y+a X Y+b X^{2}+c X+d Y+e\right\rangle\right)$

\# zeros at most 10

## Generalized Reed-Muller codes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\langle 0\rangle \\
& I_{q}=\langle 0\rangle+\left\langle X_{1}^{q}-X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}^{q}-X_{m}\right\rangle=\left\langle X_{1}^{q}-X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}^{q}-X_{m}\right\rangle \\
& \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)=\left\{X_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots X_{m}^{i_{m}} \mid 0 \leq i_{1}<q, \ldots, 0 \leq i_{m}<q\right\} \\
& \mathcal{V}_{F_{q}}\left(I_{q}\right)=\mathbf{F}_{q}^{m}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{q^{m}}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{ev}\left(F(\vec{X})+I_{q}\right)=\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{q^{m}}\right)\right) \\
& \operatorname{RM}_{q}(s, m)=\left\{\operatorname{ev}\left(F+I_{q}\right) \mid \operatorname{deg}_{X_{1}}<q, \ldots, \operatorname{deg}_{X_{m}}(F)<q\right. \\
& \left.\quad \operatorname{deg}_{t o t}(F) \leq s\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Vectors on r.h.s. are linearly independent. Hence, dimension easily found. By the footprint bound the minimum distance is:

$$
d=\min \left\{\prod_{t=1}^{m}\left(q-i_{t}\right) \mid 0 \leq i_{1}<q, \ldots, 0 \leq i_{m}<q\right.
$$

$$
\left.i_{1}+\ldots+i_{m} \leq s\right\}
$$

## Generalized Reed-Muller codes - continued

Minimum distance by footprint bound:
$d=\min \left\{\prod_{s=1}^{m}\left(q-i_{s}\right) \mid 0 \leq i_{1}<q, \ldots, 0 \leq i_{m}<q, \sum_{s=1}^{m} \leq s\right\}$
Worst case on the border.
For $s \leq m(q-1)$ write $s=a(q-1)+b$ with $0 \leq b<q$ then min equals $(q-b) q^{m-a-1}$.

| $Y^{3}$ | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y^{2}$ | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| $Y$ | 12 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| 1 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 4 |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ |

## Hyperbolic codes

| $Y^{3}$ | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y^{2}$ | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| $Y$ | 12 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| 1 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 4 |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{Hyp}_{q}(s, m)=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbf{F}_{q}}\left\{\operatorname{ev}\left(X_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots X_{m}^{i_{m}}+I_{q}\right) \mid 0 \leq i_{1}<q, \ldots\right. \\
\left.0 \leq i_{m}<q, \prod_{t=1}^{m}\left(q-i_{t}\right) \geq \delta\right\}
\end{array}
$$

where $\delta=q^{m}-s$.
Minimum distance equals $\delta$ by footprint bound. Dimension can be calculated. Closed form estimate for dimension exists.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\left\langle x^{q}+Y z^{q}-y^{q} z-x, U^{q}-z^{q+1}+a X^{q}-a Y^{q} z+b \varphi^{q+1}+U\right\rangle \\
& \subseteq F_{q_{2}}[x, y, z, u], \quad a, b \in F_{q} \\
\omega(X) & =(q, 1), \omega(Y)=(9,9), \omega(z)=(9,0), \omega(4)=(q+1,0)
\end{aligned}
$$



Alphabet $=\mathbb{F}_{64}, n=262144$

## Hermite codes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\left\langle X^{3}-Y^{2}-Y\right\rangle \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{4}[X, Y] \\
& I_{4}=\left\langle X^{3}-Y^{2}-Y, X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y\right\rangle \\
& V_{\mathbf{F}_{4}}\left(I_{4}\right)=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{8}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{ev}: R_{4}=\mathbf{F}_{4}[X, Y] / I_{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{4}^{8} \\
& \operatorname{ev}\left(F+I_{4}\right)=\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{8}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
E(s)=\operatorname{Span}_{F_{4}}\left\{\operatorname{ev}\left(X^{i} Y^{j}+I_{q}\right) \mid 2 i+3 j \leq s\right\}
$$

## Hermite codes

## Definition:

Let a weighted degree ordering on $\mathcal{M}(X, Y)$ be given by $X^{i_{1}} Y^{j_{1}} \prec_{w} X^{i_{2}} Y^{j_{2}}$ if (1) or (2) holds:
(1) $2 i_{1}+3 j_{1}<2 i_{2}+3 j_{2}$
(2) $2 i_{1}+3 j_{1}=2 i_{2}+3 j_{2}$ but $j_{1}<j_{2}$

As ev is an isomorphism and eight zeros, the footprint is:

| $Y^{3}$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y^{2}$ | $\square$ | $\cdot$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| $Y$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 1 | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\square$ | $*$ |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ | $X^{4}$ | $X^{5}$ |

## Hermite codes

$E(s)=\operatorname{Span}_{F_{4}}\left\{\operatorname{ev}\left(X^{i} Y^{j}+I_{q}\right) \mid X^{i} Y^{j} \in \Delta_{\alpha_{w}}\left(I_{4}\right), 2 i+3 j \leq s\right\}$ Dimension easily found.
$\# \nu_{\mathbf{F}_{4}}\left(\left\langle Y+a X+b, X^{3}-Y^{2}-Y, X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y\right\rangle\right)=$ ?
Analysis so far: at most 4 zeros.
Deeper analysis will show: at most $w(Y)=0 \cdot 2+1 \cdot 3=3$ zeros.

## Affine Variety Codes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right], I_{q}=I+\left\langle X_{1}^{q}-X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}^{q}-X_{m}\right\rangle \\
& R_{q}=\mathbf{F}_{q}[\vec{X}] / I_{q} . \\
& \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(I_{q}\right)=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{ev}\left(F+I_{q}\right)=\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{n}\right)\right) \\
& L \subseteq R_{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition:
$C(I, L)=\operatorname{ev}(L) . C^{\perp}(I, L)$ is the dual space.

## Affine Variety codes

$\mathrm{RM}_{q}(s, m)=C(I, L)$ where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
L=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbf{F}_{q}}\left\{X_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots X_{m}^{i_{m}}+\left\langle X_{1}^{q}-X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}^{q}-X_{m}\right\rangle\right. \\
\left.0 \leq i_{1}<q, \ldots, 0 \leq i_{m}<q, \sum_{s=1}^{m} i_{s} \leq s\right\}
\end{array}
$$

$\operatorname{Hyp}_{q}(s, m)=C(I, L)$ where
$L=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbf{F}_{q}}\left\{X_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots X_{m}^{i_{m}} \mid 0 \leq i_{1}<q, \ldots, 0 \leq i_{m}<q\right.$,

$$
\left.\prod_{s=1}^{m}\left(q-i_{s}\right) \geq q^{m}-s\right\}
$$

Hermite code over $F_{4}$ is $C(I, L)$ where

$$
L=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbf{F}_{4}}\left\{X^{i} Y^{j}+I_{4} \mid 0 \leq i<4,0 \leq j<2,2 i+3 j \leq s\right\}
$$

## Division algorithm

$\prec_{w}$ with $w(X)=2, w(Y)=3$

$$
\begin{array}{lccc}
X^{5}+Y^{3}+1: & X^{3}+Y^{2}+Y & X^{4}+X & Y^{4}+Y \\
X^{5}+X^{2} & & \text { remainder } \\
\hline Y^{3}+X^{2}+1 & & \\
Y^{3}+X^{3} Y+Y^{2} & Y & & \\
\hline X^{3} Y+Y^{2}+X^{2}+1 & & & \\
X^{3} Y & & X^{3} Y \\
\hline Y^{2}+X^{2}+1 & & \\
Y^{2}+X^{3}+Y & & & X^{3}+X^{2}+Y+1 \\
X^{3}+X^{2}+Y+1 & & \\
X^{5}+Y^{3}+1 \text { rem }\left\{X^{3}+Y^{2}+Y, X^{4}+X, Y^{4}+Y\right\}= & \\
X^{3} Y+X^{3}+X^{2}+Y+1 & &
\end{array}
$$

## Gröbner basis

Definition:
$\mathcal{G}=\left\{G_{1}(\vec{X}), \ldots, G_{s}(\vec{X})\right\} \subseteq J$ is a Gröbner basis for $J$ w.r.t. $\prec$ if whenever $M \in \operatorname{lm}(J)$ holds $M$ is divisible by $\operatorname{lm}\left(G_{i}\right)$ for some $i$.

