Outline for today

Maximum likelihood estimation for linear mixed models

Rasmus Waagepetersen Department of Mathematics Aalborg University Denmark

February 12, 2020

linear mixed models

- the likelihood function
- maximum likelihood estimation
- restricted maximum likelihood estimation

1/28

2 / 28

Linear mixed models

Linear mixed model: general form

Consider mixed model:

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_1 + U_i + \beta_2 x_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$$

May be written in matrix vector form as

$$Y = X\beta + ZU + \epsilon$$

where $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)^{\mathsf{T}}$, $U = (U_1, \dots, U_k)^{\mathsf{T}}$ and $\epsilon = (\epsilon_{11}, \epsilon_{12}, \dots, \epsilon_{km})^{\mathsf{T}}$, X is $n \times 2$ and Z is $n \times k$.

Consider model

 $Y = X\beta + ZU + \epsilon$

where $U \sim N(0, \Psi)$ and $\epsilon \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ are independent.

All previous models special cases of this.

Then Y has multivariate normal distribution

 $Y \sim N(X\beta, Z\Psi Z^{\mathsf{T}} + \Sigma)$

1,

Hierarchical version

1.
$$U \sim N(0, \Psi)$$

2. $Y|U = u \sim N(X\beta + Zu, \Sigma)$

Useful for generalization to generalized linear mixed models.

Ex: Poisson log-normal:

Given U = u, Y_i independent with $Y_i \sim Poisson(\lambda_i)$ where $\lambda_i = \exp(\eta_i)$ and $\eta = X\beta + Zu$.

Note likelihood (marginal density of Y) typically not of simple form in case of generalized linear mixed models.

Some useful matrix identities

Woodbury identity:

$$(A + BCD)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B(C^{-1} + DA^{-1}B)^{-1}DA^{-1}$$
$$(C^{-1} + DA^{-1}B)^{-1}DA^{-1} = CD(BCD + A)^{-1}$$
$$(C^{-1} + B^{t}A^{-1}B)^{-1}B^{t}A^{-1} = CB^{t}(BCB^{t} + A)^{-1}$$

5 / 28

6 / 28

Inverse of covariance matrix

Assume Σ positive definite (e.g. scaled identity matrix).

Then $Z\Psi Z^{\mathsf{T}} + \Sigma$ guaranteed to be positive definite and

$$(Z\Psi Z^\mathsf{T} + \Sigma)^{-1} = \Sigma^{-1} - \Sigma^{-1} Z (\Psi^{-1} + Z^\mathsf{T} \Sigma^{-1} Z)^{-1} Z^\mathsf{T} \Sigma^{-1}$$

Right hand side may be easier to evaluate if Ψ^{-1} and $Z^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}Z$ sparse (e.g. AR(1) random effects - next slide)

Example AR(1) - covariance and inverse covariance Consider $U_1 = \nu_1$ and

$$U_i = aU_{i-1} + \nu_i, \quad i = 2, \dots, m$$

where ν_i independent zero-mean normal with variances $\mathbb{V}ar\nu_1 = \tau_1^2$ and $\mathbb{V}ar\nu_i = \tau^2$, i > 1.

Then $U = B\nu$ for some B so $U \sim N_n(0, BCB^T)$ where $C = \text{diag}(\tau_1^2, \tau^2, \dots, \tau^2)$. Hence $\Psi = BCB^T$ and $\Psi^{-1} = (B^{-1})^T C^{-1}B^{-1}$.

 ${\bf NB} {:}\ B^{-1}$ and C^{-1} are sparse (many zeros) and hence allows fast computations. So is Ψ^{-1} !

Expressions for covariances simplify in the stationary case |a| < 1and $\tau_1^2 = \tau^2/(1-a^2)$.

Limiting case $a \rightarrow 1$ is improper pairwise difference density.

ANOVA models

ANOVA models arise when model specified using cross-combinations of factors/grouping variables or nested factors.

Example: one- and two-way analysis of variance.

Example: nested model for reflectance measurements.

E.g. one-way ANOVA: Z has entries $Z_{(ij),q} = 1$ i = q and 0 otherwise, i, q = 1, ..., k j = 1, ..., m.

