

Spatial analysis of tropical rain forest plot data

Rasmus Waagepetersen
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Aalborg University

September 21, 2010

Tropical rain forest ecology

Fundamental questions: which factors influence the spatial distribution of rain forest trees and what is the reason for the high biodiversity of rain forests ?

Key factors:

- ▶ environment: topography, soil composition,...
- ▶ seed dispersal limitation: by wind, birds or mammals...

Tropical rain forest ecology

Fundamental questions: which factors influence the spatial distribution of rain forest trees and what is the reason for the high biodiversity of rain forests ?


Key factors:

- ▶ environment: topography, soil composition,...
- ▶ seed dispersal limitation: by wind, birds or mammals...


Outline:

- ▶ data examples
- ▶ introduction to spatial point processes
- ▶ applications to tropical rain forest data



Example: *Capparis Frondosa* and environment




- ▶ observation window W
= 1000 m \times 500 m
- ▶ seed dispersal \Rightarrow clustering
- ▶ environment \Rightarrow inhomogeneity



Elevation



Potassium content in soil.




Quantify dependence on environmental variables and seed dispersal using statistics for spatial point processes.


Example: modes of seed dispersal and clustering

Three species with different modes of seed dispersal:


Acalypha Diversifolia explosive
capsules



Loncocharpus Heptaphyllus wind



Capparis Frondosa bird/mammal




Is degree of clustering related to
mode of seed dispersal ?

Spatial point process


Spatial point process: random
collection of points

(finite number of points in
bounded sets)



Intensity function and product density

\mathbf{X} : spatial point process. A and B small subregions.




Intensity function and product density

\mathbf{X} : spatial point process. A and B small subregions.

Intensity function of point process \mathbf{X}

$$\rho(u)|A| \approx P(\mathbf{X} \text{ has a point in } A), \quad u \in A$$




Intensity function and product density

\mathbf{X} : spatial point process. A and B small subregions.

Intensity function of point process \mathbf{X}

$$\rho(u)|A| \approx P(\mathbf{X} \text{ has a point in } A), \quad u \in A$$



Second order product density

$$\rho^{(2)}(u, v)|A||B| \approx P(\mathbf{X} \text{ has a point in each of } A \text{ and } B) \quad u \in A, v \in B$$

Pair correlation and K -function

Pair correlation function

$$g(u, v) = \frac{\rho^{(2)}(u, v)}{\rho(u)\rho(v)}$$

NB: independent points $\Rightarrow \rho^{(2)}(u, v) = \rho(u)\rho(v) \Rightarrow g(u, v) = 1$

Pair correlation and K -function

Pair correlation function

$$g(u, v) = \frac{\rho^{(2)}(u, v)}{\rho(u)\rho(v)}$$

NB: independent points $\Rightarrow \rho^{(2)}(u, v) = \rho(u)\rho(v) \Rightarrow g(u, v) = 1$

K -function

$$K(t) = \int_{\|h\| \leq t} g(h) dh$$

(provided $g(u, v) = g(u - v)$ i.e. **X** second-order reweighted stationary, Baddeley, Møller, Waagepetersen, 2000)

Pair correlation and K -function

Pair correlation function

$$g(u, v) = \frac{\rho^{(2)}(u, v)}{\rho(u)\rho(v)}$$



NB: independent points $\Rightarrow \rho^{(2)}(u, v) = \rho(u)\rho(v) \Rightarrow g(u, v) = 1$

K -function

$$K(t) = \int_{\|h\| \leq t} g(h) dh$$

(provided $g(u, v) = g(u - v)$ i.e. **X** second-order reweighted stationary, Baddeley, Møller, Waagepetersen, 2000)


Examples of pair correlation and K -functions:



Mean and covariances of counts

A and B subsets of the plane. $N(A)$ and $N(B)$ random numbers/counts of points in A and B .