Facts about GB:

- A Gröbner basis is a basis.
- Buchbergers's algorithm finds GB.
- Footprint is easily read of from GB.
- For fixed $\prec$ division with remainder modulo a GB is unique.

We get:
Theorem:
$\left\{M+J \mid M \in \Delta_{\prec}(J)\right\}$ is a basis for $k[\vec{X}] / J$

## Hermite code

$X^{i_{1}} Y^{j_{1}} \prec_{w} X^{i_{2}} Y^{j_{2}}$ if (1) or (2) holds:
(1) $2 i_{1}+3 j_{1}<2 i_{2}+3 j_{2}$
(2) $2 i_{1}+3 j_{1}=2 i_{2}+3 j_{2}$ but $j_{1}<j_{2}$
$\left\{X^{3}-Y^{2}-Y, X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y\right\}$ GB with respect to $\prec_{w}$

$E(3)=C(I, L)$ with $L=\operatorname{Span}_{F_{4}}\left\{1+I_{q}, X+I_{q}, Y+I_{q}\right\}$

## Motivation for definition of OWB

If $\vec{c} \in E(3)$ then $\vec{c}=\operatorname{ev}\left(H(X, Y)+I_{4}\right)$ for some
$H(X, Y)=a Y+b X+c$. We know

$$
w_{H}(\vec{c})=n-\# \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(\left\langle X^{3}-Y^{2}-Y, X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y, H(X, Y)\right\rangle\right)
$$

which is the number of elements in $\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{4}\right)$ that is NOT in $\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{4}+\langle H(X, Y)\rangle\right)$.

Case 1- $\operatorname{Im}(H)=Y$

- $\operatorname{Im}(1 \cdot H(X, Y)$ rem $\mathcal{G})=Y$
- $\operatorname{Im}(X \cdot H(X, Y)$ rem $\mathcal{G})=X Y$
- $\operatorname{Im}\left(X^{2} \cdot H(X, Y)\right.$ rem $\left.\mathcal{G}\right)=X^{2} Y$
- $\operatorname{Im}\left(X^{3} \cdot H(X, Y)\right.$ rem $\left.\mathcal{G}\right)=X^{3} Y$
- $\operatorname{Im}(Y \cdot H(X, Y)$ rem $\mathcal{G})=X^{3}$


## Motivation for OWB continued

Here, last result follows from:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}(Y H(X, Y) \operatorname{rem} \mathcal{G}) & =\operatorname{Im}\left(Y^{2}+b X Y+c Y \operatorname{rem} \mathcal{G}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(X^{3}+Y+b X Y+c Y \operatorname{rem} \mathcal{G}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(X^{3}+b X Y+(c+1) Y \operatorname{rem} \mathcal{G}\right)=X^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $Y, X Y, X^{2} Y, X^{3} Y, X^{3}$ are NOT in $\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{4}+\langle H(X, Y)\rangle\right)$. Hence, Hamming weight at least 5.

Case 2- $\operatorname{Im}(H)=X$ 6 element NOT in $\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{4}+\langle H(X, Y)\rangle\right)$ are determined.

Case $3-\operatorname{Im}(H)=1$ 8 element NOT in $\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{4}+\langle H(X, Y)\rangle\right)$ are determined.

Hence, minimum distance at least 5.

## Hermite code


$E(3)=C(I, L)$ with $L=\operatorname{Span}_{F_{4}}\left\{1+I_{4}, X+I_{4}, Y+I_{4}\right\}$

## Notation:

$\left\{1+I_{4}, X+I_{4}, Y+I_{4}\right\}$ is said to be a well-behaving basis for $L$ because

- $\{1, X, Y\} \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{4}\right)$
- $1 \prec_{w} X \prec_{w} Y$

A basis for $L$ that is not well-behaving is $\left\{1+I_{4}, X+Y+I_{4}, X+\alpha Y+I_{4}\right\}$.

We write $\square_{\prec w}(L)=\{1, X, Y\}$

## Well-behaving basis

$L \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{q}[\vec{X}] / I_{q}$.
Definition:
A basis $\left\{B_{1}+I_{q}, \ldots, B_{\text {dim }(L)}+I_{q}\right\}$ for $L \subseteq R_{q}$ where $\operatorname{Supp}\left(B_{i}\right) \subseteq \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim}(L)$ and where $\operatorname{Im}\left(B_{1}\right) \prec \cdots \prec \operatorname{Im}\left(B_{\operatorname{dim}(L)}\right)$ is said to be well-behaving with respect to $\prec$.

Definition:

$$
\square_{\prec}(L)=\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left(B_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Im}\left(B_{\operatorname{dim}(L)}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\left\{B_{1}+I_{q}, \ldots, B_{\operatorname{dim}(L)}+I_{q}\right\}$ is any well-behaving basis of $L$ with respect to $\prec$.

## OWB

## Definition:

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Gröbner basis for $I_{q}$ with respect to $\prec$. Then $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right), M_{1}, M_{2} \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$ is said to be OWB if for all $H$ with $\operatorname{Supp}(H) \subseteq \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(H)=M_{1}$

$$
\operatorname{lm}\left(M_{1} M_{2} \operatorname{rem} \mathcal{G}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(H M_{2} \operatorname{rem} \mathcal{G}\right)
$$

Example:
$I_{q}=\left\langle X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y\right\rangle, \prec_{\text {tot }}, \mathcal{G}=\left\{X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y\right\}$ is GB .
$\left(X^{2} Y, Y^{2}\right)$ is OWB as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(X^{2} Y+a X Y^{2}+b Y^{3}+c X^{2}+d X Y+e Y^{2}+f X+g Y+h\right) Y^{2} \text { rem } \mathcal{G} \\
& =X^{2} Y^{3}+a X Y+b Y^{2}+c X^{2} Y^{2}+d X Y^{3}+e Y+f X Y^{2}+g Y^{3}+h Y^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Leading monomial equals $X^{2} Y^{3}$ whether or not $a=b=c=d=e=f=g=h=0$.

## Minimum distance

## Theorem:

Let $\prec$ be fixed. The minimum distance of $C(I, L)$ is at least

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \left\{\# \left\{K \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right) \mid \exists N \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)\right.\right. \text { such that } \\
& \left.\quad(P, N) \text { is OWB and } \operatorname{Im}(P N \text { rem } \mathcal{G})=K\} \mid P \in \square_{\prec}(L)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Order domain codes are affine variety codes where many OWB pairs are easily found.

