

# Implementation of linear mixed model with AR(1) errors

Rasmus Waagepetersen

March 21, 2017

## 1 Model with AR(1) errors

Assume

$$Y \sim N(X\beta, V) \tag{1}$$

where  $V$  is the covariance matrix of a zero-mean stationary AR(1) process with parameters  $\tau^2$  and  $a$  (cf. handouts for lecture 2). Then  $V$  and  $V^{-1}$  have factorizations

$$V = BCB^T \quad \text{and} \quad V^{-1} = (B^{-1})^T C^{-1} B^{-1}$$

where  $C$  is diagonal and  $B^{-1}$  is zero except for the diagonal and the entries just below the diagonal. We can write  $C = \tau^2 D$  where  $\tau^2$  is the variance of the innovations of the AR(1) process and  $D$  only depends on  $a$ . Let  $V = \tau^2 W$  where  $W = BDB^T$ . Let  $S = D^{-1/2} B^{-1}$ .

1. Show that  $\tilde{Y} = SY \sim N(\tilde{X}\beta, \tau^2 I)$  where  $\tilde{X} = SX$ .
2. Show that the densities  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}$  of  $Y$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  are related by

$$f(y) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{y})|S|$$

3. Assume  $a$  is known. Argue that estimates of  $\beta$  and  $\tau^2$  based on the likelihood of  $Y$  coincides with estimates based on the likelihood of  $\tilde{Y}$ .
4. Write a piece of R code that for a given  $a$  produces the maximum likelihood estimates of  $\beta$  and  $\tau^2$  and returns the value of the log likelihood function (hint: transform  $Y$  and  $X$  into  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{X}$  and apply the `lm()` function in R - see also example code)

5. Simulate a data set from the model (1) and try out your code on this to obtain maximum likelihood estimates of  $\beta, \tau^2, a$ .
6. Conduct a simulation study to assess the distribution of the maximum likelihood estimates when  $a = 0$ ,  $a = 0.5$  and  $a = 0.99$ . Try small  $n = 20$  and large  $n = 1000$ .

## 2 Model extended with independent noise (hidden Markov process)

We now extend the model (1) by adding independent normal errors each with variance  $\sigma^2$ . That is, we consider

$$Y \sim N(X\beta, \tau^2 BDB^\top + \sigma^2 I). \quad (2)$$

This corresponds to the general setting for which we developed maximum likelihood (and restricted maximum likelihood) estimation on the handouts for lecture 2. Note that in this case neither  $\tau^2 BDB^\top + \sigma^2 I$  nor its inverse are sparse. Also I do not know how to obtain a square root of the inverse in an efficient manner. However, we can still come up with a computationally efficient implementation of maximum likelihood estimation.

Recall that  $Q = (BDB^\top)^{-1}$  is a sparse tri-diagonal matrix.

1. let  $\phi = \tau^2/\sigma^2$  and show that

$$(\tau^2 BDB^\top + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} = \sigma^{-2}(\phi I + Q)^{-1}Q$$

2. show that the determinant of  $|\tau^2 BDB^\top + \sigma^2 I|$  is  $\sigma^{n^2}|\phi I + Q|/|Q|$  where  $n$  is the dimension of  $Y$ .
3. one can compute (see accompanying R code) a Cholesky factorization  $LL^\top$  of  $\tilde{Q} = \phi I + Q$ . Show that the determinant of  $\tilde{Q}$  is the product of squared diagonal elements of  $L$ .
4. For a vector  $z$  computing  $Qz$  is of course straightforward. To compute  $x = (\phi I + Q)^{-1}z$  note that this is equivalent to solving the equation  $(\phi I + Q)x = z$ . How can we apply the Cholesky factorization  $LL^\top$  of the sparse matrix  $(\phi I + Q)$  to solve  $(\phi I + Q)x = z$  in an efficient manner (recall  $L$  is lower triangular) ?

5. Use the above results to implement maximum likelihood estimation of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  given fixed values of  $a$  and  $\phi$ . Next use this to implement profile likelihood estimation of  $a$  and  $\phi$  (see also example R code).

### 3 Inference for noise variance

Consider the electricity consumption-temperature data.

1. Fit the models from Section 1-2 with  $Y$  equal to the electricity consumption and  $X$  the matrix with a first column of ones, a second column given by the temperatures, and a third column given by the binary D variable (weekday vs. weekend).
2. Conduct a likelihood ratio test for  $H_0 : \sigma^2 = 0$ . Use a parametric bootstrap to approximate the distribution of the likelihood ratio under  $H_0$ .

### 4 Prediction

Consider the model from Section 2. Note that we can write

$$Y_i = Z_i + \epsilon_i$$

where  $Z$  follows the AR(1) model from Section 1 and  $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$  is independent of  $Z$ .

Compute  $\mathbb{E}[Z|Y]$  using respectively

1. The Kalman-filter/smoother.
2. Matrix computations applying the sparse Cholesky decomposition.

Take home message: The sparsity of the precision matrix of the hidden process is important. The approach using sparse Cholesky is more general than the Kalman filter since the sparse Cholesky decomposition does not require a notion of time (past/present) which is crucial for the Kalman filter.