$$\mathbb{E}[N(A)] = \mu(A) = \int_A \rho(u) du$$




$$\text{Cov}[N(A), N(B)] = \int_{A \cap B} \rho(u) du + \int_A \int_B \rho(u) \rho(v) [g(u, v) - 1] du dv$$

NB: can compute means and covariances for any sets A and B !
(in contrast to quadrat count methods)


The Poisson process

\mathbf{X} is a Poisson process with intensity function $\rho(\cdot)$ if for any bounded region B :

1. $N(B)$ is Poisson distributed with mean $\mu(B) = \int_B \rho(u) du$
2. Given $N(B) = n$, the n points are independent and identically distributed with density proportional to intensity function $\rho(\cdot)$.



Homogeneous: $\rho = 150/0.7$



Inhomogeneous: $\rho(x, y) \propto e^{-10.6y}$

Back to rain forest: parametric models for intensity and pair correlation

Study influence of covariates

$$Z(u) = (Z_1(u), \dots, Z_p(u))$$

using log-linear model for intensity function:

$$\log \rho(u; \beta) = \beta Z(u)^T \Leftrightarrow \rho(u; \beta) = \exp(\beta Z(u)^T)$$

where



$$\beta Z(u)^T = \beta_1 Z_1(u) + \beta_2 Z_2(u) + \dots + \beta_p Z_p(u)$$

Capparis Frondosa and Poisson process ?


Fit model with covariates elevation and Potassium.

Fitted intensity function

$$\rho(u; \hat{\beta}) = \exp(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \text{Elev}(u) + \hat{\beta}_2 K(u)) :$$




Estimated K -function and $K(t) = \pi t^2$ -function for Poisson process:



Not Poisson process - aggregation due to unobserved factors (e.g. seed dispersal)

Cluster process: Inhomogeneous Thomas process (W, 2007)




Parents stationary Poisson point process
intensity κ

Offspring distributed around mothers
according to Gaussian density with
standard deviation ω

Inhomogeneity: offspring survive
according to probability

$$p(u) \propto \exp(Z(u)\beta^T)$$

depending on covariates (independent
thinning).




Cox processes

\mathbf{X} is a *Cox process* driven by the random intensity function Λ if, conditional on $\Lambda = \lambda$, \mathbf{X} is a Poisson process with intensity function λ .

Example: log Gaussian Cox process (Møller, Syversveen, W, 1998)

$$\log \Lambda(u) = \beta Z(u)^T + Y(u)$$

where $\{Y(u)\}$ Gaussian random field.



Intensity and pair correlation function for Cox processes

Log linear intensity (both log Gaussian Cox and inhomogeneous Thomas):

$$\log \rho(u; \beta) = \mu + Z(u)\beta^T$$

Intensity and pair correlation function for Cox processes

Log linear intensity (both log Gaussian Cox and inhomogeneous Thomas):



$$\log \rho(u; \beta) = \mu + Z(u)\beta^T$$

Pair correlation function for log Gaussian Cox process:

$$g(u - v; \psi) = \exp(c(u - v; \sigma^2, \alpha)), \quad \psi = (\sigma^2, \alpha)$$

where σ^2 variance of Gaussian field and $c(\cdot; \alpha)$ covariance function.

Examples: $\sigma^2 \exp(-\|u - v\|/\alpha)$ and $\sigma^2 \exp(-\|u - v\|^2/\alpha)$



Pair correlation function for inhomogeneous Thomas:

$$\begin{aligned}g(u - v; \psi) &= 1 + \exp(-\|u - v\|^2 / (4\omega)^2) / (4\omega^2 \kappa \pi) \\ &= 1 + \sigma^2 \exp(-\|u - v\|^2 / \alpha), \quad \psi = (\kappa, \omega) \text{ or } \psi = (\sigma^2, \alpha)\end{aligned}$$

Parameter estimation

Possibilities:

1. Maximum likelihood estimation (Monte Carlo computation of likelihood function)
2. Simple estimating functions based on intensity function and pair correlation function - inspired by methods for count variables: least squares, composite likelihood, quasi-likelihood,...