## Corollary:

Let $\prec$ be fixed. The minimum distance of $C(I, L)$ is at least

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\#\left\{K \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right) \mid P \text { divides } K\right\} \mid P \in \square_{\prec}(L)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $K, P$ be as in (1). Clearly $\frac{K}{P} \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$. To see that $\left(P, \frac{K}{P}\right)$ is OWB let $H$ be a polynomial with $\operatorname{Im}(H)=P$ and Supp $(H) \subseteq \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$. Clearly, the leading monomial of $H_{P}^{K}$ is equal to $K$. The division algorithm, when applied to $H \frac{K}{P}$ and $\mathcal{G}$, starts by moving $K$ to the remainder. This is due to $K \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$. When we run the division algorithm all other terms $A$ are either moved to the remainder, are replaced with with polynomials $S$ such that $\operatorname{Im}(S) \prec \operatorname{Im}(A)$ holds, or are replaced with 0 .
Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(H \frac{K}{P} \operatorname{rem} \mathcal{G}\right)=K=\operatorname{Im}\left(P \frac{K}{P} \operatorname{rem} \mathcal{G}\right)
$$

## Hermite code

$X^{i_{1}} Y^{j_{1}} \prec_{w} X^{i_{2}} Y^{j_{2}}$ if (1) or (2) holds:
(1) $2 i_{1}+3 j_{1}<2 i_{2}+3 j_{2}$
(2) $2 i_{1}+3 j_{1}=2 i_{2}+3 j_{2}$ but $j_{1}<j_{2}$
$\left\{X^{3}-Y^{2}-Y, X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y\right\}$ GB with respect to $\prec_{w}$

$E(3)=C(I, L)$ with $L=\operatorname{Span}_{F_{4}}\left\{1+I_{q}, X+I_{q}, Y+I_{q}\right\}$

## $E(3)$ - continued

$$
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
Y & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\hline & 1 & X & X^{2} & X^{3}
\end{array}
$$

Corollary gives $(Y, 1),(Y, X),\left(Y, X^{2}\right),\left(Y, X^{3}\right)$ are OWB with remainder modulo $\mathcal{G}$ equal to $Y, X Y, X^{2} Y, X^{3} Y$ respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (Y+a X+b) Y \text { rem }\left\{X^{3}-Y^{2}-Y, X^{4}-X, Y^{4}-Y\right\} \\
& =X^{3}+a X Y+(b+1) Y
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\operatorname{Im}((Y+a X+b) Y$ rem $\mathcal{G})=X^{3}$ whether or not $a=b=0$ and therefore also $(Y, Y)$ is OWB.

## $E(3)$ - continued

$$
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
Y & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\hline & 1 & X & X^{2} & X^{3}
\end{array}
$$

Corollary gives $(X, 1),(X, X),\left(X, X^{2}\right),(X, Y),(X, X Y),\left(X, X^{2} Y\right)$ are OWB with remainders modulo $\mathcal{G}$ equal to $X, X^{2}, X^{3}, X Y, X^{2} Y, X^{3} Y$ respectively.

Corollary gives $(1, N)$ OWB for all $N \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{4}\right)$, and all $K \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{4}\right)$ are realized as remainders.

Theorem gives $d(E(3)) \geq \min \{5,6,8\}=5$

## minimum distance

Proof: Let $\vec{c} \in C(I, L)$. Then there exists an $F$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}(F) \subseteq \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right), \operatorname{Im}(F)=P \in \square_{\prec}(L)$ and $\operatorname{ev}\left(F+I_{q}\right)=\vec{c}$. By the footprint bound the Hamming weight of $\vec{c}$ is equal to $n-\# \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}+\langle F\rangle\right)$. If $N, K \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$ satisfy that ( $P, N$ ) is OWB and $\operatorname{lm}(P N \operatorname{rem} \mathcal{G})=K$ then

$$
K \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right) \backslash \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}+\langle F\rangle\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\# \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}+\langle F\rangle\right) \leq \# \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)-\#\left\{K \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right) \mid \exists N \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)\right.
$$ such that $(P, N)$ is OWB and $\operatorname{Im}(P N$ rem $\mathcal{G})=K\}$.

But $n=\# \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$ and therefore the Hamming weight of $\vec{c}$ is at least

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{K \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right) \mid \exists N \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)\right. \\
& \quad \text { such that }(P, N) \text { is OWB and } \operatorname{Im}(P N \text { rem } \mathcal{G}=K\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hermite Codes - general case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\left\langle X^{q+1}-Y^{q}-Y\right\rangle \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}[X, Y] \\
& I_{q^{2}}=\left\langle X^{q+1}-Y^{q}-Y, X^{q^{2}}-X, Y^{q^{2}}-Y\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $w\left(X^{i} Y^{j}\right)=q i+(q+1) j$. Define $X^{i_{1}} Y^{j_{1}} \prec_{w} X^{i_{2}} Y^{j_{2}}$ if (1) or (2) holds:
(1) $w\left(X^{i_{1}} Y^{j_{1}}\right)<w\left(X^{i_{2}} Y^{j_{2}}\right)$
(2) $w\left(X^{i_{1}} Y^{j_{1}}\right)=w\left(X^{i_{2}} Y^{j_{2}}\right)$ but $j_{1}<j_{2}$.
$\mathcal{H}=\left\{X^{q+1}-Y^{q}-Y\right\}$ is a Gröbner basis for $I$
$\mathcal{G}=\left\{X^{q+1}-Y^{q}-Y, X^{q^{2}}-X, Y^{q^{2}}-Y\right\}$ is a Gröbner basis for I
Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\prec_{w}}(I)=\left\{X^{i} Y^{j} \mid 0 \leq i, 0 \leq j<q\right\} \\
& \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q^{2}}\right)=\left\{X^{i} Y^{j} \mid 0 \leq i<q^{2}, 0 \leq j<q\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hermite Codes - the general case

Example: the case $q^{2}=4$


Fact 1 (general case):
If $M, M^{\prime} \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}(I)$ and $w(M)=w\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ holds, then $M=M^{\prime}$.
Fact 2 (general case):
Assume $F(X, Y)$ has a single monomial of highest weight, say $w^{\prime}$. Then the polynomial $F(X, Y)$ rem $\left\{X^{q+1}-Y^{q}-Y\right\}$ has a single monomial of highest weight and this weight equals $w^{\prime}$.

## Hermite Codes - the general case

Example: the case $q^{2}=4$


To see $(Y, Y)$ OWB observe:

- Let $\operatorname{Im}(F)=Y$ and $\operatorname{Supp}(F) \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{4}\right)$ then $F$ has a single monomial of highest weight and this weight is 3 .
- From fact 2 we see $w(F(X, Y) Y$ rem $\mathcal{H})=w\left(Y^{2}\right)=6$.
- From fact $1 \operatorname{lm}(F(X, Y) Y$ rem $\mathcal{H})=X^{3}$
- By inspection $X^{3} \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q^{2}}\right)$ and therefore $\operatorname{lm}(F(X, Y) Y$ rem $\mathcal{G})=X^{3}$


## Hermite Codes - the general case

Example: the case $q^{2}=4$

| 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| $w\left(\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q^{2}}\right)\right)$ |  |  | $\bar{\sigma}(P)$ |  |  |  |  |

$\bar{\sigma}(P)=\#\{K \mid \exists N,(P, N)$ OWB $\quad \operatorname{Im}(P N$ rem $\mathcal{G})=K\}$
$L=\left\{1, X, Y, X^{2}\right\}$ then $C(I, L)$ is $[n, k, d]=[8,4,4]$
$\mathbb{F}_{9}[X, Y] / I, \quad I=\left\langle X^{9}-X, Y^{9}-Y, X^{4}-Y^{3}-Y\right\rangle$
$w(X)=3, w(Y)=4$

