

Propriety of posteriors for Poisson processes

Rasmus Waagepetersen
Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Aalborg University
Fredrik Bajersvej 7G, DK-9220 Aalborg

February 10, 2005

Posterior propriety

Lemma. *Consider a Poisson process on a bounded region W with intensity function*

$$\lambda(\xi; \theta) = \exp(h(\xi)\theta^T), \quad \xi \in W,$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $h(\xi) = (h_1(\xi), \dots, h_p(\xi))$ are row vectors with $h_i : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $y = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ denote an observed point pattern from this Poisson process and let H be the matrix with rows $h(\xi_i)$, $i = 1 \dots, n$. Assume that H has full rank $p \leq n$, that $h(\cdot)$ is continuous at the observed points ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n , and that the prior density p for θ is bounded but not necessarily proper. Then the posterior for θ given y is proper.

Proof. The posterior is proper provided

$$\int L(\theta) d\theta < \infty$$

where

$$L(\theta) = \exp\left(-\int_W \lambda(\eta; \theta) d\eta\right) \prod_{\xi \in y} \lambda(\xi; \theta)$$

is the likelihood function. Consider a partition $\mathcal{P} = \{C_l\}_{l=1}^L$ of W into disjoint cells C_l so that $\text{card}(C_l \cap y) \leq 1$, and for $\xi \in y$, denote by C^ξ the cell which

contains ξ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_W \exp(h(\eta)\theta^\top) d\eta &\geq \sum_{\xi \in y} |C^\xi| \exp\left(\inf_{\eta \in C^\xi} h(\eta)\theta^\top\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{\xi \in y} |C^\xi| \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i \left(\inf_{\eta \in C^\xi} h_i(\eta) 1[\theta_i > 0] + \sup_{\eta \in C^\xi} h_i(\eta) 1[\theta_i \leq 0]\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon = \max_{\xi \in y, i=1, \dots, p} \sup_{\eta \in C^\xi} |h_i(\eta) - h_i(\xi)|$, $\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon(\text{sign}(\theta_1), \dots, \text{sign}(\theta_p))$, and $\tilde{h} = h - \tilde{\epsilon}$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i \left(\inf_{\eta \in C^\xi} h_i(\eta) 1[\theta_i > 0] + \sup_{\eta \in C^\xi} h_i(\eta) 1[\theta_i \leq 0]\right) \geq \tilde{h}(\xi)\theta^\top,$$

so

$$\int_W \lambda(\eta; \theta) d\eta \geq \sum_{\xi \in y} |C^\xi| \exp(\tilde{h}(\xi)\theta^\top).$$

Let \tilde{H} have rows $\tilde{h}(\xi_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. By continuity of h , we can choose the partition \mathcal{P} so that ϵ becomes arbitrarily small. Hence we choose the partition so that \tilde{H} has full rank p and denote by \tilde{H}^{-1} a left inverse of \tilde{H} . Let $\eta^\top = \tilde{H}\theta^\top$. Then $\tilde{\epsilon}\theta^\top = k\eta^\top$ where $k = \tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{H}^{-1}$, and so

$$\log(L(\theta)) \leq$$

$$\sum_{y \in \xi} [\tilde{\epsilon}\theta^\top + \tilde{h}(\xi)\theta^\top - |C^\xi| \exp(\tilde{h}(\xi)\theta^\top)] = \sum_{i=1}^n [\eta_i(nk_i + 1) - |C^{\xi_i}| \exp(\eta_i)].$$

If ϵ is small enough, each of the terms $\exp(\eta_i(nk_i + 1) - |C^{\xi_i}| \exp(\eta_i))$ is both bounded by a constant K and integrable as a function of η_i . We can assume that the partition is chosen so that this is the case, and without loss of generality we can assume that the first p rows in \tilde{H} are linearly independent. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int L(\theta) d\theta &\leq \\ \frac{K^{(n-p)}}{\det \tilde{H}_1} \int \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^p [\eta_i(nk_i + 1) - |C^{\xi_i}| \exp(\eta_i)]\right) d\eta_1 \cdots d\eta_p &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{H}_1 consists of the first p rows in \tilde{H} . □