Likelihood for linear mixed model

log likelihood for linear mixed model with covariance matrix $V(\psi) = Z\Psi Z^{\mathsf{T}} + \Sigma$:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\log(|V(\psi)|) - \frac{1}{2}(y - X\beta)^{\mathsf{T}}V(\psi)^{-1}(y - X\beta)$$

 ψ : parameters for covariance matrix (e.g. variance components)

9 / 28

 $10 \, / \, 28$

MLE and weighted least squares

Assume ψ known. MLE for β is weighted least squares estimate

$$\hat{eta}(\psi) = \arg\min_{eta}(y - Xeta)^{\mathsf{T}}V(\psi)^{-1}(y - Xeta)$$

Differentiate and equate to zero:

$$X^{\mathsf{T}}V(\psi)^{-1}(y - X\beta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{\beta}(\psi) = (X^{\mathsf{T}}V(\psi)^{-1}X)^{-1}X^{\mathsf{T}}V(\psi)^{-1}y$$

(provided relevant inverses exist)

Covariance parameters $\psi:$ often numerical optimization is needed to maximize profile likelihood

$$-\frac{1}{2}\log(|V(\psi)|)-\frac{1}{2}(y-X\hat{\beta}(\psi))^{\mathsf{T}}V(\psi)^{-1}(y-X\hat{\beta}(\psi))$$

Estimation using orthogonal projections

Suppose $Y \sim N_n(\mu, \sigma^2 I)$, $\mu = X\beta$. Let P be orthogonal projection on M = span(X) (assuming X full rank, $P = X(X^T X)^{-1}X^T$).

Then by Pythagoras, $||Y - X\beta||^2 = ||Y - PY||^2 + ||PY - X\beta||^2$. Hence $\hat{\mu} = Py$ and $\hat{\beta} = (X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty$.

Moreover $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \|Y - PY\|^2 / n = \|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 / n.$

Suppose now $Y \sim N_n(\mu, \sigma^2 W)$ where $W = LL^T$ fixed. Then MLE based on Y and $\tilde{Y} = L^{-1}Y$ equivalent. Note $\mathbb{C}ov(\tilde{Y}) = \sigma^2 I$ and $\mathbb{E}\tilde{Y} = L^{-1}X\beta = \tilde{X}\beta$. Hence by the above,

$$\hat{\beta} = (\tilde{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\tilde{X})^{-1}\tilde{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\tilde{y} = (X^{\mathsf{T}}W^{-1}X)^{-1}X^{\mathsf{T}}W^{-1}y$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = (y - X\hat{\beta})^{\mathsf{T}} W^{-1} (y - X\hat{\beta}) / n$$

Profile likelihood - uncorrelated noise

Suppose $\mathbb{C}\text{ov}\epsilon = \sigma^2 I$ $(n \times n)$ and $\mathbb{C}\text{ov}U = \Psi = \tau^2 L(\theta)L(\theta)^T$ $(k \times k)$

Then
$$(\psi = (\sigma^2, \theta, \phi))$$

 $V(\psi) = \sigma^2 (I + \phi Z L(\theta) L(\theta)^T Z^T) = \sigma^2 W(\phi, \theta)$
where $\phi = \tau^2 / \sigma^2$ (signal to noise ratio).

Given ϕ and θ ,

$$\hat{\beta}(\phi,\theta) = (X^{\mathsf{T}}W^{-1}(\phi,\theta)X)^{-1}X^{\mathsf{T}}W(\phi,\theta)^{-1}y$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(\phi,\theta) = \frac{1}{n} (y - X\hat{\beta}(\phi,\theta))^{\mathsf{T}} W(\phi,\theta)^{-1} (y - X\hat{\beta}(\phi,\theta))$$

13/28

Then use matrix identity and result on next slide to get

$$W(\phi, \theta)^{-1} = (I + \phi Z L(\theta) L(\theta)^{\mathsf{T}} Z^{\mathsf{T}})^{-1} =$$
$$I - Z(\phi^{-1} (L(\theta)^{\mathsf{T}})^{-1} L(\theta)^{-1} + Z^{\mathsf{T}} Z)^{-1} Z^{\mathsf{T}}$$

and

$$|I_n + \phi ZL(\theta)L(\theta)^{\mathsf{T}}Z^{\mathsf{T}}| = |I_k + \phi L(\theta)L(\theta)^{\mathsf{T}}Z^{\mathsf{T}}Z|$$

Note: now we just need to invert/compute determinant of $k \times k$ and typically k < n.