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}Y & X Y & X^{2} Y & X^{3} Y & X^{4} Y & X^{5} Y & X^{6} Y & X^{7} Y & X^{8} Y\end{array}$

| 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ | $X^{4}$ | $X^{5}$ | $X^{6}$ | $X^{7}$ | $X^{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 8 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 29 | 32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 28 |
| 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 24 |


| 19 | 16 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 20 | 17 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 27 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 3 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\sigma}\left(X^{4} Y^{2}\right) & =\sigma(20) \\
& =\#\{20+0,20+3,20+4, \\
& =7
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hermite codes over $\mathbf{F}_{9}$

| 8 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 29 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 28 |
| 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 24 |


| 19 | 16 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 20 | 17 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 27 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 3 |

Observation: $n-w(P) \leq \bar{\sigma}(P)$ holds.
Hence, if $L=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbf{F}_{9}}\left\{P+I_{q} \mid w(P) \leq s\right\}$ then
$d(C(I, L)) \geq n-s$.
Better choice: $L^{\prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbf{F}_{9}}\{P \mid \bar{\sigma}(P) \geq \delta\}$ then $d\left(C\left(I, L^{\prime}\right)\right) \geq \delta$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C(I, L) \text { with } s=23: & d \geq 4, k=21 \\
C\left(I, L^{\prime}\right) \text { with } \delta=4: & d \geq 4, k=22
\end{array}
$$

## Generalized weighted degree orderings

## Definition:

Given numbers $w\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, w\left(X_{m}\right) \in \mathbf{N}$ define $w\left(X_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots X_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} w\left(X_{i}\right)$. The weighted degree lexicographic ordering $\prec_{w}$ is the ordering with $\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}} \prec_{w} \vec{X}^{\beta}$ if (1) or (2) holds:
(1) $w\left(\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}}\right)<w\left(\vec{X}^{\vec{\beta}}\right)$
(2) $w\left(\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}}\right)=w\left(\vec{X}^{\vec{\beta}}\right)$ but $\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}} \prec_{\text {lex }} \vec{X}^{\vec{\beta}}$ holds

Note: One can replace $\prec_{l e x}$ with any other monomial ordering. This is an example of a generalized weighted degree ordering.

## Order domain theory

The order domain conditions: Let $\prec_{w}$ be a generalized weighted degree ordering on $\mathcal{M}(\vec{X})$. Let $I=\left\langle G_{1}(\vec{X}), \ldots, G_{s}(\vec{X})\right\rangle \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{q}[\vec{X}]$ be an ideal such that:

- $\left\{G_{1}, \ldots, G_{s}\right\}$ is a Gröbner basis for / w.r.t. $\prec_{w}$.
- For $i=1, \ldots, s G_{i}$ has exactly two monomials of highest weight in its support.
- No two monomials in $\Delta_{\alpha_{w}}(I)$ is of the same weight.

The order domain conditions guarantees that we can use the same tricks as with the Hermitian codes.

The generalized Reed-Muller code construction fits this description in the more general case where weights are not numerical.

## Generalized Reed-Muller codes revisited

$$
w\left(X^{\prime} Y^{j}\right)=(i, j)
$$

$$
I=\langle 0\rangle \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{5}[X, Y] . I_{5}=\left\langle X^{5}-X, Y^{5}-Y\right\rangle .
$$

| $Y^{4}$ | $(0,4)$ | $(1,4)$ | $(2,4)$ | $(3,4)$ | $(4,4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y^{3}$ | $(0,3)$ | $(1,3)$ | $(2,3)$ | $(3,3)$ | $(4,3)$ |
| $Y^{2}$ | $(0,2)$ | $(1,2)$ | $(2,2)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(4,2)$ |
| $Y$ | $(0,1)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(2,1)$ | $(3,1)$ | $(4,1)$ |
| 1 | $(0,0)$ | $(1,0)$ | $(2,0)$ | $(3,0)$ | $(4,0)$ |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ | $X^{4}$ |


| $Y^{4}$ | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y^{3}$ | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| $Y^{2}$ | 15 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| $Y$ | 20 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 4 |
| 1 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ | $X^{4}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma((2,3))=\# & \{(2,3)+(0,0),(2,3)+(0,1),(2,3)+(1,0) \\
& (2,3)+(1,1),(2,3)+(2,0),(2,3)+(2,1)\}=6
\end{aligned}
$$

## Order domain codes

Definition:
Let $\prec_{w}$ and I satisfy the order domain conditions. The semigroup $\Gamma:=w\left(\Delta_{\prec_{w}}(I)\right)$ is called the value semigroup. For $\lambda \in w\left(\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right)\right) \subseteq \Gamma$ define

$$
\sigma(\lambda)=\#\left\{\gamma \in w\left(\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right)\right) \mid \gamma-\lambda \in \Gamma\right\}
$$

## Observation:

The above condition $\gamma-\lambda \in \Gamma$ can w.l.o.g. be replaced by
$\gamma-\lambda \in w\left(\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right)\right)$.
Observation:
The value semigroup is generated by $w\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, w\left(X_{m}\right)$. That is, $\Gamma=\left\langle w\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, w\left(X_{m}\right)\right\rangle$.

## Order domain codes

## Definition:

Let $\prec_{w}$ and $/$ satisfy the order domain conditions. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(s) & =\left\{\operatorname{ev}\left(F\left(\vec{X}+I_{q}\right) \mid \operatorname{wdeg}(F) \leq s\right\}\right. \\
& =C(I, L)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L=\left\{M+I_{q} \mid M \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right), w(M) \leq s\right\}$.
Define also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}(\delta) & =\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbf{F}_{q}}\left\{\operatorname{ev}\left(M+I_{q}\right) \mid \sigma(w(M)) \geq \delta\right\} \\
& =C\left(I, L^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L^{\prime}=\left\{M+I_{q} \mid M \in \Delta_{\prec w}\left(I_{q}\right), \sigma(w(M))=\bar{\sigma}(M) \geq \delta\right\}$.

## Minimum distance of $E(s)$ and $\tilde{E}(\delta)$

According to theorem:
$d(E(s)) \geq \min \{\sigma(\lambda) \mid \lambda \leq s\}$
$d(\tilde{E}(\delta)) \geq \delta$.

## Lemma:

If $\Gamma$ is a numerical semigroup with finitely many gaps and $\lambda \in \Gamma$ then

$$
\lambda=\#(\Gamma \backslash(\lambda+\Gamma))
$$

where $\lambda+\Gamma=\{\lambda+\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$.
Observation:
For $\lambda \in w\left(\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right)\right), \sigma(\lambda)=\# w\left(\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right)\right) \cap(\lambda+\Gamma)$
Corollary:
Consider (numerical) weights. For $\lambda \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right)$ we have $n-\lambda \leq \sigma(\lambda)$

## Minimum distance of $E(s)$ - continued

## Corollary:

For (numerical) weights and $\lambda \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right)$ we have $n-\lambda \leq \sigma(\lambda)$
Theorem:
(For numerical weights) the minimum distance of $E(s)$ is at least $n-s$.

## Well-behaving basis

$L \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{q}[\vec{X}] / I_{q}$.
Definition:
A basis $\left\{B_{1}+I_{q}, \ldots, B_{\text {dim }(L)}+I_{q}\right\}$ for $L \subseteq R_{q}$ where $\operatorname{Supp}\left(B_{i}\right) \subseteq \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim}(L)$ and where $\operatorname{Im}\left(B_{1}\right) \prec \cdots \prec \operatorname{Im}\left(B_{\operatorname{dim}(L)}\right)$ is said to be well-behaving with respect to $\prec$.