Example: composite likelihood I (Schoenberg, 2005; W, 2007)

Consider indicators $X_i = 1[N_i > 0]$ for presence of points in cells C_i . $P(X_i = 1) = \rho_\beta(u_i)|C_i|$.

Example: composite likelihood I (Schoenberg, 2005; W, 2007)

Consider indicators $X_i = 1[N_i > 0]$ for presence of points in cells C_i . $P(X_i = 1) = \rho_\beta(u_i)|C_i|$.

Composite Bernoulli likelihood

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (P(X_i = 1))^{X_i} (1 - P(X_i = 1))^{1 - X_i} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n \rho_\beta(u_i)^{X_i} (1 - \rho_\beta(u_i)|C_i|)^{1 - X_i}$$

has limit ($|C_i| \rightarrow 0$)

$$L(\beta) = \left[\prod_{u \in \mathbf{X} \cap W} \rho(u; \beta) \right] \exp\left(- \int_W \rho(u; \beta) du\right)$$

Estimate $\hat{\beta}$ maximizes $L(\beta)$.

NB: $L(\beta)$ formally equivalent to likelihood function of a Poisson process with intensity function $\rho_\beta(\cdot)$.

Example: minimum contrast estimation for ψ

Computationally easy approach if \mathbf{X} second-order reweighted stationary so that K -function well-defined.


Estimate of K -function (Baddeley, Møller and W, 2000):

$$\hat{K}_\beta(t) = \sum_{u,v \in \mathbf{X} \cap W} \frac{1[0 < \|u - v\| \leq t]}{\rho(u; \beta)\rho(v; \beta)} e_{u,v}$$

Unbiased if β 'true' regression parameter.

Minimum contrast estimation: minimize squared distance between theoretical K and \hat{K} :

$$\hat{\psi} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\psi} \int_0^r (\hat{K}_{\hat{\beta}}(t) - K(t; \psi))^2 dt$$



Two-step estimation

Obtain estimates $(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\psi})$ in two steps

1. obtain $\hat{\beta}$ using composite likelihood
2. obtain $\hat{\psi}$ using minimum contrast

Clustering and mode of seed dispersal


Fit Thomas cluster process with log linear model for intensity function.

Acalypha and *Capparis*: positive dependence on elevation and potassium (significantly positive coefficients $\hat{\beta} = (0.02, 0.005)$ and $\hat{\beta} = (0.03, 0.004)$).

Loncocharpus: negative dependence on nitrogen and phosphorous ($\hat{\beta} = (-0.03, -0.16)$).


Recall ω = 'width' of clusters.

Estimates of ω for explosive,
wind and bird/mammal:



Triangles: model without
covariates.

Estimates of K -functions for
bird/mammal dispersed species



Decomposition of variance for rain forest tree point patterns (Shen, Jalilian, W, in progress)

Question: how much of the spatial variation for rain forest trees is due to environment ?

Variance of a count $N(B)$ (number of points in region B) for a stationary Cox process (constant intensity ρ):

Decomposition of variance for rain forest tree point patterns (Shen, Jalilian, W, in progress)

Question: how much of the spatial variation for rain forest trees is due to environment ?

Variance of a count $N(B)$ (number of points in region B) for a stationary Cox process (constant intensity ρ):

$$\text{Var}N(B) = \int_B \rho du$$

Variance=Poisson variance

Decomposition of variance for rain forest tree point patterns (Shen, Jalilian, W, in progress)

Question: how much of the spatial variation for rain forest trees is due to environment ?