Profile log likelihood for (ϕ, θ) :

$$\begin{split} I(\phi,\theta) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log |\hat{\sigma}^2(\phi,\theta) W(\phi,\theta)| - \frac{n}{2} \equiv \\ &- \frac{n}{2} \log \hat{\sigma}^2(\phi,\theta) - \frac{1}{2} \log |I_k + \phi L(\theta) L(\theta)^\mathsf{T} Z^\mathsf{T} Z| \end{split}$$

14 / 28

Some further useful matrix results

Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose A_{11} is invertible. Then

$$|A| = |A_{11}||A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}|$$

Similarly, if A_{22} is invertible: $|A| = |A_{22}||A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}$

Proof: use that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -A_{21}A_{11}^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} A$$

Moreover, if $A : n \times k$ and $B : k \times n$ then

$$|I_n + AB| = |I_k + BA|$$

Proof: use above result on

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_n & -A \\ B & I_k \end{bmatrix}$$

MLE's of variances biased or inconsistent

For simple normal sample $Y_i \sim N(\xi, \sigma^2)$, MLE $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is biased:

$$E\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2(n-1)/n$$

Bias arise from estimation of $\xi (\sum_i (y_i - \xi)^2 \text{ vs } \sum_i (y_i - \bar{y}_.)^2)$.

Neyman-Scott example: $y_{ij} = \xi_i + \epsilon_{ij}$, i = 1, ..., k and j = 1, 2. MLE of σ^2 not even consistent as k tends to infinity (exercise).

REML (restricted/residual maximum likelihood)

Idea: linear transform of data which eliminates mean. Suppose design matrix $X : n \times p$ and let $A : n \times (n - p)$ have columns spanning the orthogonal complement M^{\perp} of M = spanX. Then $A^{\mathsf{T}}X = 0$.

Transformed data $((n - p) \times 1)$

$$\tilde{Y} = A^{\mathsf{T}}Y = A^{\mathsf{T}}ZU + A^{\mathsf{T}}\epsilon$$

has mean 0 and covariance matrix $A^{\mathsf{T}}V(\psi)A$. Then proceed as for MLE.

NB: suppose A and B both span M^{\perp} . Then the same REML estimate of ψ is obtained (proof: B = AC for an invertible matrix C, write out likelihoods for \tilde{Y} using A and AC).

NB: M^{\perp} is the null-space of X^{T} .

REML continued

Given REML estimate $\hat{\psi}$ we use weighted least squares estimate of β :

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^{\mathsf{T}} V(\hat{\psi})^{-1} X)^{-1} X^{\mathsf{T}} V^{-1}(\hat{\psi}) y$$

17 / 28

18 / 28

REML examples

Simple normal sample: A has columns $e_i - 1_n/n$, i = 1, ..., n - 1 where 1_n is the *n*-vector of 1's and e_i is the *i*th standard basis vector.

Alternative: use columns $e_i - e_n$, $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$.

Neyman-Scott problem: A^T has rows of the form (1, -1, 0, ..., 0), (0, 0, 1, -1, 0, ..., 0) etc.

Implementation of REML - uncorrelated noise Suppose $\mathbb{C}ov\epsilon = \sigma^2 I$ and $\mathbb{C}ov U = \Psi = \tau^2 L(\theta) L(\theta)^T$

Then

 $V(\psi) = \sigma^2 (I + \phi Z L(\theta) L(\theta)^{\mathsf{T}} Z^{\mathsf{T}}) = \sigma^2 W(\phi, \theta)$ where $\phi = \tau^2 / \sigma^2$.

Choose A so that columns form an orthogonal basis for M^{\perp} where M = spanX. Then $A^{\mathsf{T}}A = I$ and $AA^{\mathsf{T}} = I - X(X^{\mathsf{T}}X)^{-1}X^{\mathsf{T}}$ (since AA^{T} is a projection matrix).

 $\mathbb{C} \text{ov} A^{\mathsf{T}} Y = A^{\mathsf{T}} V(\psi) A = \sigma^2 (I + \phi A^{\mathsf{T}} Z L(\theta) L(\theta)^{\mathsf{T}} Z^{\mathsf{T}} A) \quad (n-p) \times (n-p)$ Hence given (ϕ, θ) estimate of σ^2 is

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2}(\phi,\theta) = \frac{1}{n-p} \left[\tilde{Y}^{\mathsf{T}} \tilde{Y} - \tilde{Y}^{\mathsf{T}} A^{\mathsf{T}} Z [\phi^{-1} (L(\theta)L(\theta)^{\mathsf{T}})^{-1} + Z^{\mathsf{T}} A A^{\mathsf{T}} Z]^{-1} Z^{\mathsf{T}} A \tilde{Y} \right]$$

MLE for balanced one-way ANOVA

Profile REML log likelihood for (ϕ, θ) :

$$I(\phi,\theta) = -\frac{n-p}{2}\log\hat{\sigma}^2(\phi,\theta) - \frac{1}{2}\log|(I+\phi Z^{\mathsf{T}}AA^{\mathsf{T}}ZL(\theta)L(\theta)^{\mathsf{T}}|$$

Note: depends only on A through $AA^{T} = I - X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}$. This again shows that specific choice of basis for M^{\perp} does not matter.