Definition:

$$
\square_{\prec}(L)=\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left(B_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Im}\left(B_{\operatorname{dim}(L)}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\left\{B_{1}+I_{q}, \ldots, B_{\operatorname{dim}(L)}+I_{q}\right\}$ is any well-behaving basis of $L$ with respect to $\prec$.

## OWB

## Definition:

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Gröbner basis for $I_{q}$ with respect to $\prec$. Then ( $M_{1}, M_{2}$ ), $M_{1}, M_{2} \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$ is said to be OWB if for all $H$ with $\operatorname{Supp}(H) \subseteq \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(H)=M_{1}$

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{1} M_{2} \operatorname{rem} \mathcal{G}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(H M_{2} \operatorname{rem} \mathcal{G}\right)
$$

## The Feng-Rao bound

## Theorem:

Let $\prec$ be fixed. The minimum distance of $C(I, L)^{\perp}$ is at least

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\# \left\{P \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right) \mid \exists N \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right) \text { such that }(P, N)\right.\right. \text { is OWB } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$ and $\operatorname{lm}(P N$ rem $\left.\mathcal{G})=K\} \mid K \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right) \backslash \square_{\prec}(L)\right\}$.

$\mathbb{F}_{9}[X, Y] / I, \quad I=\left\langle X^{9}-X, Y^{9}-Y, X^{4}-Y^{3}-Y\right\rangle$
$w(X)=3, w(Y)=4$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}Y^{2} & X Y^{2} & X^{2} Y^{2} & X^{3} Y^{2} & X^{4} Y^{2} & X^{5} Y^{2} & X^{6} Y^{2} & X^{7} Y^{2} & X^{8} Y^{2}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}Y & X Y & X^{2} Y & X^{3} Y & X^{4} Y & X^{5} Y & X^{6} Y & X^{7} Y & X^{8} Y\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}1 & X & X^{2} & X^{3} & X^{4} & X^{5} & X^{6} & X^{7} & X^{8}\end{array}$

| 8 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 29 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 28 |
| 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 24 |


| 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 27 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 20 | 23 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 16 | 19 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mu}\left(X^{4}\right) & =\mu(12) \\
& =\#\{12-0,12-3,12-4, \\
& 12-6,12-8,12-9,12-12\} \\
& =7
\end{aligned}
$$

## Order domain theory

The order domain conditions: Let $\prec_{w}$ be a generalized weighted degree ordering on $\mathcal{M}(\vec{X})$. Let $I=\left\langle G_{1}(\vec{X}), \ldots, G_{s}(\vec{X})\right\rangle \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{q}[\vec{X}]$ be an ideal such that:

- $\left\{G_{1}, \ldots, G_{s}\right\}$ is a Gröbner basis for / w.r.t. $\prec_{w}$.
- For $i=1, \ldots, s G_{i}$ has exactly two monomials of highest weight in its support.
- No two monomials in $\Delta_{\alpha_{w}}(I)$ is of the same weight.


## Definition:

Let $\prec_{w}$ and / satisfy the order domain conditions. The semigroup $\Gamma:=w\left(\Delta_{\alpha_{w}}(I)\right)$ is called the value semigroup. For $\lambda \in w\left(\Delta_{<_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right)\right) \subseteq \Gamma$ define

$$
\mu(\lambda)=\#\left\{\gamma \in w\left(\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right)\right) \mid \lambda-\gamma \in \Gamma\right\}
$$

## Order domain codes

## Definition:

Let $\prec_{w}$ and $/$ satisfy the order domain conditions. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(s) & =\left\{\vec{c} \mid \vec{c} \cdot \operatorname{ev}\left(F(\vec{X})+I_{q}\right)=0 \text { for all } F \text { with } \operatorname{wdeg}(F) \leq s\right\} \\
& =C^{\perp}(I, L)
\end{aligned}
$$

$L=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbf{F}_{q}}\left\{M+I_{q} \mid M \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right), w(M) \leq s\right\}$.
$\tilde{C}(\delta)=\left\{\vec{c} \mid \vec{c} \cdot \operatorname{ev}\left(M+I_{q}\right)=0\right.$ for all $M$ with $\left.\mu(w(M))<\delta\right\}$

$$
=C^{\perp}\left(I, L^{\prime}\right)
$$

$L^{\prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbf{F}_{q}}\left\{M+I_{q} \mid M \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right), \mu(w(M))=\bar{\mu}(M)<\delta\right\}$.
By Feng-Rao theorem for general order domain code the minimum distance is at least $\min \left\{\mu(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right) \backslash \square_{\prec}(L)\right\}$.
$d(C(s)) \geq \min \left\{\mu(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right), \lambda>s\right\}$
$d(\tilde{C}(\delta)) \geq \delta$

## Hermite codes over $\mathbf{F}_{9}$

| 8 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 29 | 32 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 28 |
| 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 24 |
| 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 27 |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 20 | 23 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 16 | 19 |
| $C^{\perp}(I, L)$ with $s=7:$ | $d \geq 3, k=22$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $C^{\perp}\left(I, L^{\prime}\right)$ with $\delta=4: \quad d \geq 4, k=22$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## GRM/Hyp codes over $\mathbf{F}_{8}$

Table below: $\sigma / \mu$

| $Y^{7}$ | $8 / 8$ | $7 / 16$ | $6 / 24$ | $5 / 32$ | $4 / 40$ | $3 / 48$ | $2 / 56$ | $1 / 64$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $Y^{6}$ | $16 / 7$ | $14 / 14$ | $12 / 21$ | $10 / 28$ | $8 / 35$ | $6 / 42$ | $4 / 49$ | $2 / 56$ |
| $Y^{5}$ | $24 / 6$ | $21 / 12$ | $18 / 18$ | $15 / 24$ | $12 / 30$ | $9 / 36$ | $6 / 42$ | $3 / 48$ |
| $Y^{4}$ | $32 / 5$ | $28 / 10$ | $24 / 15$ | $20 / 20$ | $16 / 25$ | $12 / 30$ | $8 / 35$ | $4 / 40$ |
| $Y^{3}$ | $40 / 4$ | $35 / 8$ | $30 / 12$ | $25 / 16$ | $20 / 20$ | $15 / 24$ | $10 / 28$ | $5 / 32$ |
| $Y^{2}$ | $48 / 3$ | $42 / 6$ | $36 / 9$ | $30 / 12$ | $24 / 15$ | $18 / 18$ | $12 / 21$ | $6 / 24$ |
| $Y$ | $56 / 2$ | $49 / 4$ | $42 / 6$ | $35 / 8$ | $28 / 10$ | $21 / 12$ | $14 / 14$ | $7 / 16$ |
| 1 | $64 / 1$ | $56 / 2$ | $48 / 3$ | $40 / 4$ | $32 / 5$ | $24 / 6$ | $16 / 7$ | $8 / 8$ |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ | $X^{4}$ | $X^{5}$ | $X^{6}$ | $X^{7}$ |

Indeed, for appropriate choices of $L$ and $\hat{L}$ we have $C(I, L)=C^{\perp}(I, \hat{L})$. This includes Generalized Reed-Muller codes and Hyperbolic codes.

