

# Calculations with infinitesimal duration times

Rasmus Waagepetersen  
Department of Mathematics  
Aalborg University  
Denmark

September 12, 2024

Suppose  $X$  is a continuous random variable.

When we say that likelihood  $f(x; \theta)$  is probability of observing  $x$  this does not really make sense since  $P(X = x)$  is zero.

However, we can interpret 'observing  $x$ ' as the event that  $X$  belongs to an infinitesimal interval  $[x, x + dx[$  in which case

$$P(X \in [x, x + dx[) \approx f(x; \theta)dx.$$

Ignoring the constant  $dx$ ,  $f(x; \theta)$  becomes the likelihood.

Calculations involving infinitesimal increments can provide short cuts to many results in survival analysis.

## Hazard function

The hazard function can be defined as the limit

$$\lambda(x) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} P(X \in [x, x + \Delta] | X \geq x)$$

Using differential calculus this becomes  $f(x)/S(x)$ .

A quick heuristic derivation is

$$\lambda(x)dx = P(X \in [x, x+dx] | X \geq x) = \frac{P(X \in [x, x+dx])}{S(x)} = \frac{f(x)dx}{S(x)}$$

## Likelihood for type II censoring

Suppose we observe  $(T_i, \Delta_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  in case of type II censoring. Let  $A$  denote the set of the  $r$  indices with  $\Delta_i = 1$ . That is for  $i \in A$  we observe  $X_i = T_i$  and for the remaining indices  $l \in A^c$  we know  $T_l \geq \max_{i \in A} T_i$ .

Suppose wlog we observe  $A = a = \{1, \dots, r\}$ ,  $T_i = t_i$ ,  $i \in a$  and that  $t_r$  is the maximal observed time. Then the likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} & P(A = a, T_i \in [t_i, t_i + dt], i \in a) \\ &= P(X_1 \in [t_1, t_1 + dt], \dots, X_r \in [t_r, t_r + dt], X_l > \max_{i=1, \dots, r} X_i, l > r) \\ &= P(X_1 \in [t_1, t_1 + dt], \dots, X_r \in [t_r, t_r + dt], X_l > t_r, l = r + 1, \dots, n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(t_i) dt \prod_{l=r+1}^n S_l(t_r) \end{aligned}$$

This can be written in the general form  $\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(t_i) dt)^{\delta_i} S_i(t_i)$