(if columns in A not orthogonal, we would have $A(A^{\mathsf{T}}A)^{-1}A^{\mathsf{T}} = I - X(X^{\mathsf{T}}X)^{-1}X^{\mathsf{T}}$ and reach the same conclusion)

Maximizing likelihood for balanced one-way (M&T Thm 5.4 and remarks 5.13-5.16)

$$\hat{\xi} = \bar{y}_{\cdots}, \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{SSE}{k(m-1)}, \hat{\tau}^2 = \frac{SSB/k - \hat{\sigma}^2}{m}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2 \quad \mathbb{E}SSB/k = \frac{k-1}{k}\sigma^2 + m\frac{k-1}{k}\tau^2$$

Hence $\hat{\tau}^2$ biased. It is asymptotically unbiased as k tends to infinity.

In lecture 3 we derive the MLEs using orthogonal projections.

21 / 28

22 / 28

REML for balanced one-way ANOVA

E.g. A as for simple normal sample, i.e. $\tilde{y}_{ij} = y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..}$

Then REML equations for estimating τ^2 and σ^2 coincide with the moment equations.

Maximization

NB: In general profile likelihoods (MLE or REML) must be maximized numerically (e.g. Newton-Raphson).

For one-way ANOVA we can do it by hand in closed form but tedious.

In special case of balanced ANOVA models orthogonal decomposition makes MLE very easy (later) $% \left(\left({{{\rm{ANOVA}}} \right)_{\rm{ANOVA}} \right)_{\rm{ANOVA}} \right)_{\rm{ANOVA}}$

Computational details

For the general linear mixed model computational complexity arises from the need to invert and compute determinant of $V(\psi)$.

Strategies covered here include using possible sparsity of Ψ or possible low dimension k << n of Ψ

Usually we just need to specify X and Z and then general software (R or SAS) takes care of numerical details and maximization.

25 / 28

- 4. Show that the REML variance estimate for a simple normal sample coincides with s^2 .
- 5. Compute MLE and REML estimates for the Neyman-Scott example. Compute mean and variance for the estimates of σ^2 .
- Show that if A and B both span the orthogonal complement of spanX then the same REML estimates are obtained from A^TY and B^TY.
- 7. Go carefully through the derivations leading to profile log likelihood and REML profile log likelihood.
- Suppose Y has a parametric density f_Y(·; θ) and Ỹ = T(Y) for a differentiable and invertible transformation T that does not depend on θ. Show that the MLE for θ based on Y coincides with the MLE of θ based on Ỹ. Further, if ψ = g(θ) for some invertible transformation g then the MLE of θ coincides with g⁻¹(ψ̂) where ψ̂ is the MLE of ψ.
- 9. Compute variance of MLE $\hat{\sigma}^2$ and REML estimate s^2 given that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i \bar{Y})^2$ is $\sigma^2 \chi^2 (n-1)$ (hint: $\mathbb{V} ar \chi^2 (f) = 2f$). What happens with the difference between the two estimates when *n* tends to infinity ?

Exercises

- 1. Verify 'useful matrix identities' and 'further useful matrix results'.
- 2. formulate random intercept and slope model

$$y_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{ij} + U_i + V_i x_{ij} + \epsilon_j$$

as general linear mixed model. What are the design matrices X and Z ?

- 3. (AR(1)-model)
 - 3.1 Identify B^{-1} and B and compute Ψ and Ψ^{-1} in case of |a| < 1.
 - 3.2 Formulate $V^{-1} = (\Psi + \sigma^2 I)^{-1}$ in terms of sparse matrices where V is covariance matrix for the model $Y_i = \xi + U_i + \epsilon_i$ (AR(1)+noise).
 - 3.3 Show (stationarity) $U_i \sim N(0, \tau^2/(1-a^2)) \Rightarrow U_{i+1} \sim N(0, \tau^2/(1-a^2))$ (when |a| < 1).
 - 3.4 Consider the limit as $a \rightarrow 1$ of the density of an AR(1) with $\tau_1^2 = \tau^2/(1-a^2)$. How is this related to the smoothing prior in Exercise 9 from lecture 1 ?

26 / 28