## Hermite codes over $\mathbf{F}_{9}$

Table below: $\sigma / \mu$

| $Y^{2}$ | $19 / 3$ | $16 / 6$ | $13 / 9$ | $10 / 12$ | $7 / 15$ | $4 / 18$ | $3 / 21$ | $2 / 24$ | $1 / 27$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $Y$ | $23 / 2$ | $20 / 4$ | $17 / 6$ | $14 / 8$ | $11 / 11$ | $8 / 14$ | $6 / 17$ | $4 / 20$ | $2 / 23$ |
| 1 | $27 / 1$ | $24 / 2$ | $21 / 3$ | $18 / 4$ | $15 / 7$ | $12 / 10$ | $9 / 13$ | $6 / 16$ | $3 / 19$ |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ | $X^{4}$ | $X^{5}$ | $X^{6}$ | $X^{7}$ | $X^{8}$ |

Indeed, for appropriate choices of $L$ and $\hat{L} C(I, L)=C^{\perp}(I, \hat{L})$. Includes $E(s), \tilde{E}(\delta)$ versus $C(s), \tilde{C}(\delta)$.

$$
I=\left\langle X\left(q^{r}-1\right) /(q-1)-Y Y^{q^{r-1}}-Y^{q^{r-2}}-\cdots-Y\right\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}[X, Y]
$$



Alphabet $=\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{7}}, n=2^{13}$ Improved versus non-improved.

$$
I=\left\langle X\left(q^{r}-1\right) /(q-1)-Y q^{q^{r-1}}-Y Y^{q^{r-2}}-\cdots-Y\right\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}[X, Y]
$$



Alphabet $=\mathbb{F}_{64}$. From above: $64=8^{2}$ gives $n=2^{9}, 64=4^{3}$ gives $n=2^{10}, 64=2^{6}$ gives $n=2^{11}, \operatorname{Hyp}_{64}(s, 2)$ gives $n=2^{12}$

## A "non-duality example"

Consider the the generalized weighted degree ordering on $\mathcal{M}(X, Y, Z, U)$ with weights $w(X)=64, w(Y)=80, w(Z)=100, w(U)=125$. The ideal $I:=\left\langle X^{5}+Y^{4}+Y, Y^{5}+Z^{4}+Z, Z^{5}+U^{4}+U^{2}\right\rangle \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{16}[X, Y, Z, U]$ and $\prec_{w}$ satisfies the order domain conditions. Expanding

$$
\left\{X^{16}-X, Y^{16}-Y, Z^{16}-Z, U^{16}-U, X^{5}+Y^{4}+Y, Y^{5}+Z^{4}+Z, Z^{5}+U^{4}+U^{2}\right\}
$$

to a Gröbner basis for $I_{q}$ results in an awfully large basis. The leading monomials are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{Y^{4}, Z^{4}, U^{4}, X^{10} Y^{2} Z^{2}, X^{5} Y^{2} Z U^{2}, X^{10} Z U^{2}, X^{5} Y^{2} Z^{3}, X^{10} Z^{3}, X^{10} Y^{3}, X^{15},\right. \\
& X Y^{3} Z^{3} U^{2}, X^{6} Y^{3} U^{2}, X^{11} U^{2}, X^{6} Z^{2} U^{2}, X^{6} Y^{3} Z^{2}, X^{11} Y, X^{11} Z, X^{6} Y Z U^{2}, \\
& \left.X^{6} Y Z^{3}, X^{10} Y^{2} U^{2}, X^{5} Y Z^{2} U^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The footprint does not have the shape of a box. By inspection $n=\Delta_{\prec_{w}}\left(I_{q}\right)=512$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\left\langle x^{5}-Y^{4}-Y, Y^{5}-Z^{4}-Z, Z^{5}-U^{4}-U^{2}\right\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{16}[X, Y, Z, U] \\
& \omega(x)=64, \omega(Y)=80, \omega(Z)=100, \omega(U)=125
\end{aligned}
$$

Alphabet $=\Pi_{16}, n=512$


## Bad can be good...

$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}=\sum v_{i} u_{i}$ (usual inner product)
$\vec{u} * \vec{v}=\left(u_{1} v_{1}, \ldots, u_{m} v_{m}\right)$ (Hadamard or "bad student" inner product).
$\vec{w} \cdot(\vec{v} * \vec{u})=(\vec{w} * \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{u}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{w} \cdot(\vec{v} * \vec{u}) \neq 0 \\
& \vec{w} * \vec{v} \neq \overrightarrow{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of Feng-Rao bound

Let $\left\{B_{1}+I_{q}, \ldots, B_{\operatorname{dim}(L)}+I_{q}\right\}$ be a well-behaving basis for $L$.
Consider $\vec{c} \in C(I, L)^{\perp} \backslash\{\overrightarrow{0}\}$. That is, $\vec{c}$ satisfies
$\vec{c} \cdot \mathrm{ev}\left(B_{i}+I_{q}\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim}(L)$ but

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{c} \cdot \mathrm{ev}\left(K+I_{q}\right) \neq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some $K \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$.
Let $K \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right)$ be smallest possible with respect to $\prec$ such that (3) holds. By linearity of the inner product and the minimality of $K$ we have $K \notin \square_{\prec}(L)$.

## Proof of Feng-Rao bound - continued

Consider OWB pairs $\left(P_{1}, N_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(P_{\delta}, N_{\delta}\right)$, where $P_{1}, N_{1}, \ldots, P_{\delta}, N_{\delta} \in \Delta_{\prec}\left(I_{q}\right), P_{1} \prec \cdots \prec P_{\delta}$ and $\operatorname{lm}\left(P_{i} N_{i}\right.$ rem $\left.\mathcal{G}\right)=K$ for $i=1, \ldots, \delta$.

The minimality of $K$ and the OWB property of $\left(P_{i}, N_{i}\right)$ ensure that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{c} \cdot \mathrm{ev}\left(\left(\sum_{\substack{t=1, \ldots, i \\ a_{i} \neq 0}} a_{t} P_{t}\right) N_{i} \text { rem } \mathcal{G}+I_{q}\right) \neq 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, \delta\}$.

## Proof of Feng-Rao bound - continued

As

$$
\left(\sum_{\substack{t=1, \ldots, i \\ a_{i} \neq 0}} a_{t} P_{t}\right) N_{i} \text { rem } \mathcal{G}+I_{q}=\left(\sum_{t=1, \ldots, i} a_{t} a_{t}\right) N_{i}+l_{q}
$$

we conclude from (4) that

$$
\vec{c} * \operatorname{ev}\left(\left(\sum_{\substack{t=1, \ldots, i \\ a_{i} \neq 0}} a_{t} P_{t}\right)+I_{q}\right) \neq \overrightarrow{0} \quad \text { for any } \quad i \in\{1, \ldots, \delta\}
$$

## Proof of Feng-Rao bound - continued

Hence, $\vec{c} * \vec{e} \neq \overrightarrow{0}$ for all

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{e} \in\left\{\operatorname{ev}\left(\left(\sum_{t=1}^{\delta} a_{t} P_{t}\right)+I_{q}\right) \mid a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\delta} \in \mathbf{F}_{q}, \text { not all } a_{i} \text { equal } 0\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space consisting of (5) and $(0, \ldots, 0)$ is of dimension $\delta$ and therefore the Hamming weight of $\vec{c}$ needs to be at least $\delta$.

