



## Uniform pseudo-random number generators

Stochastic simulation methods rely on the possibility of producing (with a computer) a supposedly endless flow of iid (i.e. independent and identically distributed) random variables which are uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ . The uniform random variables are produced by a so-called random number generator, also called a pseudo-random number generator since in reality anything produced by a computer is deterministic:

**Definition** A *uniform pseudo-random number generator* is an algorithm which, starting from an initial value  $U_0 \in [0, 1]$  and a transformation  $D$ , produces a sequence  $U_0, U_1, \dots \in [0, 1]$  with  $U_{i+1} = D(U_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$  and such that for all  $n$ ,  $(U_1, \dots, U_n)$  reproduces the behaviour of an iid sample  $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  of uniform random variables when compared through a usual set of tests.

The following exercises aim at giving an introduction to uniform random number generation; we shall later see how to use this for simulating random variables from standard distributions as well as more complicated distributions. For further details, see e.g.

Ripley, B.D. (1987). *Stochastic Simulation*, Wiley.

Gentle, J.E. (1998). *Random Number Generation and Monte Carlo Methods*, Springer.

and the references therein.

### Exercise 1 (Multiplicative congruential generators)

In many cases a *multiplicative congruential generator* with parameters  $a, m$  is used (more precisely this is often just one ingredient of a more complicated generator) where  $a \geq 1$

and  $m \geq 2$  are integers. This produces a sequence of integers by the recursion

$$X_0 \in \{1, \dots, m-1\} \quad (1)$$

$$X_{i+1} = aX_i \bmod m. \quad (2)$$

Here  $X_0$  is called the *seed*. The associated sequence of approximately iid uniform random variables is given by  $U_i = X_i/m$ . Note that  $a$  and  $m$  must be chosen such that  $X_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$  (otherwise it gets stuck as  $X_i = 0$  for all sufficiently large  $i$ ). The choice of  $a$  and  $m$  is of course crucial for the quality of the generator.

1. Show that if  $a$  and  $m$  have no common prime factor, then  $X_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots$   
Hint: Argue that if  $X_{i+1} = 0$ , then  $aX_i = km$  for some integer  $k > 0$ , and hence we obtain a contradiction.
2. Show that any uniform pseudo-random number generator will repeat itself, i.e.

$$(U_i, U_{i+1}, U_{i+2}, \dots) = (U_i, \dots, U_{i+p-1}, U_i, \dots, U_{i+p-1}, \dots)$$

for some integers  $0 \leq i < p \leq m$ ; if  $p$  is the smallest such integer, it is called the *period*.

3. Implement in R a multiplicative congruential generator where it is possible to use different values of  $a$ ,  $m$  and  $X_0$ .  
Hint: Make first a function (see the R manual) for modulus operation (here the R-function `floor` may be helpful), and then a function which takes  $a$ ,  $m$ ,  $X_0$ , and  $n$  as input and returns a vector of length  $n$  containing  $(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ .
4. Generate  $U_1, \dots, U_{1000}$  and show a histogram of these 1000 values using the R function `hist`, setting first  $a = 3$ ,  $m = 31$ ,  $X_0 = 2$  and next  $a = 65539$ ,  $m = 2^{13}$ ,  $X_0 = 2^{10}$ ,  $2^5$ ,  $2$ .  
Hint: The command `par(mfrow = c(2, 2))`, which produces a 2x2 array of graphs in a plot window, might be useful.

## Exercise 2 (Evaluating a uniform pseudo-random number generator)

There exist numerous more or less advanced tests and graphical methods for checking whether a sequence  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  can be considered as effectively being iid uniform random variables. In the sequel we just consider a few simple methods.

1. In general it is hard to test if  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  are identically distributed, since we have only one realisation of each random variable  $U_i$ . For instance, we can plot the sample path  $(1, U_1), \dots, (n, U_n)$  and study if there appears to be any systematic fluctuation. Let  $n = 1000$  and produce such plots in R using the multiplicative congruential generator with first  $a = 3, m = 31, X_0 = 2$  and next  $a = 65539, m = 2^{13}, X_0 = 2^{10}, 2^5, 2$ .
2. Independence can be checked by plotting  $X_{j+i}$  against  $X_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n-i$  where  $i$  is an integer such that  $1 \leq i < n$  (usually  $i$  is not too close to  $n$ ); this is called a lag- $i$  plot, as it can be used for checking dependencies  $i$  time steps back. Make lag-1 and lag-2 plots for the sequences  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  considered in the previous question.  
Hint: `plot (U[1: (n-i)] , U[ (i+1) :n])`
3. To check if  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  are uniformly distributed, a histogram can be produced using the `hist`-function in R. We tried this in Exercise 1.5 above—what do you expect it should look like?
4. Another useful tool is a comparison of the theoretical distribution function with the empirical distribution function by a so-called *quantile-quantile (or Q-Q) plot* as defined below. Recall first that the  $a$ -quantile of a (generic) distribution function  $F$  is defined by

$$Q(a) = \inf\{x | F(x) \geq a\}, \quad 0 \leq a \leq 1,$$

that is the smallest real number  $x$  such that  $F(x) \geq a$ .

a) Discuss what the  $a$ -quantile is for a continuous random variable and for a discrete random variable.

b) Show that in the case of the uniform distribution,

$$Q(a) = a, \quad 0 \leq a \leq 1. \quad (3)$$

c) The *empirical distribution function* based on a sequence of identically distributed random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is defined by

$$\hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{X_i \leq x\}}$$

and the Q-Q plot is the graph

$$(Q(\hat{F}_n(X_i)), X_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}.$$

Argue that because of (3) the points in a Q-Q plot are expected to be close to the identity line if  $X_i$  is distributed in accordance with  $F$ .

5. Implement in R a function `qqunif`, which produces a Q-Q plot based on uniform random variables  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  on  $[0,1]$ .  
Hint: *For simplicity assume all the  $U_i$  are different and use the R functions `qunif` and `sort`.*
6. Use `qqunif(runif(1000))` (we study the function `runif` in more detail in Exercise 3 below) a number of times to get an idea about what you expect the Q-Q plot should look like when you consider 1000 iid uniformly distributed numbers on the interval  $[0,1]$ . Compare with Q-Q plots obtained for the sequences  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  considered above.  
Hint: *The command `abline(0, 1)` superimposes the identity line.*
7. What are the R-functions `qqnorm` and `rnorm` doing?
8. Try the command `qqnorm(rnorm(100))` a number of times and discuss the results.

### Exercise 3 (Uniform pseudo-random number generators in R)

R uses as default a so-called twisted tausworth generator, which applies by the command `RNGkind()`. This and other uniform pseudo-random number generators in R are described by the help page for the function `.Random.seed`, where it is also described how the value of the seed can be fixed so that realisations of uniform pseudo-random numbers can be used more than once.

1. Discuss why it could be interesting to reuse uniform pseudo-random numbers.
2. Read the help page for `runif`. What simulates
  - a) `runif(100)` and
  - b) `runif(100, min=1, max=3)`.
3. Test the generator `runif` by the methods in Exercise 2.