Variance of a count $N(B)$ (number of points in region B) for a stationary Cox process (constant intensity ρ):

$$\text{Var}N(B) = \int_B \rho du + \int_B \int_B \rho^2 [g(u, v) - 1] dudv$$

Variance = Poisson variance + Extra variance due to random intensity

Decomposition of variance for log linear random intensity:

Decomposition of variance for log linear random intensity:

$$\text{Var} \log \Lambda(u) = \text{Var} \beta Z(u)^T$$

Variance=Environment

Decomposition of variance for log linear random intensity:

$$\text{Var} \log \Lambda(u) = \text{Var} \beta Z(u)^T + \text{Var} Y(u) = \sigma_Z^2 + \sigma^2$$

Variance = Environment + Seed dispersal

Decomposition of variance for log linear random intensity:

$$\text{Var} \log \Lambda(u) = \text{Var} \beta Z(u)^T + \text{Var} Y(u) = \sigma_Z^2 + \sigma^2$$

Variance = Environment + Seed dispersal

Note $\tilde{Z}(u) = \beta Z(u)^T$ regarded as stationary random process.

Decomposition of variance for log linear random intensity:

$$\text{Var} \log \Lambda(u) = \text{Var} \beta Z(u)^T + \text{Var} Y(u) = \sigma_Z^2 + \sigma^2$$

Variance = Environment + Seed dispersal

Note $\tilde{Z}(u) = \beta Z(u)^T$ regarded as stationary random process.

Estimate β and σ^2 using two-step approach.

Simple empirical estimate of σ_Z^2

$$\hat{\sigma}_Z^2 = \frac{1}{n_G} \sum_{u \in G} (\tilde{Z}(u) - \bar{\tilde{Z}})^2$$

Compute

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}_Z^2}{\hat{\sigma}_Z^2 + \sigma^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{\sigma}_Z^2 + \sigma^2}$$

Decomposition of variance for log linear random intensity:

$$\text{Var} \log \Lambda(u) = \text{Var} \beta Z(u)^T + \text{Var} Y(u) = \sigma_Z^2 + \sigma^2$$

Variance = Environment + Seed dispersal

Note $\tilde{Z}(u) = \beta Z(u)^T$ regarded as stationary random process.

Estimate β and σ^2 using two-step approach.

Simple empirical estimate of σ_Z^2

$$\hat{\sigma}_Z^2 = \frac{1}{n_G} \sum_{u \in G} (\tilde{Z}(u) - \bar{\tilde{Z}})^2$$

Compute

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}_Z^2}{\hat{\sigma}_Z^2 + \sigma^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{\sigma}_Z^2 + \sigma^2}$$

Can also define closely related “ R^2 ” summarizing how much of variation in Λ is due to Z .

Additive model for random intensity function (Jalilian, Guan, W, in progress)

Alternative to log additive model:

$$\Lambda(u) = \beta Z(u)^T + Y(u)$$

Cox process superposition of point processes with (random) intensity functions $\tilde{Z}(u) = \beta Z(u)^T$ and $Y(u)$

Straightforward variance decomposition for Λ :

$$\text{Var}\Lambda(u) = \text{Var}\tilde{Z}(u) + \text{Var}Y(u) = \sigma_Z^2 + \sigma^2$$

$$R^2 = \frac{\sigma_Z^2}{\sigma_Z^2 + \sigma^2}$$

Results

Consider pair correlation functions of the form




$$g(u - v; \sigma^2, \alpha) = 1 + \sigma^2 \exp(-\|u - v\|^\delta / \alpha) \quad \delta = 1 \text{ or } \delta = 2$$

Species	Λ	δ	R^2	Goodness of fit ("AIC")
Acalypha	log linear	1	0.01	1178
	log linear	2	0.01	1198
	additive	1	0.01	1565
	additive	2	0.01	1582
Lonchocarpus	log linear	1	0.10	3053
	log linear	2	0.17	3105
	additive	1	0.06	4001
	additive	2	0.10	4026
Capparis	log linear	1	0.25	4938
	log linear	2	0.38	5230
	additive	1	0.20	8736
	additive	2	0.33	9157

Best fit with log linear model and $\delta = 1$. Largest R^2 for bird/mammal dispersion. Smallest for explosive capsules.

Fitted pair correlation functions

Plots show $g(u - v) - 1$:



Thanks for your attention !