## Generalized weighted degree orderings

## Definition:

Given $w\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, w\left(X_{m}\right) \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{r}$ define
$w\left(X_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots X_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} w\left(X_{i}\right)$. Let $\prec_{\mathbf{N}_{0}^{r}}$ be a monomial ordering on $\mathbf{N}_{0}^{r}$ and let $\prec_{\mathcal{M}}$ be a monomial ordering on $\mathcal{M}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right)$. The generalized weighted degree ordering $\prec_{w}$ is the ordering with $\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}} \prec_{w} \vec{X}^{\vec{\beta}}$ if (1) or (2) holds:
(1) $w\left(\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}}\right) \prec_{\mathbf{N}_{0}^{r}} w\left(\vec{X}^{\vec{\beta}}\right)$
(2) $w\left(\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}}\right)=w\left(\vec{X}^{\vec{\beta}}\right)$ but $\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}} \prec_{\mathcal{M}} \vec{X}^{\vec{\beta}}$ holds

## Order domain theory

The order domain conditions: Let $\prec_{w}$ be a generalized weighted degree ordering on $\mathcal{M}(\vec{X})$. Let $I=\left\langle G_{1}(\vec{X}), \ldots, G_{s}(\vec{X})\right\rangle \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{q}[\vec{X}]$ be an ideal such that:

- $\left\{G_{1}, \ldots, G_{s}\right\}$ is a G"röbner basis for / w.r.t. $\prec_{w}$.
- For $i=1, \ldots, s G_{i}$ has exactly two monomials of highest weight (with respect to $\prec_{\mathbf{N}_{0}^{r}}$ ) in its support.
- No two monomials in $\Delta_{\prec_{w}}(I)$ is of the same weight.

The order domain conditions guarantees that we can use the same tricks as with the Hermitian codes (using the weights to determine OWB pairs).

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\left\langle x^{q}+Y z^{q}-y^{q} z-x, U^{q}-z^{q+1}+a X^{q}-a Y^{q} z+b \varphi^{q+1}+U\right\rangle \\
& \subseteq F_{q_{2}}[x, y, z, u], \quad a, b \in F_{q} \\
\omega(X) & =(q, 1), \omega(Y)=(9,9), \omega(z)=(9,0), \omega(4)=(q+1,0)
\end{aligned}
$$



Alphabet $=\mathbb{F}_{64}, n=262144$

## Tensor products of order domains

$R_{1}=\mathbf{F}_{q}[\vec{X}] / \iota_{1}$
$I_{1}=\left\langle F_{1}(\vec{X}), \ldots, F_{s_{1}}(\vec{X})\right\rangle$
$\prec_{w}^{1}$ is defined by weights in $\mathbf{N}_{0}^{r_{1}}, \prec_{\mathcal{M}(\vec{X})}$ and $\prec_{\mathbf{N}_{o}^{r_{1}}}$
$R_{2}=\mathbf{F}_{q}[\vec{Y}] / I_{2}$
$I_{2}=\left\langle G_{1}(\vec{Y}), \ldots, G_{s_{2}}(\vec{Y})\right\rangle$
$\prec_{W}^{2}$ is defined by weights in $\mathbf{N}_{0}^{r_{1}}, \prec_{\mathcal{M}(\vec{Y})}$ and $\prec_{\mathbf{N}_{o}^{\prime_{2}}}$
$R=\mathbf{F}_{q}[\vec{X}, \vec{Y}] / I$
$I=\left\langle F_{1}(\vec{X}), \ldots, F_{s_{1}}(\vec{X}), G_{1}(\vec{Y}), \ldots, G_{s_{2}}(\vec{Y})\right\rangle$
$\prec_{w}$ is defined by weights in $\mathbf{N}_{0}^{r_{1}+r_{2}}$ as follows
$w\left(\vec{X}^{\vec{\alpha}} \vec{Y}^{\vec{\beta}}\right)=(w(\vec{X}), w(\vec{Y}))$
Choose $\prec_{\mathcal{M}(\vec{X}, \vec{Y})}$ and $\prec_{\mathbf{N}_{0}^{1_{1}+r_{2}}}$ with care.
Example: The structures supporting the generalized Reed Muller codes and the hyperbolic codes fits this general description.

## Generalized Reed-Muller codes revisited

$$
w\left(X^{\prime} Y^{j}\right)=(i, j)
$$

$$
I=\langle 0\rangle \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{5}[X, Y] . I_{5}=\left\langle X^{5}-X, Y^{5}-Y\right\rangle .
$$

| $Y^{4}$ | $(0,4)$ | $(1,4)$ | $(2,4)$ | $(3,4)$ | $(4,4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y^{3}$ | $(0,3)$ | $(1,3)$ | $(2,3)$ | $(3,3)$ | $(4,3)$ |
| $Y^{2}$ | $(0,2)$ | $(1,2)$ | $(2,2)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(4,2)$ |
| $Y$ | $(0,1)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(2,1)$ | $(3,1)$ | $(4,1)$ |
| 1 | $(0,0)$ | $(1,0)$ | $(2,0)$ | $(3,0)$ | $(4,0)$ |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ | $X^{4}$ |


| $Y^{4}$ | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y^{3}$ | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| $Y^{2}$ | 15 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| $Y$ | 20 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 4 |
| 1 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
|  | 1 | $X$ | $X^{2}$ | $X^{3}$ | $X^{4}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma((2,3))=\# & \{(2,3)+(0,0),(2,3)+(0,1),(2,3)+(1,0) \\
& (2,3)+(1,1),(2,3)+(2,0),(2,3)+(2,1)\}=6
\end{aligned}
$$

Tensor product of $m$ Hermitian order domains involves weights in $\mathbf{N}_{0}^{m}$.


Alphabet $=\mathbb{F}_{256}$. From above: $\operatorname{Hyp}_{256}(s, 2)$ of length $n=65536$, $\operatorname{Herm}_{256}(s, 2)$ of length $n=16777216, \operatorname{Hyp}_{256}(s, 3)$ of length $n=16777216, \operatorname{Herm}_{256}(s, 3)$ of length $n=68719476736$.

## Order functions

## Definition:

Let $(\Gamma,<)$ be a well-order. An order function on an $\mathbf{F}$-algebra $R$ is a surjective function

$$
\rho: R \rightarrow \Gamma \cup\{-\infty\}
$$

such that
(O.0) $\rho(f)=-\infty$ iff $f=0$
(O.1) $\rho(a f)=\rho(f)$ for all nonzero $a \in \mathbf{F}$
(O.2) $\rho(f+g) \leq \max \{\rho(f), \rho(g)\}$
(O.3) If $\rho(f)<\rho(g)$ and $h \neq 0$ then $\rho(f h)<\rho(g h)$
(O.4) If $f$ and $g$ are nonzero and $\rho(f)=\rho(g)$ then there exists a nonzero $a \in \mathbf{F}$ such that $\rho(f-a g)<\rho(g)$

## Weight functions

An order function induces an operation + on $R$ by:
(O.5) $\rho(f)+\rho(g)=\rho(f g)$

## Definition:

Let $(\Gamma,+)$ be a sub structure of $\left(\mathbf{N}_{o}^{r},+\right)$ and assume $(0.0), \ldots,(0.5)$ are satisfied. Then $\rho$ is called a weight function.

## Theorem:

If $\Gamma$ is finitely generated then $\rho$ is a weight function and
$R \simeq \mathbf{F}[\vec{X}] / /$ for some / satisfying the order domain conditions.

## Some results

$R$ is an integral domain.
Let $\rho$ be a weight function. The smallest number $r$ such that
$\Gamma \subseteq \mathbf{N}_{0}^{r}$ (up to isomorphism) satisfies $r=\operatorname{trdg}(\operatorname{Quot}(R))$
When weights are numerical we have: $R \subseteq \cup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(m P)$ where $P$ is a rational place (a point) in some algebraic function field of one variable (coming from a curve)
Given the description $R \simeq \mathbf{F}[\vec{X}] / /$ from the above theorem, all rational places (points) except $P$ are affine!

Given an algebraic function field of one variable and a rational place (point) then any subring $R \subseteq \cup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(m P)$ is an order domain.

## The codes

Codes from order domains with numerical weights correspond to one-point geometric Goppa codes (and one-point geometric Reed-Solomon codes). We do not need Riemann-Roch. Improvements easily handled. Treatment of more point codes requires generalization of order function.

We have an easy generalization of one-point codes to structures of higher transcendence degree.

## Warning

Given algebraic function field and place (point) it is not in general easy to find

$$
R=\cup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(m P)
$$

Neither is it easy to find the ideal $/$ such that $R=\mathbf{F}_{q}[\vec{X}] / I$.
But, such an / exists and allows for theoretical treatment.

## A non order-domain example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\left\langle X^{3} Y+Y^{3}+X\right\rangle \subseteq \mathbf{F}_{8}[X, Y] \\
& I_{8}=\left\langle X^{3} Y+Y^{3}+X, X^{8}+X, Y^{8}+Y\right\rangle \\
& w(X)=2 \text { and } w(Y)=3 \\
& \\
& \Delta_{\prec w}\left(I_{q}\right)=\left\{1, X, Y, X^{2}, X Y, Y^{2}, X^{3}, X^{2} Y, X Y^{2}, X^{4}, Y^{3}, X^{2} Y^{2},\right. \\
& \left.\quad X^{5}, X Y^{3}, Y^{4}, X^{6}, X^{2} Y^{3}, X Y^{4}, X^{7}, Y^{5}, X^{2} Y^{4}, Y^{6}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with corresponding weights

$$
\{0,2,3,4,5,6,6,7,8,8,9,10,10,11,12,12,13,14,14,15,16,18\}
$$

Can still determine OWB pairs. However, more involved.

## Decoding

General affine variety code:

- Fitzgerald and Lax
- Farr and Gao

Order domain codes:

- Høholdt, van Lint and Pellikaan via improved BMS-algorithm
- Improvements to above algorithm
- Attempt to Sudan-likde decode (Matsumoto and G)

When order domains are of transcendence degree 1 , well-known and strong decoding algorithms from theory of AG codes exists.

## Minimum distance decoding of Reed-Solomon codes

Consider a Reed-Solomon code
$\mathrm{RS}_{q}(k)=\left\{\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{q}\right)\right) \mid \operatorname{deg}(F)<k\right\}$.
Define $t=\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor=\lfloor(q-k) / 2\rfloor$.
If we receive $\vec{r}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{q}\right)$ then we determine a non zero polynomial

$$
Q(X, Y)=Q_{0}(X)+Y Q_{1}(X)
$$

that satisfies the following

- $Q\left(P_{1}, r_{1}\right)=0, Q\left(P_{2}, r_{2}\right)=0, \ldots, Q\left(P_{q}, r_{q}\right)=0$
- $\operatorname{deg}\left(Q_{0}\right) \leq q-1-t=I_{0}$
- $\operatorname{deg}\left(Q_{1}\right) \leq t=l_{1}$

How can we be sure that such a polynomial $Q(X, Y)$ exists?
Let $Q_{0}(X)=Q_{0,0}+Q_{0,1} X+Q_{0,2} X^{2}+\cdots+Q_{0, l_{0}} X^{10}$ and
$Q_{1}(X)=Q_{1,0}+Q_{1,1} X+\cdots+Q_{1,1_{1}} X^{1}$. We get

$$
Q\left(P_{1}, r_{1}\right)=0
$$

I

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{0,0} & +Q_{0,1} P_{1}+Q_{0,2} P_{1}^{2}+\cdots+Q_{0, l_{0}} P_{1}^{l_{0}} \\
& +Q_{1,0} r_{1}+Q_{1,1} r_{1} P_{1}+\cdots+Q_{1, / 1} r_{1} P_{1}^{4_{1}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a homogeneous equation with $\left(I_{0}+1\right)+\left(I_{1}+1\right)=q+1$ unknown (the $Q_{i, j}$ 's).

There are $q$ such equations. A homogeneous system of linear equations with more unknowns than equations possesses a non zero solution.

In matrix form we have:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & P_{1} & P_{1}^{2} & \cdots & P_{0}^{\prime} & r_{1} & r_{1} P_{1} & \cdots & r_{1} P_{1}^{\mu_{1}} \\
1 & P_{2} & P_{2}^{2} & \cdots & P_{2}^{l_{2}} & r_{2} & r_{2} P_{2} & \cdots & r_{2} P_{2}^{1_{1}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
1 & P_{q} & P_{q}^{2} & \cdots & P_{q}^{l} & r_{q} & r_{q} P_{q} & \cdots & r_{q} P_{q}^{h_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
Q_{0,0} \\
Q_{0,1} \\
Q_{0,2} \\
\vdots \\
Q_{0, l_{0}} \\
Q_{1,0} \\
Q_{1,1} \\
\vdots \\
Q_{1, l_{1}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Assume $\vec{c}=\left(F\left(P_{1}\right), F\left(P_{2}\right), \ldots, F\left(P_{q}\right)\right)$ was send (it is unknown to us) and assume that at most $t$ errors occurred under transmission.

We have $Q\left(P_{1}, r_{1}\right)=Q\left(P_{2}, r_{2}\right)=\cdots=Q\left(P_{q}, r_{q}\right)=0$ and as at most $t$ errors occurred at least $q-t$ zeros among

$$
Q\left(P_{1}, F\left(P_{1}\right)\right), Q\left(P_{2}, F\left(P_{2}\right)\right), \ldots, Q\left(P_{q}, F\left(P_{q}\right)\right)
$$

Interpret $Q(X, F(X))=Q_{0}+F(X) Q_{1}(X)$ as a polynomial in $X$. It is of degree at most $\max \{q-1-t,(k-1)+t\}=q-1-t$. A polynomial of degree at most $q-1-t$, that has at least $q-t$ zeros is the zero-polynomial 0 . We get

$$
Q(X, F(X))=0
$$

$\uparrow$

$$
Q_{0}(X)+F(X) Q_{1}(X)=0
$$

$\Uparrow$

$$
F(X)=-\frac{Q_{0}(X)}{Q_{1}(X)}
$$

## List decoding



There does not always exists a code word within the distance $t=\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor$ from the received word $\vec{r}$. In such a case we would like to investigate greater radii than $t$. Using such a method we must accept to sometimes find more candidates for the send word.

The minimum distance decoding method is generalized to list decoding as follows:

Look for $Q(X, Y)=Q_{0}(X)+Q_{1}(X) Y+\cdots+Q_{m}(X) Y^{m}$ such that

- $Q\left(P_{i}, r_{i}\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, q$
- Certain degree conditions on the $Q_{i}$ 's must be satisfied

Determine all factors $Y-F(X)$ i $Q(X, Y)$. There can at most be $m$ such factors (in by far most cases only one factor).

The method can be further improved, if zeros are counted with multiplicity. Multiplicity of polynomials in more variables is not a trivial thing. Many different definitions exist.

Above method can be generalized to work also for order domain codes: Arguments involves the $\sigma$ function. We cannot yet deal with multiplicities. (Except in the case of one-point geometric Goppa codes and generalized Reed-Muller codes).

